



**RFP Item # 23-220 Inmate Food Services
for Augusta, GA – RCCI
Evaluation Date: Thursday, July 13, 2023 @ 10:00 a.m. via ZOOM**

Vendors			Aramark Correctional Services, LLC 2400 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103	Trinity Services Group 477 Commerce Blvd. Oldsmar, FL 34677	Summit Food Services, LLC 500 East 52nd Street North Sioux Falls, SD 57104	Aramark Correctional Services, LLC 2400 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103	Trinity Services Group 477 Commerce Blvd. Oldsmar, FL 34677	Summit Food Services, LLC 500 East 52nd Street North Sioux Falls, SD 57104
Phase 1			Ranking of 0-5 (Enter a number value between 0 and 5)			Weighted Scores		
Evaluation Criteria	Ranking	Points	Scale 0 (Low) to 5 (High)					
1. Completeness of Response • Package submitted by the deadline • Package is complete (includes requested information as required per this solicitation) • Attachment B is complete, signed and notarized	N/A	Pass/Fail	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
2. Qualifications & Experience	(0-5)	20	4.5	4.0	3.5	90.0	80.0	70.0
3. Organization & Approach	(0-5)	10	4.5	4.0	3.5	45.0	40.0	35.0
Scope of Services (40 points) A. Management Structure B. Responsive to Task C. Prior experience with inmate food services. D. Menu planning experience (Master and Emergency), purchasing of food, inventory control, sanitation- (to include food service equipment, food prep areas and floors in the storage and food service prep areas). Vendor is required to submit a sample meal plan. E. Availability. A brief statement of the availability of key personnel of the firm to undertake the proposed services. Include list of all possible positions. F. Acknowledge that contract terms are time sensitive.	(0-5)	15	5.0	4.0	4.5	75.0	60.0	67.5
5. Financial Stability	(0-5)	10	5.0	4.0	3.5	50.0	40.0	35.0
6. References	(0-5)	5	4.5	4.5	3.5	22.5	22.5	17.5
7. Proximity to Area (only choose 1 line according to location of the company - enter the ranking value for the one line only)								
Within Richmond County	5	10				0.0	0.0	0.0
Within CSRA	5	6				0.0	0.0	0.0
Within Georgia	5	4				0.0	0.0	0.0
Within SE United States (includes AL, TN, NC, SC, FL)	5	2		5.0		0.0	10.0	0.0
• All Others	5	1	5.0		5.0	5.0	0.0	5.0
Phase 1 Total - (Total Maximum Ranking 30 - Maximum Weighted Total Possible 350)			28.5	25.5	23.5	287.5	252.5	230.0
Phase 2 (Option - Numbers 8-9) (Vendors May Not Receive Less Than a 3 Ranking in Any Category to be Considered for Award)								
8. Presentation by Team	(0-5)	10				0.0	0.0	0.0
9. Q&A Response to Panel Questions	(0-5)	5				0.0	0.0	0.0
10. Cost/Fee Proposal Consideration (only choose 1 line according to dollar value of the proposal in relation to all fee proposals - enter the point value for the one line only)						Cost/Fee Proposal Consideration		
Lowest Fees	5	10		5.0		0.0	50.0	0.0
Second	5	6	5.0			30.0	0.0	0.0
Third	5	4			5.0	0.0	0.0	20.0
Forth	5	2				0.0	0.0	0.0
Fifth	5	1				0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Phase 2 - (Total Maximum Ranking 15 - Maximum Weighted Total Possible 125)			5.0	5.0	5.0	30.0	50.0	20.0
Total (Total Possible Score 500) Total (May not Receive Less Than a 3 Ranking in Any Category to be Considered for Award)								
Total Cumulative Score (Maximum point is 525)			33.5	30.5	28.5	317.5	302.5	250.0

Internal Use Only

Evaluator: Cumulative Date: 7/13/23

Procurement Department Representative: _____ Nancy Williams _____

Procurement Department Completion Date: 7/13/23