Notes:

60840 building

2 engine bay

1 bay storage

Looking Station3 or 1

Design and Engineering Rating Sheet $\,\cdot\,$

	McLemore Luong of Respondent or's Name Evaluator 1	- -		Date of Rating 11/21	/2022
Evnoria	ange Pate the respondent for experience in	the following gross			
Expens	ence Rate the respondent for experience in Factor	i tile tollowing areas	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1.	Has previously designed building projects		20	20	<u>oommone</u>
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction	n projects	15	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in region.	, ,	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engir selection criterion provided its applic appropriate number of qualified firms, giving size of the project, to compete for the 200.319(b)	ation leaves an		10	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction	management	15	15	
	Subtotal, Experience		60	55	
Work F	erformance				
	<u>Factor</u>		Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule		10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constra	ints	5	-5-	
3.	Work product is of high quality		10	10	
	Subtotal, Perfo	rmance	25	25	
NOTE: Info	rmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria shou	ld be gathered by contacting p	ast/current clients.		
Capaci	ty to Perform				
	Factor		Max.Pts.	Score	
	Staff Level / Experience of Staff		5	_5_	
	Adequacy of Resources		5	5	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force		5	_5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to P	erform	15	15	
TOTAL	SCORE				
	<u>Factor</u>		Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience		60	55.	
	Work Performance		25	25	
	Capacity to Perform		15	15	
	Total \$	Score	100	95	

	McLemore Luong of Respondent or's Name Evaluator 2		Date of Rating 11/2	1/2022
Experie	ence - Rate the respondent for experience in the following are	36.		
LAPETIC	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1	Has previously designed building projects	20		Comments
	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	15	<u> </u>	complet
	Has worked on projects that were located in this general	10	/	* project
3.	region. Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be selection criterion provided its application leaves a appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature an size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CF 200.319(b)	a n d	0	* project complete * project complete with previous employers Pridominantly autsid Chapteria
	* *	15		County
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	_/5	
	Subtatal Experience		49	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	44	_
Work P	erformance			
3303KL	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	5.1
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>50016</u>	, seal J
	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	- 10	1. exal mener
	Work product is of high quality	. 10		General search
٥.	Tronk product to or high quality	10		rentil a butter
	Subtotal, Performance	25	<u>25</u> 25	<i>O</i> .
NOTE: Info	rmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacti			
				
<u>Capaci</u>	ty to Perform			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	グ	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	_		
	Adequacy of Resources	5	.5	
	Professional liability insurance is in force	5 5	<u>-5</u>	
			<u>5</u> 5 15	
	Professional flability insurance is in force	5	5 5 	
3.	Professional flability insurance is in force	5		
3.	Professional flability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	5		
3.	Professional flability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE	15	15	•
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor	5 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u>	15	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience	15 Max.Pts. 60	/ S Score ソタ	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience Work Performance	5 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60 25	Score Y9 25	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience Work Performance	5 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60 25	/ S Score マタ ラミ / 5	
3.	Professional flability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience Work Performance Capacity to Perform	5 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60 25 15	15 Score 4 9 25 15 8 9	

Entity	McLemore Luong of Respondent			Date of Rating 11/21	/2022
	or's Name Evaluator 3	_			
_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	OF STREET				
Experie	ence Rate the respondent for experience in	the following areas	:		
	Factor		Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1.	Has previously designed building projects		20	10	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction	n projects	15	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in	n this general	10		
	region.				
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engli selection criterion provided its applic				
	appropriate number of qualified firms, give				
	size of the project, to compete for the				
	200.319(b)			0	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction	management	15	\ Ð.	
					•
	Subtotal, Experience		60	40.	
Work F	erformance				
	<u>Factor</u>		Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule		10	ln.	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constra	ints	5 ·	<u> </u>	
3.	Work product is of high quality		10	ıb	
	Subtotal, Perfo	rmance	25	ሕና	
NOTE: Info	rmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria shou	ld be gathered by contacting p	ast/current dients.		
Capaci	ty to Perform				
	Factor		Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff		5	3	
2.	Adequacy of Resources		5		
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force		5		
	· ·				
	Subtotal, Capacity to P	erform	15	15	
			•-	 _	
TOTAL	SCORE				
	Factor		Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience		60	HO	
	Work Performance		25	15	
	Capacity to Perform		15	15	
	,				
	Total S	Score	100	O A	
	Total		100	<u>7 U</u>	
				2	
				•	

	McLemore Luong of Respondent	<u> </u>		Date of Rating 11/21	/2022
Evaluat	or's Name <u>Evaluator 4</u>	<u></u>			•
Experie	ence – Rate the respondent for experience i	the following areas:	:		
_	Factor		Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1.	Has previously designed building projects		20	20	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction	n projects	15	15	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located i region. Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engi selection criterion provided its applic	neer) may be a	10		
	appropriate number of qualified firms, givesize of the project, to compete for the 200.319(b)			8	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction	management	15	10	
	Subtotal, Experience		60	53_	
Work F	Performance				
	Factor		Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule		10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constra	ints	5	- ç	
3.	Work product is of high quality		10	10	
	Subtotal, Perfe	armanca	25		
NOTE: Info	ormation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria sho			23	1
	: -		•		
Capaci	ty to Perform				
	<u>Factor</u>		Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff		5	5	
2.	Adequacy of Resources		5	2	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force		5	5	
	Cultural Composituato				
	Subtotal, Capacity to F	епогт	15	12	
TOTAL	SCORE				
	Factor		Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience		60	53	
· 🗖	Work Performance		25	25	
	Capacity to Perform		15	12.	
	•				
	Total	 Score	100	90	

	McLemore Luong f Respondent			Date of Rating 11/21/2	2022
Evaluat	or's Name Evaluator 5				
Experie	ence – Rate the respondent for experience in the	he following areas:			
	<u>Factor</u>		Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1.			20	<u> 18 </u>	
2.	· '		15	<u> 14 </u>	
3.		his general	10		
	region. Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engines selection criterion provided its application appropriate number of qualified firms, given size of the project, to compete for the country (200.319(b))	ion leaves an the nature and		10	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction m	nanagement	15	12	
	Subtotal, Experience	£	60	59	
Work P	erformance				
	Factor		Max.Pts.	Score	
1.			10	8	
2.		ts	5	-5	
3.	Work product is of high quality		10	8	
	Subtotal, Perform	nance	25	21	
NOTE: Info	rmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should b	e gathered by contacting pa	st/current clients.		
Canaci	ty to Perform				
Gapaci	Factor		Max.Pts.	Score	
1	Staff Level / Experience of Staff		5	<u> </u>	
2.	·		5		
	Professional liability insurance is in force		5		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	Subtotal, Capacity to Peri	form	15	14	
TOTAL	SCORE				
	<u>Factor</u>		Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
	Experience		60	£4	
	Work Performance		25	21	
	Capacity to Perform		15	14	
		_			
	Total Sc	ore	100	89	
					

Entity	McLemore Luong	Date Ratin	of 11/21/2022
Name o	of Respondent		
Evaluat	or's Name <u>Evaluator 6</u>		
Ехрегіс	ence Rate the respondent for experience in the follow	ing areas:	
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score Comments
1.	Has previously designed building projects	20	19
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	15	74
3.		al 10	
	region.		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may selection criterion provided its application leave		
	appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nati		
	size of the project, to compete for the contract.	2 CFR	a
	200.319(b)		<u> </u>
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	ent 15	<u>15</u>
	Subtotal, Experience	60 2	<u>57</u>
Work F	<u>Performance</u>		
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1.		10	7,
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>4</u>
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	10
			<u> 77 </u>
	Subtotal, Performance	25	43
NOTE: Info	ormation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered b	y contacting past/current clients.	
Composi	tuta Parform		
Capaci	tty to Perform Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	<u> </u>	5
	Adequacy of Resources	5 -	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5 —	<u> </u>
J .	Professional hability insulance is in force	_	
	Cultitatel Consolitate Bostom		
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform		15
TOTAL	SCORE		
IOIAL	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
п	Experience	60	<u> </u>
_	Work Performance	25	$\frac{27}{62}$
	Capacity to Perform	15	- 22
	Capacity to Perioriti	· -	-15 -
	Total Score	100	7
	Tutal Score		75
			l -