Rezoning Case: #24CZ10 Ashburn PUD

Planning Board Meeting Date: July 14, 2025



Report Requirements:

Per NCGS §160D-604(b), all proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance or zoning map shall be submitted to the Planning Board for review and comment. If no written report is received from the Planning Board within 30 days of referral of the amendment to the Planning Board, the Town Council may act on the amendment without the Planning Board report. The Town Council is not bound by the recommendations, if any, of the Planning Board.

Per NCGS §160D-604(d), the Planning Board shall advise and comment on whether the proposed action is consistent with all applicable officially adopted plans, and provide a written recommendation to the Town Council that addresses plan consistency and other matters as deemed appropriate by the Planning Board, but a comment by the Planning Board that a proposed amendment is inconsistent with the officially adopted plans shall not preclude consideration or approval of the proposed amendment by the Town Council.

consideration or approval of the proposed amendment by the Town Council.												
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:												
Acreage: PIN(s): Current Zoning: Proposed Zoning: 2045 Land Use Map: Town Limits:		+/- 15.3 acres 0721448562 & 0721442048 Rural Residential (#07ETJ24) Planned Unit Development—Conditional Zoning (PUD-CZ)										
								Medium Density Residential In ETJ				
									✓ Consisten	t		Inconsistent
		•										
		✓	Apex Transport Consisten			Inconsistent	Reason:					
V	Parks, Recreati Consisten		and	Greenways Plan Inconsistent	Reason:							

Rezoning Case: #24CZ10 Ashburn PUD

Planning Board Meeting Date: July 14, 2025



Legislative Considerations:

The applicant shall propose site-specific standards and conditions that take into account the following considerations, which are considerations that are relevant to the legislative determination of whether or not the proposed conditional zoning district rezoning request is in the public interest. These considerations do not exclude the legislative consideration of any other factor that is relevant to the public interest.

1.	Consistency with 2045 Land Use Plan. The proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use's appropriatene for its proposed location and consistency with the purposes, goals, objectives, and policies of the 2045 La Use Plan.						
	Consistent	Inconsistent	Reason:				
		NAT					
2.		osed Conditional Zoning (CZ) y with the character of surrou Inconsistent	District use's appropriateness for its proposed nding land uses. Reason:				
3.	-	ntal standards. The proposed al Standards, if applicable. Inconsistent	Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use's compliance Reason:				
4.	minimization of adverse avoidance of significant a	effects, including visual imp	e proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use's act of the proposed use on adjacent lands; and ing lands regarding trash, traffic, service delivery, and not create a nuisance. Reason:				
	_	_					
5.	environmental impacts a		d Conditional Zoning District use's minimization of t deterioration of water and air resources, wildlife Reason:				
	Consistent	inconsistent	Ned3011.				
		AVII AIII					

Rezoning Case: #24CZ10 Ashburn PUD

Planning Board Meeting Date: July 14, 2025



	schools, police, fire and EN Consistent	Inconsistent	Reason:
7.	Health, safety, and welfare or welfare of the residents Consistent		Coning (CZ) District use's effect on the health, safety Reason:
8.	Detrimental to adjacent substantially detrimental t	•	proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use is
9.		o traffic impact or noise, or b	sed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use constitutes because of the number of persons who will be using Reason:
10.		ds imposed on it by all othe	the proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use er applicable provisions of this Ordinance for use Reason:

Rezoning Case: #24CZ10 Ashburn PUD

Planning Board Meeting Date: July 14, 2025



Planning Board Recommendation:

	Motion:	To recommend approval as presented.
	ntroduced by Planning Board member:	
	Seconded by Planning Board member:	Steven Rhodes
	Approval: the project is consistent with considerations listed above.	all applicable officially adopted plans and the applicable legislative
✓	· ·	is not consistent with all applicable officially adopted plans and/or is as noted above, so the following conditions are recommended to nake it fully consistent:
As pre	sented.	
	Denial: the project is not consistent legislative considerations as noted abo	with all applicable officially adopted plans and/or the applicable ve.
		With 6 Planning Board Member(s) voting "aye"
		With Planning Board Member(s) voting "no"
	Reasons for dissenting votes:	
This r	report reflects the recommendation of t	he Planning Board, this the <u>14th</u> day of <u>July</u> 2025.
Attes	t:	
	Muith Dusuill	Dianne Khin Digitally signed by Dianne Khin Date: 2025.07.14 17:30:12
Keith	Braswell, Acting Planning Board Chair	Dianne Khin, Planning Director