Evaluation Sheet
RFQ Item #25-207 Congestion Management Process Update for the Augusta Regional Transportation Study 2024
Metropolitan Planning Organization for Augusta,GA-Planning and Development Department
RFQ Due: Tuesday, August 12, 2025 @ 11:00 a.m.
Evaluation Meeting: Monday, September 8, 2025 @ 4:00 p.m. (Via Zoom)

Vendors			Urban Innovators, PLLC 1435 N. Easthills Circle Bountiful, UT 84010	WSP USA Inc. 3348 Peachtree Rd. NE Suite 300 Atlanta, GA 30326	Cambridge Systematics, Inc. 730 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 400 Atlanta, GA 30308	Foresight Group, LLC 3740 Davinci Ct, Suite 100 Peachtree Corners, GA 30092	Urban Innovators, PLLC 1435 N. Easthills Circle Bountiful, UT 84010	WSP USA Inc. 3348 Peachtree Rd. NE Suite 300 Atlanta, GA 30326	Cambridge Systematics, Inc. 730 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 400 Atlanta, GA 30308	Foresight Group, LLC 3740 Davinci Ct, Suite 100 Peachtree Corners, GA 30092
Phase 1			**Ranking of 0-5 (Enter a number value between 0 and 5)**							
Evaluation Criteria	**Ranking**	**Points**	**Scale 0 (Low) to 5 (High)**				**Weighted Scores**			
1. Completeness of Response • Package submitted by the deadline • Package is complete (includes requested information as required per this solicitation) • Attachment B is complete, signed and notarized	N/A	Pass/Fail	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
2. Qualifications & Experience	(0-5)	15	4.1	4.5	4.7	3.7	61.1	67.5	70.3	55.7
3. Organization & Approach	(0-5)	15	4.1	4.2	4.6	3.7	61.1	63.2	68.7	55.7
4. Scope of Services: Describe the proposed work plan for the development of major deliverables along with detailed steps from project start through the ten (10) Task listed in Section II. Describe key components of your plan. The Selection Committee will evaluate and select RFQs responses for further consideration for proposal interviews based on, but not limited to the following criteria: a) Specialized and appropriate expertise to complete the scope of work and meet the goals and objectives of the project. b) Proposed project approach and technical details. c) Past experiences and performances on similar projects. d) Adequate staff and the qualifications of each member of the proposed project team. e) Firm performance with project delivery relative with budgeting and maintaining a project schedule. f) Record of successfully completed projects without major legal, technical problems and financial accountability. g) Other factors that the ARTS Review Team may determine to be appropriate to receive a quality multi-modal congestion management process and monitoring report.	(0-5)	40.0	3.3	4.1	4.3	3.3	131.7	163.4	173.1	131.4
5. Schedule of Work	(0-5)	5.0	3.9	4.3	4.0	3.7	19.3	21.6	20.0	18.6
6. Financial Stability	(0-5)	5.0	3.7	4.4	4.1	3.9	18.6	22.1	20.7	19.3
7. References	(0-5)	5.0	4.1	4.1	4.3	3.8	20.4	20.4	21.4	18.9
Phase 1 Total - (Total Maximum Ranking 30 - Maximum Weighted Total Possible 425)			23.1	25.6	26.0	22.1	312.1	358.4	374.3	299.6
Phase 2 (Option - Numbers 8-9) (Vendors May Not Receive Less Than a 3 Ranking in Any Category to be Considered for Award)										
8. Presentation by Team	(0-5)	10		4.0	4.6		0.0	39.7	45.6	0.0
9. Q&A Response to Panel Questions	(0-5)	5		3.8	4.3		0.0	19.0	21.3	0.0
Total Phase 2 - (Total Maximum Ranking 15 - Maximum Weighted Total Possible 75)			0.0	7.8	8.8	0.0	0.0	58.7	66.9	0.0
Total (Total Possible Score 500) Total (May not Receive Less Than a 3 Ranking in Any Category to be Considered for Award)										
Total Cumulative Score (Maximum point is 500)			23.1	33.4	34.8	22.1	312.1	417.1	441.1	299.6

Internal Use Only

Evaluator: Cumulative **Date:** Phase I - 9/8/25

Procurement DepartmentRepresentative:_____Nancy Williams_____

rocurement Department Completion Date: Phase I - 9/8/25