

Energy Performance Rating Sheet

Entity Schneider Electric

Date of Rating _____

Name of Respondent _____

Evaluator's Name Evaluator 1

Experience -- Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Has previously designed energy performance projects	20	<u>18</u>	
2. Has worked on funded construction projects	15	<u>13</u>	
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. Note: Location may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR200.319(b)	10		
4. Extent of experience in financial sourcing	15	<u>8</u> <u>13</u>	
Subtotal, Experience	60	<u>52</u>	

Work Performance (References)

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>8</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>4</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	10	<u>8</u>
Subtotal, Performance	25	<u>20</u>

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Capacity to Perform

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u>5</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	<u>4</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>4</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	<u>13</u>

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	60	<u>52</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	25	<u>20</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	15	<u>13</u>
Total Score	100	<u>85</u>

Interview Notes:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.

Energy Performance Rating Sheet

Entity Schneider Electric

Date of Rating 9/29/22

Name of Respondent _____

Evaluator's Name EVALUATOR 2

Experience -- Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:

Factor	Max. Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Has previously designed energy performance projects	20	<u>20</u>	Great exp. City, Gov. different funding mech's
2. Has worked on funded construction projects	15	<u>15</u>	
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. Note: Location may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR200.319(b)	10		
		<u>5</u>	2 in close area plenty in TX.
4. Extent of experience in financial sourcing	15	<u>10</u>	different funding mech's
Subtotal, Experience	60	<u>55</u>	<u>50</u>

Work Performance (References)

Factor	Max. Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>10</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>5</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	10	<u>10</u>
Subtotal, Performance	25	<u>25</u>

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Capacity to Perform

Factor	Max. Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u>5</u>	93% success 23 years exp. 128,000 employees globally
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	<u>5</u>	
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>	
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	<u>15</u>	

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max. Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	60	<u>55</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	25	<u>25</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	15	<u>15</u>
Total Score	100	<u>95</u>

Interview Notes:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.

Energy Performance Rating Sheet

Entity Schneider Electric

Date of Rating 9/29/22

Name of Respondent _____

Evaluator's Name

EVALUATOR 3

OVERALL PROF.
PACKET

Experience -- Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:

Factor	Max.Pts.
1. Has previously designed energy performance projects	20
2. Has worked on funded construction projects	15
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10

Score

Comments

20

15

ASSUMPTION THEY
WERE FUNDED

Note: Location may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR200.319(b)

4. Extent of experience in financial sourcing	15
---	----

10

10

TAX NOTE, BOND,
LEASE, CITY FUNDS,
3RD PARTY

Subtotal, Experience

60

55

Work Performance (References)

Factor	Max.Pts.
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5
3. Work product is of high quality	10

Score

0

0

10

UNKNOWN
UNKNOWN
UNKNOWN - GAVE
ALL 10

Subtotal, Performance

25

10

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Capacity to Perform

Factor	Max.Pts.
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5
2. Adequacy of Resources	5
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5

Score

5

5

0

NOT DEMONSTRATED

Subtotal, Capacity to Perform

15

10

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	60
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	25
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	15

Score

55

10

10

Total Score

100

75

Interview Notes:

1. NOTES ON BACK

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

NOTES

23 YRS MS SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC

Energy Performance Rating Sheet

Entity Schneider Electric

Date of Rating 7/29/22

Name of Respondent _____

Evaluator's Name

EVALUATOR 4

Experience -- Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Has previously designed energy performance projects	20	<u>20</u>	
2. Has worked on funded construction projects	15	<u>15</u>	
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. Note: Location may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR200.319(b)	10		
4. Extent of experience in financial sourcing	15	<u>8</u> <u>15</u>	Houston clute
Subtotal, Experience	60	<u>58</u>	

Work Performance (References)

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>10</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>5</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	10	<u>10</u>
Subtotal, Performance	25	<u>25</u>

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Capacity to Perform

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u>5</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	<u>5</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>0</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	<u>10</u>

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	60	<u>58</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	25	<u>25</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	15	<u>10</u>
Total Score	100	<u>93</u>

Interview Notes:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.

23 years

Energy Performance Rating Sheet

Entity Schneider Electric

Date of Rating 9-29-22

Name of Respondent _____

Evaluator's Name EVALUATOR 5

Experience -- Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Has previously designed energy performance projects	20	<u>20</u>	
2. Has worked on funded construction projects	15	<u>15</u>	
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. Note: Location may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR200.319(b)	10		
		<u>10</u>	
4. Extent of experience in financial sourcing	15	<u>0</u>	<u>NOT ADDRESSED</u>
Subtotal, Experience	60	<u>50</u>	<u>45</u>

Work Performance (References)

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	_____
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	_____
3. Work product is of high quality	10	_____
Subtotal, Performance	25	<u>25</u>

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Capacity to Perform

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u>5</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	<u>5</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	<u>15</u>

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	60	<u>50</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	25	<u>25</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	15	<u>15</u>
Total Score	100	<u>90</u>

Interview Notes:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.

Energy Performance Rating Sheet

Entity Schneider Electric

Date of Rating _____

Name of Respondent _____

Evaluator's Name EVALUATOR 6

Experience – Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Has previously designed energy performance projects	20	<u>20</u>	
2. Has worked on funded construction projects	15	<u>15</u>	
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. Note: Location may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR200.319(b)	10		
4. Extent of experience in financial sourcing	15	<u>7</u> <u>11</u>	
Subtotal, Experience	60	48 53	

Work Performance (References)

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>10</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>5</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	10	<u>10</u>
Subtotal, Performance	25	<u>25</u>

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Capacity to Perform

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u>4</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	<u>5</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	<u>14</u>

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	60	48 53
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	25	<u>25</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	15	<u>14</u>
Total Score	100	87 92

Interview Notes:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.