Rezoning Case: #24CZ12 Oliver Property

Planning Board Meeting Date: September 9, 2024



Report Requirements:

Per NCGS §160D-604(b), all proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance or zoning map shall be submitted to the Planning Board for review and comment. If no written report is received from the Planning Board within 30 days of referral of the amendment to the Planning Board, the Town Council may act on the amendment without the Planning Board report. The Town Council is not bound by the recommendations, if any, of the Planning Board.

Per NCGS §160D-604(d), the Planning Board shall advise and comment on whether the proposed action is consistent with all applicable officially adopted plans, and provide a written recommendation to the Town Council that addresses plan consistency and other matters as deemed appropriate by the Planning Board, but a comment by the Planning Board that a proposed amendment is inconsistent with the officially adopted plans shall not preclude consideration or approval of the proposed amendment by the Town Council.

PROJECT Acreage:	DESCRIPTION:	± 15.94 ac	cres			
PIN(s):		0721003444, 0721000505, and 0711903580 (portion of)				
Current 2	Zoning:	Wake County Residential 40W (R-40W)				
Proposed Zoning: 2045 Land Use Map:		Low Density Residential Low Density Residential				
if applica	d must state whether bble. Applicable plans h 45 Land Use Map Consistent			onsistent with the following officially adopted plans, em. Reason:		
Ap	ex Transportation Plan Consistent	n	Inconsistent	Reason:		
	rks, Recreation, Open Consistent	Space, and	Greenways Plan Inconsistent	Reason:		

Rezoning Case: #24CZ12 Oliver Property

Planning Board Meeting Date: September 9, 2024



Legislative Considerations:

The applicant shall propose site-specific standards and conditions that take into account the following considerations, which are considerations that are relevant to the legislative determination of whether or not the proposed conditional zoning district rezoning request is in the public interest. These considerations do not exclude the legislative consideration of any other factor that is relevant to the public interest.

1. Consistency with 2045 Land Use Plan. The proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use's for its proposed location and consistency with the purposes, goals, objectives, and policies of Use Plan.					
		□ Inc	consistent	Reason:	
		erusseus (1 has ZDZC	nicia susuación — Comin	
2.	Compatibility. The propo location and compatibility 译 Consistent	with the char		Z) District use's appropriateness for its proposed unding land uses. Reason:	
		n ant to small	ada ta besitu		
3.	Zoning district supplemen with Sec. 4.4 Supplementa Consistent	l Standards, if		d Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use's compliance Reason:	
			gredi of t	ren ansig 25-412 m.ev.ev. eneig eldestige. A. eldestig gen. 1	
4.	minimization of adverse of avoidance of significant ac	effects, includ dverse impact , noise, glare,	ling visual im s on surroun	e proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use's pact of the proposed use on adjacent lands; and ding lands regarding trash, traffic, service delivery, and not create a nuisance. Reason:	
5.		d protection f and other nat	rom significa	ed Conditional Zoning District use's minimization of at deterioration of water and air resources, wildlife. Reason:	

Rezoning Case: #24CZ12 Oliver Property

Planning Board Meeting Date: September 9, 2024



6.		proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use's avoidance of having adverse services, including roads, potable water and wastewater facilities, parks, cilities.			
	☑ Consistent ☐ Incons	istent	Reason:		
7.	or welfare of the residents of the Town or it	s ETJ.	ing (CZ) District use's effect on the health, safety,		
	☐ Incons	istent	Reason:		
8.	substantially detrimental to adjacent proper	rties.	pposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use is		
	☐ Incons	istent	Reason:		
side	olings et a soldas sasig betvoling disio No e	Consuce de	this teatrience son a majora set issues.		
9.			d Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use constitutes cause of the number of persons who will be using		
	Consistent Incons	istent	Reason:		
			and the second s		
10.		by all other stics.	ne proposed Conditional Zoning (CZ) District use applicable provisions of this Ordinance for use, Reason:		
	Jako a la companya da				

Rezoning Case: #24CZ12 Oliver Property

Planning Board Meeting Date: September 9, 2024



Planning Board Recommendation:

Motion:	To recommend approval as presented				
Introduced by Planning Board member:	Alvssa Byrd				
Seconded by Planning Board member:					
Approval: the project is consistent with considerations listed above.	all applicable officially adopted plans and the applicable legislative				
the applicable legislative consideration	Approval with conditions: the project is not consistent with all applicable officially adopted plans and/or the applicable legislative considerations as noted above, so the following conditions are recommended to be included in the project in order to make it fully consistent:				
Conditions as offered by developer an	d as presented.				
Denial: the project is not consistent legislative considerations as noted about	with all applicable officially adopted plans and/or the applicable ove. With Planning Board Member(s) voting "aye"				
	With \overline{D} Planning Board Member(s) voting "no"				
Reasons for dissenting votes:					
This report reflects the recommendation of	the Planning Board, this the 9th day of September 2024.				
Attest:					
	Maire Skhin				
Tina Sherman Planning Board Chair	Dianne Khin, Planning Director				

Unified Development Ordinance Amendments

Planning Board Meeting Date: September 9, 2024



Report Requirements:

Per NCGS §160D-604, all proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance or zoning map shall be submitted to the Planning Board for review and comment. If no written report is received from the Planning Board within 30 days of referral of the amendment to the Planning Board, the Town Council may act on the amendment without the Planning Board report. The Town Council is not bound by the recommendations, if any, of the Planning Board.

Planning Board Recommendation:					
Motion: To recommend	lapproval as presented.				
Introduced by Planning Board member:	Jeff Hastings				
Seconded by Planning Board member:	Sarah Soh				
 ✓ Approval of the proposed UDO amendment(s) ✓ Approval of the proposed UDO amendment(s) with the following conditions: 					
☐ Denial of the proposed UDO amendme	ent(s)				
	With 7 Planning Board Member(s) voting "aye"				
	With 0 Planning Board Member(s) voting "no"				
Reasons for dissenting votes:					
This report reflects the recommendation of	the Planning Board, this the 9th day of September 2024.				
Attest:					
	Shanne J. Khin				
Tina Sherman, Planning Board Chair	Dianne Khin, Planning Director				