Rezoning Case: 24CZ03 New Hill Holleman Rd

Planning Board Meeting Date: May 13, 2024



Report Requirements:

Per NCGS §160D-604(b), all proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance or zoning map shall be submitted to the Planning Board for review and comment. If no written report is received from the Planning Board within 30 days of referral of the amendment to the Planning Board, the Town Council may act on the amendment without the Planning Board report. The Town Council is not bound by the recommendations, if any, of the Planning Board.

Per NCGS §160D-604(d), the Planning Board shall advise and comment on whether the proposed action is consistent with all applicable officially adopted plans, and provide a written recommendation to the Town Council that addresses plan consistency and other matters as deemed appropriate by the Planning Board, but a comment by the Planning Board that a proposed amendment is inconsistent with the officially adopted plans shall not preclude consideration or approval of the proposed amendment by the Town Council.

consideration of approval of the proposed amendment by the rown council.						
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:						
Acreage:	+/- 25.39 acres					
PIN(s):	0619986598 an	d 06	19992186			
Current Zoning:	Wake County H	Vake County Highway District (HD)				
Proposed Zoning:	Planned Commercial-Conditional Zoning (PC-CZ)					
2045 Land Use Map:	Office Employment/Commercial Services					
Town Limits:	Outside Corpor	utside Corporate Limits and ETJ				
Applicable Officially Adopted Plans: The Board must state whether the project is consistent or inconsistent with the following officially adopted plans, if applicable. Applicable plans have a check mark next to them.						
✓ 2045 Land Use ✓ Consistent	•		Inconsistent	Reason:		
Apex Transport Consistent			Inconsistent	Reason:		
Parks, Recreation	on, Open Space,	and (Greenways Plan Inconsistent	Reason:		

Rezoning Case: 24CZ03 New Hill Holleman Rd

Planning Board Meeting Date: May 13, 2024



Legislative Considerations:

The applicant shall propose site-specific standards and conditions that take into account the following considerations, which are considerations that are relevant to the legislative determination of whether or not the proposed conditional zoning district rezoning request is in the public interest. These considerations do not exclude the legislative consideration of any other factor that is relevant to the public interest.

1.	Consistency with 2045 Land Use Plan. The proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use's appropriateness for its proposed location and consistency with the purposes, goals, objectives, and policies of the 2045 Land Use Plan.					
	Consistent	Inconsistent	Reason:			
2.		osed Conditional Zoning (CZ) with the character of surroun Inconsistent	District use's appropriateness for its proposed iding land uses. Reason:			
3.	Zoning district supplement with Sec. 4.4 Supplements Consistent		Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use's compliance Reason:			
4.	minimization of adverse avoidance of significant a	effects, including visual impa	proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use's act of the proposed use on adjacent lands; and ng lands regarding trash, traffic, service delivery, and not create a nuisance. Reason:			
	_	<u>—</u>				
5.	environmental impacts ar		Conditional Zoning District use's minimization of deterioration of water and air resources, wildlife Reason:			
	E CONSISTENT	meonsistent				

Rezoning Case: 24CZ03 New Hill Holleman Rd

Planning Board Meeting Date: May 13, 2024



6.	Impact on public facilities. The proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use's avoidance of having adverse impacts on public facilities and services, including roads, potable water and wastewater facilities, parks, schools, police, fire and EMS facilities.					
	☐ Consistent ✓ Inconsis	tent Reaso	n: Road section.			
7.	Health, safety, and welfare. The proposed Cor or welfare of the residents of the Town or its Consistent	ETJ.	District use's effect on the health, safety, 1: Road section.			
	— consistent	tent neaso	1			
8.	Detrimental to adjacent properties. Whet substantially detrimental to adjacent propert ✓ Consistent □ Inconsis	ies.				
			· -			
9.	Not constitute nuisance or hazard. Whether to a nuisance or hazard due to traffic impact or the Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use.		<u> </u>			
	☐ Consistent ✓ Inconsis	tent Reaso	n: Road section. Not a nuisance, but			
poten	tially a hazard.					
10.	Other relevant standards of this Ordinance. complies with all standards imposed on it b layout, and general development characterist Consistent Inconsis	y all other applical	ole provisions of this Ordinance for use,			

Rezoning Case: 24CZ03 New Hill Holleman Rd

Planning Board Meeting Date: May 13, 2024



Planning Board Recommendation:

	Motion:	To recommend denial of rezoning 24CZ03 based on staff recommendation.
I	ntroduced by Planning Board member:	Alyssa Byrd
	Seconded by Planning Board member:	Steven Rhodes
	Approval: the project is consistent with considerations listed above.	n all applicable officially adopted plans and the applicable legislative
		is not consistent with all applicable officially adopted plans and/or as as noted above, so the following conditions are recommended to make it fully consistent:
√	Denial: the project is not consistent legislative considerations as noted about	with all applicable officially adopted plans and/or the applicable ove.
		With7_ Planning Board Member(s) voting "aye"
		With0_ Planning Board Member(s) voting "no"
	Reasons for dissenting votes:	
This	report reflects the recommendation of	the Planning Board, this the <u>13th</u> day of <u>May</u> 2024.
Atte		
Tir	n Royal Digitally signed by Tim Date: 2024.05.14 07:5	Digitally signed by Dianne F. Khin Chate: 2024.05.13 18:45:08 -04'00'
	Royal, Planning Board Vice-Chair	Dianne Khin, Planning Director