Entity	City of Angleton		Date of Rating	
Name	e of Respondent: Torner Power ator's Name	12/1/2022		
1. 2. 3.	Cost is considered reasonable to the project	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 25 20 5	Score 15	Comments 199,847-69
	Subtotal, Cost	50	25	
Experi	ence with Systems and Installations			
4	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	and project and pr	10	5	
2.	3 , ,	10	5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	5		
	Subtotal, Experience	25	15	
NOTE: Info	ormation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by con	ntacting past/current clients.		
Abilie	to Complete the Project in Two Months			
ADIIILY	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.		10	5	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	10	10	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5		
	Subtotal, Ability to Complete	25	20	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Cost	50	25	
	Experience	25	15	
	Ability to Complete	25	20	
Intervi	Total Score iew Notes:	100	60	

Entity	City of Angleton		Date of Rating	
Name	of Respondent: American Signal (orp.		12/1	122
Evalua	ator's Name/		17	
Cost	_			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments 108, 085.13
1.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	25	25-	108,085-13
2. 3.	Cost is considered reasonable to the project Cost can be scalable	20	20	
0.	OUST CUIT DO SOCIADIO	5	5	
	Subtotal, Cost	50	50	
Experi	ence with Systems and Installations			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	; ;	10	8	
2.	3 , , , , ,	10	10	 -
3.	Work product is of high quality	5	_ 5	
	Subtotal, Experience	25	23	
NOTE: Info	ormation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contact	ting past/current dients.		
Ability	to Complete the Project in Two Months			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	10	10	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	10	10	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	\mathcal{O}	
	Subtotal, Ability to Complete	25	20	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Cost	50	50	
	Experience	25	23	
	Ability to Complete	25	20	
Intervi	ew Notes:	100	93	

Entity	City of Angleton		Date of Rating	
Name	of Respondent: A	12/1/2022		
Cost				
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1.	Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	20	Comments 162,847.26
2.	Cost is considered reasonable to the project	20	4570	165,000
3.	Cost can be scalable	5	20	
F	Subtotal, Cost	50	45	
Experi	ence with Systems and Installations Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.		<u>Max.Fts.</u> 10		
2.		10	10	
3.		5	-10	
0.	Training of the state of the st	· ·	5	v
	Subtotal, Experience	25	25	
NOTE: Inf	ormation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by co	ontacting past/current clients.		
Ability	to Complete the Project in Two Months			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	10	10	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	10	10	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	_5	
	Subtotal, Ability to Complete	25	25	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Cost	50	45	
	Experience	25	25	
	Ability to Complete	25	25	
Interv	iew Notes:	100	95	

	of Respondent Turner Power tor's Name 2	Date of Rating 12/1/22		
Cost				
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1.	Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	95	
2.	Cost is considered reasonable to the project	20	5	
3.	Cost can be scalable	5	2	
	Subtotal, Cost	50	13	
Experie	ence with Systems and Installations			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed	10	5	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	-5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	5	5	
	Subtotal, Experience	25		
NOTE: Info	rmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contact	ing past/current clients.		
A IA HILL	to Complete the Project in Two Months			
Ability	to Complete the Project in Two Months Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1:		10	<u>30016</u>	
اء 2.		10		
	Adequacy of Resources			
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5		
	Subtotal, Ability to Complete	25	15	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Cost	50	4	
	Experience	25	10	
	Ability to Complete	25	- (1)	
	,		25	
Interv	Total Score	100	\$50	

Entity	City of Angleton		Date of Rating	
Name	of Respondent: ASC ator's Name 2		12/1/	22
Cost				
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1.	Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	25	
2.		20	20	
3.	Cost can be scalable	5	3	
	Subtotal, Cost	50		
Experi	ence with Systems and Installations			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1,		10	<u>ocore</u>	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10		
3.	Work product is of high quality	5		
	Subtotal, Experience	25	20	
NOTE: Info	ormation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by cont	acting past/current dients.		
Ability	to Complete the Project in Two Months			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	10	117	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	10	10	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5		
	Subtotal, Ability to Complete	25	20	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Cost	50	40	
	Experience	25	20	
	Ability to Complete	25	20	
			200	
Intervi	Total Score	100	3080	

Entity	City of Angleton		Date of Rating	
Name	of Respondent: MCA		12/1)	22
Evalua	ator's Name			
Cost				
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1,	Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	2 5	
2.		20	20	
3.	Cost can be scalable	5	5	
			•	
	Subtotal, Cost	50	50	
Evneri	ence with Systems and Installations			•
EXPOR	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.		10	<u>00010</u>	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10		
3.	Work product is of high quality	5		
	Subtotal, Experience	25	_ a 3	
NOTE: Info	ormation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contact	cting past/current clients.		
Ability	to Complete the Project in Two Months			
2 1.01.11.01	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.		10	10	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	10	10	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	3	
	Subtotal, Ability to Complete	25	2.8	
TOTAL	SCORE			
_	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Cost	50	40	
	Experience	25	20	
	Ability to Complete	25	20	
	T-4-1 0	400		
Intervi	iew Notes:	100	20	

Entity	City of Angleton		Date of Rating	
Name of Respondent: 5 Tumer Power			17.	11/22
	ator's Name 3			11/20
Cost				
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	Comments
1,		25	10	
2.		20	.5	
3.	Cost can be scalable	5	5	
	Subtotal, Cost	50	7.0	
	,			
Exper	ience with Systems and Installations			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	5	3	
			THE STATE OF THE S	
	Subtotal, Experience	25	18	
NOTE: Inf	formation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by co	ontacting past/current dients.		
Ability	to Complete the Project in Two Months			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.		10		
2.	Adequacy of Resources	10	(5)	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	_5_	
	.			
	Subtotal, Ability to Complete	25	25	
TOTAL	. SCORE			
IOIAL	Factor	May Dto	01	
	Cost	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 50	Score	
	Experience	25	20	
	Ability to Complete	25 25	-18	
	y to complete	25	_ 25	
	Total Score	100		
interv	riew Notes:	100	_60_	

Entity	City of Angleton		Date of Rating	
	of Respondent: ASC		121	179
Evalua	ator's Name 3		10/	100
1. 2. 3.	Cost is considered reasonable to the project	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 25 20 5	Score 25 20	<u>Comments</u>
	Subtotal, Cost	50	50	
Experi	ence with Systems and Installations			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.		10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	10	
3.	Work product is of high quality	5	3	
	Subtotal, Experience	25	723	
NOTE: Info	ormation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by co	ntacting past/current clients.		
A 1 4114/F				
Ability	to Complete the Project in Two Months	M D:		
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	γ	10	10	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	10	5	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5		
	Subtotal, Ability to Complete	25	15	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Cost	50	50	
	Experience	25	7.7	
	Ability to Complete	25	15	
Intervi	Total Score	100	88	

Entity	City of Angleton		Date of Rating	
	of Respondent: MCA		12.1	1/22
	ator's Name			102
Cost	Factor	14 53	_	
4	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1.	project and the project and th	25		
2. 3.		20	18	
-		5	5	
	Subtotal, Cost	50		
			(73)	
Experi	ence with Systems and Installations		0.3	
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Past projects completed	10	(0)	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	-8	
3.	Work product is of high quality	5		
	Subtotal, Experience	25	723)	
NOTE: Info	ormation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by cor	stacting past/current clients.		
Ability	to Complete the Project in Two Months			
- tomey	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	10	<u>00016</u>	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	10		
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5		
	Subtotal, Ability to Complete	25	(25)	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Cost	50	43	
	Experience	25	7.2	
	Ability to Complete	25	25	
	- ·			
Intervi	Total Score	100	91	
			6	

Entity	City of Angleton		Date of Rating	
	e of Respondent: Turner	12/1/22		
	ator's Name			
Cost				
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1.	Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	10	\$ 100
2.		20	10	\$ 199
3.	Cost can be scalable	5		
	Subtotal, Cost	50		
Experi	ience with Systems and Installations		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	10	
3.	Work product is of high quality	5	5	
	Subtotal, Experience	25	25	
NOTE: Inf	ormation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by co	ontacting past/current clients.		
Ability	to Complete the Project in Two Months			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.		10	5	
2.		10	10	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5	
	Subtotal, Ability to Complete	25	20	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
	Cost	50	22	
	Experience	25	25	
	Ability to Complete	25	20	
Interv	Total Score	100	67	

Entity Name	City of Angleton of Respondent: ASC	Date of Rating		
Evalua	ator's Name4		-	
Cost				
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1.	Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	20	\$ 108 085 13
2.		20	20	4100 000 13
3.	Cost can be scalable	5		
			<u>3</u>	
	Subtotal, Cost	50	43	
Experi	ence with Systems and Installations			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	. ,	10		14+
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	10	
3.	Work product is of high quality	5	5	
	Subtotal, Experience	25	25	
NOTE: Info	ormation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by co	ontacting past/current dients.		
Ability	to Complete the Project in Two Months			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.		10	10	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	10	70	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5		
	Subtotal, Ability to Complete	25	20	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Cost	50	12	
	Experience	25	75	
	Ability to Complete	25	2-0	
Intervi	iew Notes:	100	88	

Entity	City of Angleton		Date of Rating	
	e of Respondent:MCA		17	1-2022
	ator's Name		100	1-2026
Cost				
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1.	Cost is consistent with the project estimate	25	25	\$162 847.76
2.	Cost is considered reasonable to the project	20	70	ag 1022 8 911.10
3.	Cost can be scalable	5		\$ 24,548.37 From woods poles onstell of concrete.
				\$ 24,548.37
				How goods
				poles onstal
				of concrete.
			5	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Subtotal, Cost	50	50	
Experi	ience with Systems and Installations			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	10	
3.	Work product is of high quality	5	- 5	
	Subtotal, Experience	25	25	
NOTE: Infe	ormation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by co	ontacting past/current dients.		
Ability	to Complete the Project in Two Months			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	10	10	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	10	10	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5	
	Subtotal, Ability to Complete	25	25	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Cost	50	Sn	
	Experience	25	7.5	
	Ability to Complete	25	25	
	Total Score	100	10-	
Interv	iew Notes:	100	100	