


<div><div>RFP Item #25-241 On Call Professional Services for Engineering &amp; Field Design, Small to Medium Scale Maintenance Task Design, Regulatory Periodic Inspection Compliance, Structural Inspections &amp; Investigations, and Construction Miscellaneous Support for Augusta,GA – Engineering and Environmental Services Department Evaluation Date: Thursday, February 13, 2025 3:00 p.m. via ZOOM</div></div>																				
Vendors			Cranston LLC 452 Ellis Street Augusta, GA 30901		Infrastructure Systems Management, LLC. 1557 Broad Street Augusta, GA 30904		Andurra Group, Inc. 973 Broad Street, Suite A Augusta, GA 30901		Atlas Technical Consultants LLC 2450 Commerce Avenue, Suite 100 Duluth, GA 30096		Cranston LLC 452 Ellis Street Augusta, GA 30901		Infrastructure Systems Management, LLC. 1557 Broad Street Augusta, GA 30904		Andurra Group, Inc. 973 Broad Street, Suite A Augusta, GA 30901		Atlas Technical Consultants LLC 2450 Commerce Avenue, Suite 100 Duluth, GA 30096			
Phase 1			Ranking of 0-5 (Enter a number value between 0 and 5)																	
Evaluation Criteria		Ranking	Points	Scale 0 (Low) to 5 (High)				Weighted Scores												
1. Completeness of Response • Package submitted by the deadline • Package is complete (includes requested information as required per this solicitation) • Attachment B is complete, signed and notarized		N/A	Pass/Fail	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
2. Qualifications & Experience		(0-5)	10	4.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	40.0	40.0	45.0	50.0	40.0	40.0	45.0	50.0	40.0	40.0	45.0		
3. Organization & Approach		(0-5)	10	4.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	40.0	40.0	45.0	50.0	40.0	40.0	45.0	50.0	40.0	40.0	45.0		
4. Scope of Services: Experience and approach to the Scope of Services included in Section One to include details on the following items: a. Prime firms experience in consulting services providing similar services as requested under this RFP as one of the primary area of specialization. b. Firms experience, capabilities and other qualifications for this project in reference to on-call engineering services. c. Firms organizational and management approach to the on-call services d. Resources necessary to accomplish the purpose of the project. e. Prime firm's expertise in providing the following specialized services: 1. Traffic Engineering 2. Roadway Trees and Landscaped Area Management 3. Structural and Bridge Engineering. f. Detailed Scope of Services to be Provided 1. Proposed scope of services is appropriate for all phases of the work. 2. Scope addresses all known project/service needs and appears achievable in the timeframes set forth in the project/service schedule. g. Project Deliverables 1. Deliverables are appropriate to schedule and scope set forth in above requirements. h. Cost Control and Budgeting Methodology 1. Proposer has a system or process for managing cost and budget. 2. Evidence of successful budget management for a similar project/service		(0-5)	30	4.0	4.5	4.8	5.0	120.0	135.0	142.5	150.0	120.0	135.0	142.5	150.0	120.0	135.0	142.5		
5. Schedule of Work		(0-5)	5	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	25.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	25.0	20.0	20.0	20.0		
6. Financial Stability		(0-5)	5	4.8	4.5	4.8	5.0	23.8	22.5	23.8	25.0	23.8	22.5	23.8	25.0	23.8	22.5	23.8		
7. References		(0-5)	5	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0	22.5	25.0	22.5	25.0	22.5	25.0	22.5	25.0	22.5	25.0	22.5		
7. Proximity to Area - enter the point value for the one line only)												Cost/Fee Proposal Consideration								
Within Richmond County		5	10	5.0	5.0	5.0		50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	50.0		
Within CSRA		5	6					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Within Georgia		5	4				5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0		
Within SE		5	2					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
All Others		5	1					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Phase 1 Total - (Total Maximum Ranking 35 - Maximum Weighted Total Possible 375)				30.3	31.0	32.0	35.0	316.3	332.5	348.8	345.0	316.3	332.5	348.8	345.0	316.3	332.5	348.8		
Phase 2 (Option - Numbers 8-9) (Vendors May Not Receive Less Than a 3 Ranking in Any Category to be Considered for Award)																				
8. Presentation by Team		(0-5)	10					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
9. Q&A Response to Panel Questions		(0-5)	5					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
10. Cost/Fee Proposal Consideration (only choose 1 line according to dollar value of the proposal in relation to all fee proposals - enter the point value for the one line only)																				
Lowest Fees		5	10				5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0		
Second		5	6	5.0				30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0		
Third		5	4			5.0		0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Forth		5	2		5.0			0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0		
Fifth		5	1					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Phase 2 - (Total Maximum Ranking 15 - Maximum Weighted Total Possible 125)				5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	30.0	10.0	20.0	50.0	30.0	10.0	20.0	50.0	30.0	10.0	20.0		
Total (Total Possible Score 500) Total (May not Receive Less Than a 3 Ranking in Any Category to be Considered for Award)																				
Total Cumulative Score (Maximum point is 500)				35.3	36.0	37.0	40.0	346.3	342.5	368.8	395.0	346.3	342.5	368.8	395.0	346.3	342.5	368.8		
Internal Use Only																				
Evaluator: Cumulative Date: Phase I - 1/31/25																				
Procurement Department Representative: Nancy Williams																				
Procurement Department Completion Date: Phase I - 1/31/25																				