


<div><div></div><div><div>RFQ Item #24-217 Space Planning & Schametic Desgin for Richmond County Jaii</div><div>for Augusta, GA – Sheriff's Office</div><div>RFQ Due: Wednesday, July 17, 2024 @ 11:00 a.m.</div><div>Evaluation Date: Monday, August 5, 2024 @ 3:00 p.m. via ZOOM</div></div></div>															
Vendors			Jericho Design Group, LLC 208 Pirkle Ferry Road, Ste. C Cumming, GA 30040	MRB Group Consulting, P. C. 134 Metting Street, Suite 100 Charleston, SC 29401	Strollo Architects Incorporated 718 Virginia Drive Orlando, FL 32803	Studio 8 Desin, LLC 2722 N. Oak Street Valdosta, GA 31602	Treanor, Inc. 55 Roswell Street, Suite 200 Alpharetta, GA 30009	GMC Architecture and Engineering; 1620 Powers Ferry Road SE; Atlanta, GA 30339	Jericho Design Group, LLC 208 Pirkle Ferry Road, Ste. C Cumming, GA 30040	MRB Group Consulting, P. C. 134 Metting Street, Suite 100 Charleston, SC 29401	Strollo Architects Incorporated 718 Virginia Drive Orlando, FL 32803	Studio 8 Desin, LLC 2722 N. Oak Street Valdosta, GA 31602	Treanor, Inc. 55 Roswell Street, Suite 200 Alpharetta, GA 30009	GMC Architecture and Engineering; 1620 Powers Ferry Road SE; Atlanta, GA 30339	
Phase 1			Ranking of 0-5 (Enter a number value between 0 and 5)						Weighted Scores						
Evaluation Criteria		Ranking	Points	Scale 0 (Low) to 5 (High)											
1. Completeness of Response • Package submitted by the deadline • Package is complete (includes requested information as required per this solicitation) • Attachment B is complete, signed and notarized		N/A	Pass/Fail	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	FAIL	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	FAIL
2. Qualifications & Experience		(0-5)	20	4.80	4.00	3.80	4.50	4.83		96.0	80.0	76.0	90.0	96.7	0.0
3. Organization & Approach		(0-5)	20	4.80	4.08	4.47	4.50	4.83		96.0	81.7	89.3	90.0	96.7	0.0
4. Scope of Services - Discuss your firm's understanding of the Scope of Services and task requirements to be performed included in the specifications. a. Provide any information that may serve to differentiate the firm from other firms in suitability for the project. Provide evidence of the firm's fit to the project and/or needs of the Owner, any special or unique qualifications for the project. Provide current and projected workloads, the proximity of office to project location, and any services offered by the firm that may be particularly suitable for this project. b. Describe or provide evidence of experience and proficiency in design with regard to the areas of public safety, accessibility for persons with disabilities and special needs, and		(0-5)	20	4.80	4.08	4.43	4.83	4.83		96.0	81.7	88.7	96.7	96.7	0.0
5. Schedule of Work		(0-5)	10	4.83	4.08	4.17	3.83	4.83		48.3	40.8	41.7	38.3	48.3	0.0
6. Financial Stability		(0-5)	10	4.67	3.58	0.00	4.50	4.67		46.7	35.8	0.0	45.0	46.7	0.0
7. References		(0-5)	5	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.67	5.00		25.0	21.7	21.7	23.3	25.0	0.0
Phase 1 Total - (Total Maximum Ranking 30 - Maximum Weighted Total Possible 425)				28.9	24.2	21.2	26.8	29.0	0.0	408.0	341.7	317.3	383.3	410.0	0.0
Phase 2 (Option - Numbers 8-9) (Vendors May Not Receive Less Than a 3 Ranking in Any Category to be Considered for Award)															
8. Presentation by Team		(0-5)	10	4.50			4.42	4.87		45.0	0.0	0.0	44.2	48.7	0.0
9. Q&A Response to Panel Questions		(0-5)	5	4.67			4.67	5.00		23.3	0.0	0.0	23.3	25.0	0.0
Total Phase 2 - (Total Maximum Ranking 15 - Maximum Weighted Total Possible 75)				9.2	0.0	0.0	9.1	9.9	0.0	68.3	0.0	0.0	67.5	73.7	0.0
Total (Total Possible Score 500) Total (May not Receive Less Than a 3 Ranking in Any Category to be Considered for Award)															
Total Cumulative Score (Maximum point is 500)				38.1	24.2	21.2	35.9	38.9	0.0	476.3	341.7	317.3	450.8	483.7	0.0
Internal Use Only															
Evaluator: Cumulative Date: Phase I 8/5/24 Phase II 8/22/24															
Procurement Department Representative: _____ Nancy Williams _____															
Procurement Department Completion Date: Phase I 8/5/24 Phase II 8/22/24															