



ANNUAL MAIN STREET PROGRAM ACCREDITATION ×

To: Staff and Boards of Texas Main Street communities **Date: Report due date:**

What is accreditation?

Accreditation is a mark of distinction within designated Main Street communities (*Designated* communities include both accredited and non-accredited communities within our Main Street network.) ACCREDITED communities have worked to meet rigorous performance standards and achieve meaningful revitalization in their downtowns or commercial districts.

The performance standards upon which accreditation is based fall into SIX broad categories:

- 1. Broad-based Community Commitment
- 2. Leadership & Organizational Capacity
- 3. Diverse and Sustainable Funding
- 4. Strategy-Driven Programming (Main Street Transformation Strategy model)
- 5. Preservation-Based Economic Development
- 6. Demonstrated Impact & Result

What is the review process?

Once you have submitted your self-evaluation and 4th quarter Reinvestment Report, state staff will review your submissions along with your other quarterly reports, and recommend YES or NO for accreditation. These recommendations will be sent to Main Street America. Note that you need to your Main Street America membership needs to be current in order to be eligible for accreditation. Cities will be notified of their accreditation status in the spring.

What about my 4th quarter report?

Due to this end-of-year reporting, a 4th quarter activity report is *optional*. However, year-end/4th quarter *Reinvestment Reports* are required – and due January 10, 2022.

Additional Submission Requirements

- 1. **Work Plan** Please submit your 2022 work plan with this self-assessment OR indicate that you will be submitting the work plan by the end of January.
- 2. **Organizational Chart** This year, instead of submitting a salary survey or financial incentives questionnaire, we are asking that you submit an organizational chart that demonstrates who you report to and how your Main Street program fits within city structure (see attached example.) If your org chart has names on it, that's fine but names are not required.

Submission Checklist

City: Bastrop

WOF	RK PL	AN
	I am s	submitting a work plan for 2022 <i>OR</i>
	We h	ave not completed our work plan but will submit ours by Jan. 30, 2022
SELF	-ASSI	ESSMENT
	I have	e completed this self-assessment in concert with my entire Board OR
	I have	e completed this self-assessment in concert with my Board President only
ORG	ANIZ	ATIONAL CHART
	I am s	submitting an org. chart that demonstrates how my position fits within the city structure.
DESI	GN P	ROJECTS
	subm with I	idence of our achievements in Standard V (Preservation-based economic development) I am itting several examples of design projects/building improvements that were completed in 2021, pefore/during/after images and a brief description of the work. These could be projects previously itted in your Q1-Q3 reports, or projects completed in this 4 th quarter.
COM	1MIT	TEES
		exas Main Street staff can better understand how your program operates, please tell us which you have:
		Design Committee
		Organization Committee
		Promotions Committee
		Economic Vitality Committee
		Other (please specify):

How to submit. As in the past, please submit reports electronically via:

- 1. An email, with 'Accreditation Report' and your city name in the subject line OR
- 2. Upload to a **sharing site** of your choosing (Dropbox, Google Docs etc.) and share with us. Regardless of the submission method, the whole report should be in a single document or email and sent to mainstreet-reports@thc.texas.gov. The exceptions can be the Work Plan and Design/Improvement project examples that can be separately submitted or included at the end of this document.

City: <u>Bastrop</u>

- 1 = We do not do this.
- 2= Marginal performance. We do not consistently do this.
- 3 = Average performance. We do this, but there is general agreement improvement is needed.
- 4-5=Strong/exceptional performance. It's part of our plan; it consistently helps us reach stated goals; our organization's credibility is high because of how well this is done etc.

STANDARD I. BROAD-BASED COMMUNITY COMMITMENT

A. The **Main Street organization** fosters a culture of community engagement, collaboration and commitment to the revitalization process.

Key Indicators	Value (1=low to 5=high/strong)
1. We build awareness of the value of the district and show the measurable impact of our	
efforts through regular external communications.	
2. An inclusive outreach strategy exists through which all sectors of the community are	
invited to participate, and which encourages volunteer effort.	
3. Connecting with businesses in the district regularly is a program priority. This includes an	
active visitation program (by staff, board and committee leaders) and stakeholder	
meetings/activities that provide opportunities for businesses to regularly come together.	
4. The Main Street volunteer base reflects district and community demographics (age, race	
& ethnicity, gender, skills & interests, socio-economic, etc.). This is clearly demonstrated by	
the make-up of the supporting volunteer structure.	
5. There is active participation from the public sector in the volunteer structure that	
supports Main Street (i.e. ex-officio board membership, engagement in program activities	
etc.)	
6. Main Street regularly updates City officials and share progress and impact of the	
revitalization effort at council meetings and throughout the year.	
7. The supporting volunteer structure of Main Street includes participation	
from partner organizations such as chamber, economic development corporations, tourism	
agencies, schools & universities, and anchor corporations.	
Self-evaluation score, this section	

B. Public sector participation. In addition to what is covered in the previous section, the public sector is a crucial leader and foundational partner in building a successful revitalization program.

Key Indicators	Value (1=low to 5=high/strong)
1. The City promotes the district as an important community asset.	
2. Main Street/downtown is a priority of the City.	
3. The City adequately funds the Main Street program at a level which allows it to achieve its goals and objectives. (<i>Budget detail under Strategy III</i>)	
4. Main Street is included in municipal decisions pertaining to planning and implementation	
of initiatives for the district.	
Self-evaluation score, this section	

C. District Stakeholders, including property and business owners, organizations, and residents are the most immediate beneficiaries and the most important investors for successful revitalization.

Key Indicators	Value (1=low to 5=high/strong)
1. A majority of district property and business owners show commitment to the district's vitality through direct <u>investment</u> of resources in physical, economic, and promotional improvements.	
2. A broad base of district property and business owners, residents, workforce, and other organizations participate in revitalization through direct <u>involvement</u> in Main Street activities.	
Self-evaluation score, this section	

D. The **Community-at-large**, including residents, local organizations and corporations outside the district, but within the community.

Key Indicators	Value (1=low to
	5=high/strong)
1. A broad base of community members/residents is engaged in Main Street activities. (Refer to similar questions under A.)	
2. Local corporations actively support and invest in the district's revitalization program	
through investment of human (leadership & employee participation) and financial	
resources directly and in partnership with the Main Street program.	
(Refer to similar question under A.7.)	
3. We have downtown residents and they are involved in program activities. (Mark n/a if	
you do not have downtown residents.)	
Self-evaluation score, this section	

Project profile/narrative. . Please briefly provide at least one example related to an indicator in this section on <u>Broad-based Community Commitment</u> . You can pull the information for this section from your quarterly Activity reports or related to your program's ongoing COVID responses.

Reflection. As you reflect on your program's success (or struggles) in standard I, please share any observations / comments / concerns / questions you may have.		
STANDARD I TOTAL SECTION SCORE		
TANDARD II. ACTIVE LEADERSHIP & ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACIT	Υ	
lain Street has a unique position to be able to offer a diverse range of opportunities for peop		
ecome active leaders in various capacities and at different points in the revitalization proces	S.	
. Active Volunteer Leadership. The Board.		
Key Indicators	Value	
	(1=low to 5=high/strong)	
1. The Board has balanced representation from district stakeholders, public sector leaders,	5g., ser sg,	
essential partners and community members. (Refer to similar questions under Standard I.)		
2. The Board leads through strategy, which includes annual review of organizational and		
programming efforts in a retreat setting; an active work plan guided by the Board that		
aligns with strategies; and focus on strategies through the business segments of monthly Board meetings.		
3. Each board member demonstrates an active level of board participation through regular		
attendance at board meetings.		
4. Board members are active advocates for the program and the district.		
5. Every Board member, in addition to attending meetings, has a working role in different		
aspects of the program, by leading or participating in committees or teams and projects		
throughout the year.		
6. Board members sign an Accountability Agreement that outlines the commitment for		
poard service. (Note: examples are in the Online Resource Library)		
7. Board members participate in leadership development and trainings that		
support board roles and knowledge base in revitalization.		
8. New board members receive an orientation to become more familiar with the Main		
Street Approach, board roles & responsibilities, the district, agreements with the coordinating program, and ongoing program efforts.		
There is adherence to hylaws including term limitations, and other elements related to		

B. Supporting Volunteer Structure.

Board service.

Key Indicators	Value
	(1=low to
	5=high/strong)
1. All four points of the Approach are addressed through the work plan and program	
activities. Note: Specific questions about the Work Plan is covered in Standard IV.	

Self-evaluation score, this section

38

2. There is a volunteer coordination structure in place that includes rotation and retention	
initiatives.	
3. Each volunteer committee, team, task force, and project or activity has active chair or	
co-chairs and enough supporting members to implement their focus effectively and	
carry appropriate planning and implementation successfully.	
4. To avoid 'silos' there is a mechanism in place that regularly brings volunteers together	
throughout the year to ensure cross-collaborative effort and alignment of strategy.	
(i.e. annual retreat, quarterly meetings etc.)	
5. Volunteers not in board leadership roles also receive orientation and training.	
6. The organization has active volunteer recognition initiatives and activities that highlight	
the talent, contributions, and impact provided by individuals and groups within the	
organization.	
Self-evaluation score, this section	

C. Professional Program Management.

Key Indicators	Value (1=low to 5=high/strong)
1. The organization has continually had a Program Director in place for at least 8 of the 12 months during the past year.	
2. Within the existing organizational structure (city employee or urban/non-profit), Main Street staff salary & benefits are sufficient at a level, and which reflects the perceived value of the revitalization effort. Regular salary increases occur. (Note: see related questions under Standard III)	
3. A staff job description is in place that includes clearly defines performance expectations.	
4. There is a formal staff performance review process (typically will be completed in the city structure by the program manager's supervisor).	
5. There is regular staff communication among staff, board and committees, task forces etc.	
6. The chain of command/relationship between staff and board is clearly defined and results in effective, positive and strong two-way relationships throughout the program.	
7. Main Street staff receives professional development annually aligned with the Texas Historical Commission Main Street contract. (2020-webinars, virtual conferences etc.)	
☐ TMSP series of live Four Point workshops (virtual, March-May)	
☐ THC's Real Places conference (virtual, Feb.)	
☐ Main Street Now (national conference, virtual, spring)	
☐ TMSP series of live Four Point workshops (virtual, March-May)	
☐ Multiple TDA Summer Camp sessions (virtual, July)	
☐ Texas Main Street Retreat in Seguin (in person, Sept.)	
☐ Texas Downtown Association Conference (in person, Nov.)	
Other (please specify): Government Social Media	
Other (please specify):	
8. Quarterly Activity reports as required in the contract are consistently submitted. (Note: No 4 th Q Activity report due to this submission. 4 th Q reinvestment reports due January 10, 2021)	Texas Main Street office will score this based on your report submissions.
Self-evaluation score, this section	

D. Defined mission and organizational foundation. Note: the Work Plan is covered in Standard IV.

Key Indicators	Value
	(1=low to
	5=high/strong)
1. The Main Street program has a clearly defined purpose, outlined through a mission	
statement. The Board reviews the mission statement annually and uses it as a tool to help	
evaluate the organization's priorities, areas of focus and involvement, and to drive the	
program's work.	
2. The mission statement is highly visible and promoted in the program's online, printed	
communication tools, and projects and activities.	
3. The Main Street organization has by-laws.	
4. Program activities are effectively coordinated.	
Self-evaluation score, this section	

Project profile/narrative. . Please briefly provide at least one example related to an indicator in this section on Standard 2 - Active Leadership & Organizational Capacity. You can pull the information for this section from your quarterly Activity reports or related to your program's COVID responses. Please also provide your Statements of Purpose (Vision, Mission, Core Values etc.) or the link to them online.

Reflection. As you reflect on your program's success (or struggles) in standard II, please share any observations / comments / questions you may have.

STANDARD II TOTAL SECTION SCORE _____

STANDARD III. DIVERSE FUNDING & SUSTAINABLE PROGRAM OPERATIONS

A. The Main Street Program Has Diversified Funding Sources.

Key Indicators	Value
	(1=low to
	5=high/strong)
1. The Main Street funding structure has a balanced mix of sources that includes adequate	
city funding.	
2. District stakeholders (businesses and property owners, workforce, residents,	
organizations) invest in Main Street programming and revitalization efforts through	
partnerships, sponsorships, marketing, memberships, and fundraising initiatives etc.	
(Also asked in Standard I.)	
3. Similarly, community-wide individual and organizational stakeholders understand	
downtown's value and invest in the effort. (Also asked in Standard I.)	
Self-evaluation score, this section	

B. The Main Street Program Has Sustainable Program Operations

Key Indicators	Value (1=low to
	5=high/strong)
1. The Main Street funding structure provides for balanced attention to all four points.	
2. Work aligns with financial capacity.	
3. A detailed budget is in place that adequately covers operations, including program	
personnel, office administration, financial management activities	
4. The budget adequately covers programming and activity expenses.	
5. The budget adequately covers professional development and training expenses.	
6. For city-based programs: The Main Street Board is kept apprised of and is familiar with	
the Main Street budget allocated through the City and related sources. They advocate for	
additional funding when needed.	
7. For city-based programs: If a separate fundraising or similar account is maintained under	
the authority of the City, the Main Street Board regularly reviews and manages it	
effectively, and, if applicable, effectively raises funds to support the program.	
8. For urban non-profits: The MS Board understands its responsibility for program finances,	
manages it effectively, and takes individual responsibility for fundraising/development.	
9. For urban non-profits: There has been an independent financial review in the last 12	
months.	
Self-evaluation score, this section	

Reflection. As you reflect on your program's success (or struggle)s in standard III, please share bservations / comments / concerns / questions you may have.	any

STANDARD III TOTAL SECTION SCORE _____

STANDARD IV. STRATEGY-DRIVEN PROGRAMMING

Decades of experience implementing the Main Street Approach™ has demonstrated that building and sustaining a successful downtown effort is not a project, but an ongoing process that requires long-term commitment.

A. Main Street Has a Vision for the future of District and Defined Strategic Direction for the Program's Work.

Key Indicators	Value
	(1=low to
	5=high/strong)
1. Feedback from district stakeholders is regularly collected.	
2. We have up-to-date district market, economic and demographic data.	
3. Our vision and mission (outlined in Standard II-Leadership) drives our work.	
4. To understand and act upon market opportunities, we have conducted a consumer	
survey in the last 2 years.	
5. To understand and act upon market opportunities, we have an up-to-date building and	
business inventory.	
Self-evaluation score, this section	

B. Main Street's Work Plan is Aligned to Selected Strategies and the Main Street 4-Point Approach.

	Value
Key Indicators	
	(1=low to
	5=high/strong)
1. We have a Plan of Work driven by market understanding.	
2. We are (please indicate which one) exploring / have begun / have adopted a Transformation	
Strategy plan of work.	
3. Our work plan has activities across all four points of the Approach.	
Self-evaluation score, this section	

Reflection. As you reflect on your program's success (or struggles) in standard IV, please share any observations / comments / questions you may have.

STANDARD IV TOTAL SECTION SCORE	-

STANDARD V. PRESERVATION-BASED ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

A community's historic buildings and structures are some of its greatest assets and have the power to convey a unique and inviting sense of place. Consequently, preservation and rehabilitation should be a priority goal for all Main Street programs. Promoting a historic preservation-based program includes educating

the public, as well as working with businesses and property owners on appropriate improvements and utilizing the services of the TMSP design staff.

Key Indicators	Value (1=low to 5=high/strong)
1. There have been visible changes in the appearance of downtown over the last year through reinvestment activity and appropriate design work from façade and building rehabilitations, signage, awnings, maintenance etc. (We will reference reinvestment & design reports for this section.)	
2. Downtown/the commercial district is listed in the National Register of Historic Places or eligible. If not, has this been previously explored? Yes No	
3. There is an educational program in place to build community awareness about the value of the district's historic assets and history.	
4. The community is a Certified Local Government, has a preservation ordinance, or the organization has design guidelines, based on the Secretary of Interior Standards, in place and applies them during reviews as applicable. <i>Please provide details</i> .	
5. We have a design review process that ensures appropriate preservation outcomes.	
6. There is a design review process. (Mark as '3' if it only applies to projects receiving local grants; '5' if there is review for any work in the Main Street district.)	
7. There is a recent business/property inventory. (Also asked in Standard IV)	
8. Volunteer leadership, staff seek out preservation-based training and as a result are confident in their understanding of basic concepts of historic preservation.	
9. Preservation-based economic incentives are in place to encourage appropriate improvements to historic resources in the district.	
10. Ordinances, activities and/or incentives have been put into place to address and target issues such as building underutilization, long-term vacancies, storage etc.	
11. We have not lost any historic resources/assets/properties in the district over the past 12 months.	
12. We are live/public on DowntownTX.org.	
13. We are actively working to become live/public on DowntownTX.org.	
Self-evaluation score, this section	

Reflection. As you reflect on your program's success (or struggles) in standard V, please share any observations / comments / questions you may have.	

STANDARD V TOTAL SECTION SCORE _____

STANDARD VI. DEMONSTRATED IMPACT & RESULTS

Key Indicators	Value (1=low to
	5=high/strong)
1. Reinvestment reports are submitted as required in the contract.	Texas Main Street
	office will score this based on your
	report submissions.
2. Reinvestment into the district during the year and over time indicates improvement is	
occurring.	
3. The Main Street program is recognized and valued locally as the driver of the	
revitalization effort and for the resulting contribution to quality of life.	
4. Downtown/the program/projects have been recognized with external awards, grants or	
designations over the year (i.e. Texas Downtown Association President's Awards, THC	
Texas Treasures awards for downtown businesses, Cultural District, Texas Capital Fund,	
etc.)	
5. Downtown is generally viewed as an active marketplace which the community supports.	
6. There are long-time businesses in downtown, providing evidence that there is a	
marketplace that can support them.	
7. Property values and market activity means that new businesses opening in our	
downtown are prepared for and capable of effectively running a business. 'Hobby'	
businesses have decreased over time.	
8. Property owners generally understand the inherent value of historic buildings and their	
responsibility to be good stewards of them.	
9. Property owners see a positive return on their investments.	
10. Downtown's occupancy rate has increased over time, including second floor uses.	
11. Downtown has destination businesses.	
Self-evaluation score, this section	

Reflection. As you reflect on your program's success (or struggles) in standard VI, please share any observations / comments / concerns you may have.

CTANDADD VI TOTAL CECTION COORE	
STANDARD VI TOTAL SECTION SCORE	

-end-