

Design and Engineering Rating Sheet

Entity IAD Architects
 Name of Respondent _____
 Evaluator's Name Evaluator 1

Date of Rating 11/21/2022

Experience -- Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Has previously designed building projects	20	<u>15</u>	
2. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	15	<u>10</u>	
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	10		
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	15	<u>15</u>	
Subtotal, Experience	<u>60</u>	<u>50</u>	

Work Performance

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>10</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>5</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	10	<u>10</u>
Subtotal, Performance	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Capacity to Perform

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u>4</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	<u>4</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	<u>15</u>	<u>13</u>

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	60	<u>50</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	25	<u>25</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	15	<u>13</u>
Total Score	<u>100</u>	<u>88</u>

Design and Engineering Rating Sheet

Entity iAD Architects
 Name of Respondent _____
 Evaluator's Name Evaluator 2

Date of Rating 11/21/2022

Experience -- Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:

Factor	Max. Pts.	Score
1. Has previously designed building projects	20	<u>20</u>
2. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	15	<u>7</u>
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	10	<u>10</u>
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	15	<u>15</u>
Subtotal, Experience	<u>60</u>	<u>52</u>

Comments

Over 95% of Projects in Brazoria County

Work Performance

Factor	Max. Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>10</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>5</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	10	<u>10</u>
Subtotal, Performance	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>

General Score until reference check

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Capacity to Perform

Factor	Max. Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u>5</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	<u>5</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max. Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	60	<u>52</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	25	<u>25</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	15	<u>15</u>
Total Score	<u>100</u>	<u>92</u>

Design and Engineering Rating Sheet

Entity iAD Architects
 Name of Respondent _____
 Evaluator's Name Evaluator 3

Date of Rating 11/21/2022

Experience – Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:

Factor	Max. Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Has previously designed building projects	20	<u>15</u>	
2. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	15	<u>15</u>	
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	10		
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	15	<u>10</u> <u>15</u>	
Subtotal, Experience	<u>60</u>	<u>55</u>	

Work Performance

Factor	Max. Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>10</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>5</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	10	<u>10</u>
Subtotal, Performance	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Capacity to Perform

Factor	Max. Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u>5</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	<u>5</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max. Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	60	<u>55</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	25	<u>25</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	15	<u>15</u>
Total Score	<u>100</u>	<u>95</u>

Design and Engineering Rating Sheet

Entity iAD Architects
 Name of Respondent _____
 Evaluator's Name Evaluator 4

Date of Rating 11/21/2022

Experience -- Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Has previously designed building projects	20	<u>20</u>	
2. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	15	<u>15</u>	
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	10		
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	15	<u>10</u> <u>15</u>	
Subtotal, Experience	<u>60</u>	<u>60</u>	

Work Performance

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	
3. Work product is of high quality	10	
Subtotal, Performance	<u>25</u>	

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Capacity to Perform

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u>5</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	<u>5</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	60	<u>60</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	25	<u>25</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	15	<u>15</u>
Total Score	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>

Design and Engineering Rating Sheet

Entity iAD Architects
 Name of Respondent _____
 Evaluator's Name Evaluator 5

Date of Rating 11/21/2022

Experience – Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Has previously designed building projects	20	<u>18</u>	
2. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	15	<u>14</u>	
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	10		
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	15	<u>10</u> <u>12</u> <u>34</u>	
Subtotal, Experience	60		

Work Performance

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>8</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>5</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	10	<u>8</u> <u>21</u>
Subtotal, Performance	25	

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Capacity to Perform

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u>5</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	<u>4</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	<u>14</u>

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	60	<u>54</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	25	<u>21</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	15	<u>14</u>
Total Score	100	<u>89</u>

Design and Engineering Rating Sheet

Entity iAD Architects
 Name of Respondent _____
 Evaluator's Name Evaluator 6

Date of Rating 11/21/2022

Experience -- Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Has previously designed building projects	20	<u>20</u>	
2. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	15	<u>15</u>	
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	10	<u>10</u>	
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	15	<u>15</u>	
Subtotal, Experience	<u>60</u>	<u>60</u>	

Work Performance

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>9</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>5</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	10	<u>10</u>
Subtotal, Performance	<u>25</u>	<u>24</u>

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Capacity to Perform

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u>5</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	<u>5</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	60	<u>60</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	25	<u>24</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	15	<u>15</u>
Total Score	<u>100</u>	<u>99</u>