

**CITY OF ANGELS
CITY COUNCIL
RESOLUTION No. 25-102**

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF ANGELS CITY COUNCIL

DENYING/APPROVING A REIMBURSEMENT OF \$714/XXX FEE FOR AN APPEAL TO CITY COUNCIL OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION 's OCTOBER 17, 2025, DECISION PER PC RESOLUTION 25-15

WHEREAS, the City received an application for pastoral offices, a community education center and school for high school students; and

WHEREAS, the City of Angels Municipal Code requires that such development requires a conditional use permit; and

WHEREAS, the City of Angels Municipal Code requires that such development requires a finding of Architectural Conformity; and

WHEREAS, at a duly noticed public hearing the Planning Commission heard and considered public input on the proposed project; and

WHEREAS, the project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15301 (Existing Facilities), as the project involves no expansion to the existing commercial structure or former use at the site; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Planning Commission resolution 25-15, the Planning Commission approved the project on a vote of 4-0-1 (Stammerjohan absent); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Angels Municipal Code Section 17.81.010, an appeal was filed challenging the Planning Commission's approval on October 17, 2025; and

WHEREAS, the appellant paid a \$714 fee to be heard by the City Council; and

WHEREAS, at a duly noticed public hearing, the City Council heard and considered public input on the proposed project appeal; and

WHEREAS, on November 18, 2025, the City Council considered and denied the appeal; and

WHEREAS, on December 1, 2025, the appellant requested a reimbursement of the \$714.00 fee; and

WHEREAS, on December 16, 2025, the City Council did consider the request for reimbursement;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Angels **approves/denies** the request for reimbursement in the amount of **\$714, XXX** and based on the following finding:

1. The Project is categorically exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to the state and City guidelines for the implementation of CEQA pursuant to Section 15378(b)(4) (Definition of a Project Subject to CEQA) because the reimbursement is not a project under CEQA and will not result in any physical change to the environment.

Passed and adopted this 16th day of December 2025, by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

Michael Chimento, Mayor

Michelle Gonzalez, City Clerk



HOME OF THE JUMPING FROG