# PLANNING BOARD REPORT TO TOWN COUNCIL

Rezoning Case: 26CZ10 Veridea Expansion V

**Planning Board Meeting Date:** October 12, 2026

## Report Requirements:

Per NCGS §160D-604(b), all proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance or zoning map shall be submitted to the Planning Board for review and comment. If no written report is received from the Planning Board within 30 days of referral of the amendment to the Planning Board, the Town Council may act on the amendment without the Planning Board report. The Town Council is not bound by the recommendations, if any, of the Planning Board.

Per NCGS §160D-604(d), the Planning Board shall advise and comment on whether the proposed action is consistent with all applicable officially adopted plans, and provide a written recommendation to the Town Council that addresses plan consistency and other matters as deemed appropriate by the Planning Board, but a comment by the Planning Board that a proposed amendment is inconsistent with the officially adopted plans shall not preclude consideration or approval of the proposed amendment by the Town Council.

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

| | |
|---|---|
| **Acreage:** | +/- 23.09 |
| **PIN(s):** | 0740889089, 0740990631, 0740188440, 0740188176, 0740980243, 0740981239, 0740765322, and 0741217259 |
| **Current Zoning:** | Rural Residential (RR), Light Industrial (LI), and Residential Agricultural (RA) |
| **Proposed Zoning:** | Sustainable Development-Conditional Zoning (SD-CZ) for Veridea |
| **2045 Land Use Map:** | Regional Mixed Use: Medium Density Residential/High Density Residential/ Office Employment/Commercial Services/Industrial Employment |
| **Town Limits:** | Within corporate limits and ETJ |

## Applicable Officially Adopted Plans:

The Board must state whether the project is consistent or inconsistent with the following officially adopted plans, if applicable. Applicable plans have a check mark next to them.

☑ 2045 Land Use Map
☑ Consistent ☐ Inconsistent Reason: _____

☑ Apex Transportation Plan
☑ Consistent ☐ Inconsistent Reason: _____

☑ Parks, Recreation, Open Space, and Greenways Plan
☑ Consistent ☐ Inconsistent Reason: _____

**Legislative Considerations:**

The applicant shall propose site-specific standards and conditions that take into account the following considerations, which are considerations that are relevant to the legislative determination of whether or not the proposed conditional zoning district rezoning request is in the public interest. These considerations do not exclude the legislative consideration of any other factor that is relevant to the public interest.

1. *Consistency with 2045 Land Use Plan.* The proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use's appropriateness for its proposed location and consistency with the purposes, goals, objectives, and policies of the 2045 Land Use Plan.
   ☑ Consistent ☐ Inconsistent Reason: _____

2. *Compatibility.* The proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use's appropriateness for its proposed location and compatibility with the character of surrounding land uses.
   ☑ Consistent ☐ Inconsistent Reason: _____

3. *Zoning district supplemental standards.* The proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use's compliance with Sec. 4.4 *Supplemental Standards*, if applicable.
   ☑ Consistent ☐ Inconsistent Reason: _____

4. *Design minimizes adverse impact.* The design of the proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use's minimization of adverse effects, including visual impact of the proposed use on adjacent lands; and avoidance of significant adverse impacts on surrounding lands regarding trash, traffic, service delivery, parking and loading, odors, noise, glare, and vibration and not create a nuisance.
   ☑ Consistent ☐ Inconsistent Reason: _____

5. *Design minimizes environmental impact.* The proposed Conditional Zoning District use's minimization of environmental impacts and protection from significant deterioration of water and air resources, wildlife habitat, scenic resources, and other natural resources.
   ☑ Consistent ☐ Inconsistent Reason: _____

6. *Impact on public facilities.* The proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use's avoidance of having adverse impacts on public facilities and services, including roads, potable water and wastewater facilities, parks, schools, police, fire and EMS facilities.
☑ Consistent ☐ Inconsistent Reason: _____

7. *Health, safety, and welfare.* The proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use's effect on the health, safety, or welfare of the residents of the Town or its ETJ.
☑ Consistent ☐ Inconsistent Reason: _____

8. *Detrimental to adjacent properties.* Whether the proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use is substantially detrimental to adjacent properties.
☑ Consistent ☐ Inconsistent Reason: _____

9. *Not constitute nuisance or hazard.* Whether the proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use constitutes a nuisance or hazard due to traffic impact or noise, or because of the number of persons who will be using the Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use.
☑ Consistent ☐ Inconsistent Reason: _____

10. *Other relevant standards of this Ordinance.* Whether the proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use complies with all standards imposed on it by all other applicable provisions of this Ordinance for use, layout, and general development characteristics.
☑ Consistent ☐ Inconsistent Reason: _____

**Planning Board Recommendation:**

Motion: Recommend approval

Introduced by Planning Board member: Jeff Hastings

Seconded by Planning Board member: Mark Spanioli

☑ *Approval*: the project is consistent with all applicable officially adopted plans and the applicable legislative considerations listed above.

☐ *Approval with conditions*: the project is not consistent with all applicable officially adopted plans and/or the applicable legislative considerations as noted above, so the following conditions are recommended to be included in the project in order to make it fully consistent:

☐ *Denial*: the project is not consistent with all applicable officially adopted plans and/or the applicable legislative considerations as noted above.

With 8 Planning Board Member(s) voting "aye"

With 0 Planning Board Member(s) voting "no"

Reasons for dissenting votes:

This report reflects the recommendation of the Planning Board, this the 12th day of October 2026.

Attest:

Keith Braswell, Planning Board Chair

Amanda Bunce Digitally signed by Amanda Bunce Date: 2026.10.12 18:45:58 -04'00'

Amanda Bunce, Assistant Planning Director