

Warning Sirens Rating Sheet

Entity City of Angleton
 Name of Respondent: Turner Power
 Evaluator's Name I

Date of Rating
12/1/2022

Cost

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	<u>15</u>	<u>199,847.69</u>
2. Cost is considered reasonable to the project	20	<u>5</u>	
3. Cost can be scalable	5	<u>5</u>	

Subtotal, Cost

50

25

Experience with Systems and Installations

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed	10	<u>5</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	<u>5</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	5	<u>5</u>

Subtotal, Experience

25

15

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Ability to Complete the Project in Two Months

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	10	<u>5</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	10	<u>10</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>

Subtotal, Ability to Complete

25

20

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Cost	50	<u>25</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	25	<u>15</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Ability to Complete	25	<u>20</u>

Total Score

100

60

Interview Notes:

Warning Sirens Rating Sheet

Entity City of Angleton

Date of Rating

Name of Respondent: American Signal Corp.

12/1/22

Evaluator's Name I

Cost

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	<u>25</u>	<u>108,085.13</u>
2. Cost is considered reasonable to the project	20	<u>20</u>	
3. Cost can be scalable	5	<u>5</u>	

Subtotal, Cost

50

50

Experience with Systems and Installations

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed	10	<u>8</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	<u>10</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	5	<u>5</u>

Subtotal, Experience

25

23

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Ability to Complete the Project in Two Months

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	10	<u>10</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	10	<u>10</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>0</u>

Subtotal, Ability to Complete

25

20

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Cost	50	<u>50</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	25	<u>23</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Ability to Complete	25	<u>20</u>

Total Score

100

93

Interview Notes:

Warning Sirens Rating Sheet

Entity City of Angleton
 Name of Respondent: MC A
 Evaluator's Name I

Date of Rating
12/1/2022

Cost

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	20	162,847.26
2. Cost is considered reasonable to the project	20	15	
3. Cost can be scalable	5	5	

Subtotal, Cost

50 45

Experience with Systems and Installations

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed	10	10
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	10
3. Work product is of high quality	5	5

Subtotal, Experience

25 25

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Ability to Complete the Project in Two Months

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	10	10
2. Adequacy of Resources	10	10
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5

Subtotal, Ability to Complete

25 25

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Cost	50	45
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	25	25
<input type="checkbox"/> Ability to Complete	25	25

Total Score

100 95

Interview Notes:

Warning Sirens Rating Sheet

Entity

City of Angleton

Name of Respondent

Turner Power

Evaluator's Name

2

Date of Rating

12/1/22

Cost

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	<u>5</u>	
2. Cost is considered reasonable to the project	20	<u>5</u>	
3. Cost can be scalable	5	<u>3</u>	

Subtotal, Cost

50

13

Experience with Systems and Installations

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed	10	<u>5</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	<u>5</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	5	<u>5</u>

Subtotal, Experience

25

15

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Ability to Complete the Project in Two Months

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	10	<u>5</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	10	<u>5</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>

Subtotal, Ability to Complete

25

15

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Cost	50	<u>5</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	25	<u>10</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Ability to Complete	25	<u>10</u>
		<u>25</u>
Total Score	100	<u>50</u>

Interview Notes:

Warning Sirens Rating Sheet

Entity City of Angleton
 Name of Respondent: ASC
 Evaluator's Name 2

Date of Rating 12/1/22

Cost

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	<u>25</u>	
2. Cost is considered reasonable to the project	20	<u>20</u>	
3. Cost can be scalable	5	<u>3</u>	

Subtotal, Cost

50

48

Experience with Systems and Installations

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed	10	<u>10</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	<u>5</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	5	<u>5</u>

Subtotal, Experience

25

20

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Ability to Complete the Project in Two Months

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	10	<u>10</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	10	<u>10</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	

Subtotal, Ability to Complete

25

20

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Cost	50	<u>48</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	25	<u>20</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Ability to Complete	25	<u>20</u>

Total Score

100

88

Interview Notes:

Warning Sirens Rating Sheet

Entity City of Angleton
 Name of Respondent: MCA
 Evaluator's Name 2

Date of Rating 12/1/22

Cost

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	<u>25</u>	
2. Cost is considered reasonable to the project	20	<u>20</u>	
3. Cost can be scalable	5	<u>5</u>	

Subtotal, Cost

50 50

Experience with Systems and Installations

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed	10	<u>8</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	<u>10</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	5	<u>5</u>

Subtotal, Experience

25 23

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Ability to Complete the Project in Two Months

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	10	<u>10</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	10	<u>10</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>3</u>

Subtotal, Ability to Complete

25 23

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Cost	50	<u>40</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	25	<u>20</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Ability to Complete	25	<u>20</u>

Total Score

100 80

Interview Notes:

Warning Sirens Rating Sheet

Entity City of Angleton
 Name of Respondent: B Turner Power
 Evaluator's Name 3

Date of Rating 12/1/22

Cost

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	<u>10</u>	
2. Cost is considered reasonable to the project	20	<u>5</u>	
3. Cost can be scalable	5	<u>5</u>	

Subtotal, Cost

50

20

Experience with Systems and Installations

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed	10	<u>10</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	<u>5</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	5	<u>3</u>

Subtotal, Experience

25

18

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Ability to Complete the Project in Two Months

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	10	<u>10</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	10	<u>10</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>

Subtotal, Ability to Complete

25

25

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Cost	50	<u>20</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	25	<u>18</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Ability to Complete	25	<u>25</u>

Total Score

100

60

Interview Notes:

Warning Sirens Rating Sheet

Entity City of Angleton
 Name of Respondent: ASC
 Evaluator's Name 3

Date of Rating
12/1/22

Cost

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	<u>25</u>	
2. Cost is considered reasonable to the project	20	<u>20</u>	
3. Cost can be scalable	5	<u>5</u>	

Subtotal, Cost

50

50

Experience with Systems and Installations

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed	10	<u>10</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	<u>10</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	5	<u>3</u>

Subtotal, Experience

25

23

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Ability to Complete the Project in Two Months

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	10	<u>10</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	10	<u>5</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>0</u>

Subtotal, Ability to Complete

25

15

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Cost	50	<u>50</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	25	<u>23</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Ability to Complete	25	<u>15</u>

Total Score

100

88

Interview Notes:

Warning Sirens Rating Sheet

Entity City of Angleton
 Name of Respondent: MCA
 Evaluator's Name 3

Date of Rating
12/1/22

Cost

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	<u>20</u>	
2. Cost is considered reasonable to the project	20	<u>18</u>	
3. Cost can be scalable	5	<u>5</u>	

Subtotal, Cost

50

43

Experience with Systems and Installations

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed	10	<u>10</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	<u>8</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	5	<u>5</u>

Subtotal, Experience

25

23

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Ability to Complete the Project in Two Months

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	10	<u>10</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	10	<u>10</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>

Subtotal, Ability to Complete

25

25

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Cost	50	<u>43</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	25	<u>23</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Ability to Complete	25	<u>25</u>

Total Score

100

91

Interview Notes:

Warning Sirens Rating Sheet

Entity City of Angleton
 Name of Respondent: Turner
 Evaluator's Name 4

Date of Rating 12/1/22

Cost

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	<u>10</u>	<u>\$199,897.69</u>
2. Cost is considered reasonable to the project	20	<u>10</u>	
3. Cost can be scalable	5		

Subtotal, Cost

50

22

Experience with Systems and Installations

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed	10	<u>10</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	<u>10</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	5	<u>5</u>

Subtotal, Experience

25

25

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Ability to Complete the Project in Two Months

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	10	<u>5</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	10	<u>10</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>

Subtotal, Ability to Complete

25

20

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Cost	50	<u>22</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	25	<u>25</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Ability to Complete	25	<u>20</u>

Total Score

100

67

Interview Notes:

Warning Sirens Rating Sheet

Entity City of Angleton
 Name of Respondent: ASC
 Evaluator's Name 4

Date of Rating
12-1-2022

Cost

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	<u>20</u>	<u>\$108'085.13</u>
2. Cost is considered reasonable to the project	20	<u>20</u>	
3. Cost can be scalable	5		

Subtotal, Cost

3
43

Experience with Systems and Installations

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed	10	<u>10</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	<u>10</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	5	<u>5</u>

Subtotal, Experience

25

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Ability to Complete the Project in Two Months

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	10	<u>10</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	10	<u>8</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>2</u>

Subtotal, Ability to Complete

20

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Cost	50	<u>43</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	25	<u>25</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Ability to Complete	25	<u>20</u>

Total Score

88

Interview Notes:

Warning Sirens Rating Sheet

Entity City of Angleton
 Name of Respondent: MCA
 Evaluator's Name 4

Date of Rating 12-1-2022

Cost

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	<u>25</u>	\$162,847.76 Savings of \$24,548.37 if using wooden poles instead of concrete.
2. Cost is considered reasonable to the project	20	<u>20</u>	
3. Cost can be scalable	5	<u>5</u>	
Subtotal, Cost	50	<u>50</u>	

Experience with Systems and Installations

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed	10	<u>10</u>
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	<u>10</u>
3. Work product is of high quality	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Experience	25	<u>25</u>

NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients.

Ability to Complete the Project in Two Months

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	10	<u>10</u>
2. Adequacy of Resources	10	<u>10</u>
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Ability to Complete	25	<u>25</u>

TOTAL SCORE

Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Cost	50	<u>50</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	25	<u>25</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Ability to Complete	25	<u>25</u>
Total Score	100	<u>100</u>

Interview Notes: