

# RFP 2025-02 Disaster Debris Monitoring Management

*Submissions from:*

*Debris Tech*

*Rostan Solutions*

*Tetra Tech*



| RFP 2025-02 Disaster Debris Monitoring Management |             |                  |            |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|------------|
| Board Members                                     | Debris Tech | Rostan Solutions | Tetra Tech |
| Hector Renteria                                   | 82          | 89               | 95         |
| Barbara Simmons                                   | 85          | 90               | 80         |
| Jason O'Mara                                      | 76          | 85               | 97         |
| Kyle Reynolds                                     | 91          | 92               | 96         |
| Blaine Smith                                      |             |                  |            |
|                                                   |             |                  |            |
|                                                   |             |                  |            |
| Average                                           | 83.50       | 89.00            | 92.00      |
| Rank Highest Points to<br>Lowest Points           | 3           | 2                | 1          |

## RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Tetra Tech

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Hector Renteria

Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.

### Experience

| Factors                                                                                                | Max Pts |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed information on the project | 20      | 20 |
| 2. Proposer's experience with the City                                                                 | 5       | 5  |
| 2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor                                                    | 10      | 10 |
| 3. Does extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs                                     | 5       | 5  |
| Subtotal                                                                                               | 40      | 40 |

### Work Performance

| Factors                                                   | Max Pts |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope | 10      | 9  |
| 2. Management of purchase price/pricing                   | 10      | 7  |
| 3. Quality of goods and services and reputation           | 10      | 10 |
| Subtotal                                                  | 30      | 26 |

### Capacity to Perform

| Factors                                                                                  | Max Pts |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff                                                      | 10      | 9  |
| 2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses) | 5       | 5  |
| 3. Adequacy to do the work assigned                                                      | 5       | 5  |
| 4. Professional liability insurance in force                                             | 5       | 5  |
| Subtotal                                                                                 | 25      | 24 |

### Proposed Cost

| Factors                                                  | Max Pts |   |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|---|
| 1. Does proposal meet the allotted/budgeted amount       | 4       | 4 |
| 2. Is proposed amount under the allotted/budgeted amount | 1       | 1 |
| Subtotal                                                 | 5       | 5 |

**Total 100**

### TOTAL SCORE

| Factors             | Max Pts | Score |
|---------------------|---------|-------|
| Experience          | 40      | 40    |
| Work Performance    | 30      | 26    |
| Capacity to Perform | 25      | 24    |
| Proposed Cost       | 5       | 5     |
|                     | 100     | 95    |

Notes:

**SCANNED**

\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

**RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT**

**Name of Respondent:** Tetra Tech

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

**Evaluator's Name:** Hector Renteria

**Notes:**

[illegible]

22 MAY 68

**\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\***

# RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Tetra Tech

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Kyle Renolds

Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.

## Experience

| Factors                                                                                                | Max Pts |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed information on the project | 20      | 18 |
| 2. Proposer's experience with the City                                                                 | 5       | 5  |
| 2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor                                                    | 10      | 9  |
| 3. Does extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs                                     | 5       | 5  |
| Subtotal                                                                                               | 40      | 37 |

## Work Performance

| Factors                                                   | Max Pts |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope | 10      | 10 |
| 2. Management of purchase price/pricing                   | 10      | 10 |
| 3. Quality of goods and services and reputation           | 10      | 10 |
| Subtotal                                                  | 30      | 30 |

## Capacity to Perform

| Factors                                                                                  | Max Pts |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff                                                      | 10      | 10 |
| 2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses) | 5       | 4  |
| 3. Adequacy to do the work assigned                                                      | 5       | 5  |
| 4. Professional liability insurance in force                                             | 5       | 5  |
| Subtotal                                                                                 | 25      | 24 |

## Proposed Cost

| Factors                                                  | Max Pts |   |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|---|
| 1. Does proposal meet the allotted/budgeted amount       | 4       | 4 |
| 2. Is proposed amount under the allotted/budgeted amount | 1       | 1 |
| Subtotal                                                 | 5       | 5 |

Total 100

## TOTAL SCORE

| Factors             | Max Pts | Score |
|---------------------|---------|-------|
| Experience          | 40      | 37    |
| Work Performance    | 30      | 30    |
| Capacity to Perform | 25      | 24    |
| Proposed Cost       | 5       | 5     |
|                     | 100     | 96    |

Notes:

*Tetra Tech has worked with the COA in the past.*

SCANNED

\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Tetra Tech

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Kyle Renolds

Notes:

Tetra Tech has worked with the CoA  
in the past and has the Exp. w/ CoA.

\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

## RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Tetra Tech

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Jason O'Mara

Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.

### Experience

| Factors                                                                                                | Max Pts |           |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| 1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed information on the project | 20      | <u>19</u> |
| 2. Proposer's experience with the City                                                                 | 5       | <u>5</u>  |
| 2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor                                                    | 10      | <u>9</u>  |
| 3. Does extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs                                     | 5       | <u>5</u>  |
| Subtotal                                                                                               | 40      | <u>37</u> |

### Work Performance

| Factors                                                   | Max Pts |           |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| 1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope | 10      | <u>10</u> |
| 2. Management of purchase price/pricing                   | 10      | <u>10</u> |
| 3. Quality of goods and services and reputation           | 10      | <u>10</u> |
| Subtotal                                                  | 30      | <u>30</u> |

### Capacity to Perform

| Factors                                                                                  | Max Pts |           |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| 1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff                                                      | 10      | <u>10</u> |
| 2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses) | 5       | <u>0</u>  |
| 3. Adequacy to do the work assigned                                                      | 5       | <u>5</u>  |
| 4. Professional liability insurance in force                                             | 5       | <u>5</u>  |
| Subtotal                                                                                 | 25      | <u>20</u> |

### Proposed Cost

| Factors                                                  | Max Pts |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|
| 1. Does proposal meet the allotted/budgeted amount       | 4       | <u>4</u> |
| 2. Is proposed amount under the allotted/budgeted amount | 1       | <u>1</u> |
| Subtotal                                                 | 5       | <u>5</u> |
| Total                                                    | 100     |          |

### TOTAL SCORE

| Factors             | Max Pts | Score     |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|
| Experience          | 40      | <u>37</u> |
| Work Performance    | 30      | <u>30</u> |
| Capacity to Perform | 25      | <u>20</u> |
| Proposed Cost       | 5       | <u>5</u>  |
|                     | 100     | <u>97</u> |

#### Notes:

Experience - would have preferred more details on past projects (staffing, corrections/lessons learned, errors identified); experience with Houston is positive. Management has great experience while operations is lower

Work Performance - past performance appears more than adequate to serve the need. All reference checks were positive

Capacity to Perform - overall company experience is positive, not a HUB

Proposed Cost - Project does not have an allocated budget

**SCANNED**

\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

## RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Tetra Tech

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Jason O'Mara

Notes:

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\***

## RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Tetra Tech

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Barbara Simmons

Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.

### Experience

| Factors                                                                                                | Max Pts |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed information on the project | 20      | 10 |
| 2. Proposer's experience with the City                                                                 | 5       | 5  |
| 2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor                                                    | 10      | 10 |
| 3. Does extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs                                     | 5       | 5  |
| Subtotal                                                                                               | 40      |    |

### Work Performance

| Factors                                                   | Max Pts |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope | 10      | 10 |
| 2. Management of purchase price/pricing                   | 10      | 5  |
| 3. Quality of goods and services and reputation           | 10      | 10 |
| Subtotal                                                  | 30      |    |

### Capacity to Perform

| Factors                                                                                  | Max Pts |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff                                                      | 10      | 10 |
| 2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses) | 5       | 0  |
| 3. Adequacy to do the work assigned                                                      | 5       | 5  |
| 4. Professional liability insurance in force                                             | 5       | 5  |
| Subtotal                                                                                 | 25      |    |

### Proposed Cost

| Factors                                                  | Max Pts |   |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|---|
| 1. Does proposal meet the allotted/budgeted amount       | 4       | 4 |
| 2. Is proposed amount under the allotted/budgeted amount | 1       | 1 |
| Subtotal                                                 | 5       |   |

Total 100

### TOTAL SCORE

| Factors             | Max Pts | Score |
|---------------------|---------|-------|
| Experience          | 40      | 30    |
| Work Performance    | 30      | 25    |
| Capacity to Perform | 25      | 20    |
| Proposed Cost       | 5       | 5     |
|                     | 100     |       |

Notes:

*minimal project costs provided to do a fair comparison.*

SCANNED

\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score \*\*



**RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT**

Name of Respondent: Tetra Tech Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Barbara Simmons

Notes:

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\***

## RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Debris Tech

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Hector Renteria

Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.

### Experience

| Factors                                                                                                | Max Pts |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed information on the project | 20      | 20 |
| 2. Proposer's experience with the City                                                                 | 5       | 0  |
| 2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor                                                    | 10      | 10 |
| 3. Does extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs                                     | 5       | 4  |
| Subtotal                                                                                               | 40      | 34 |

### Work Performance

| Factors                                                   | Max Pts |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope | 10      | 8  |
| 2. Management of purchase price/pricing                   | 10      | 7  |
| 3. Quality of goods and services and reputation           | 10      | 4  |
| Subtotal                                                  | 30      | 20 |

### Capacity to Perform

| Factors                                                                                  | Max Pts |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff                                                      | 10      | 8  |
| 2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses) | 5       | 5  |
| 3. Adequacy to do the work assigned                                                      | 5       | 5  |
| 4. Professional liability insurance in force                                             | 5       | 5  |
| Subtotal                                                                                 | 25      | 23 |

### Proposed Cost

| Factors                                                  | Max Pts    |   |
|----------------------------------------------------------|------------|---|
| 1. Does proposal meet the allotted/budgeted amount       | 4          | 4 |
| 2. Is proposed amount under the allotted/budgeted amount | 1          | 1 |
| Subtotal                                                 | 5          | 5 |
| <b>Total</b>                                             | <b>100</b> |   |

### TOTAL SCORE

| Factors             | Max Pts | Score |
|---------------------|---------|-------|
| Experience          | 40      | 34    |
| Work Performance    | 30      | 20    |
| Capacity to Perform | 25      | 23    |
| Proposed Cost       | 5       | 5     |
|                     | 100     | 82    |

Notes:

WP #3: had 2 sad references recorded

**SCANNED**

\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

## RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Debris Tech

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Hector Renteria

Notes:

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CHINA

**\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\***

## RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Debris Tech

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Kyle Renolds

Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.

### Experience

| Factors                                                                                                | Max Pts |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed information on the project | 20      | 18 |
| 2. Proposer's experience with the City                                                                 | 5       | 1  |
| 2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor                                                    | 10      | 9  |
| 3. Does extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs                                     | 5       | 5  |
| Subtotal                                                                                               | 40      | 33 |

### Work Performance

| Factors                                                   | Max Pts |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope | 10      | 10 |
| 2. Management of purchase price/pricing                   | 10      | 10 |
| 3. Quality of goods and services and reputation           | 10      | 10 |
| Subtotal                                                  | 30      | 30 |

### Capacity to Perform

| Factors                                                                                  | Max Pts |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff                                                      | 10      | 9  |
| 2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses) | 5       | 4  |
| 3. Adequacy to do the work assigned                                                      | 5       | 5  |
| 4. Professional liability insurance in force                                             | 5       | 5  |
| Subtotal                                                                                 | 25      | 23 |

### Proposed Cost

| Factors                                                  | Max Pts |   |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|---|
| 1. Does proposal meet the allotted/budgeted amount       | 4       | 4 |
| 2. Is proposed amount under the allotted/budgeted amount | 1       | 1 |
| Subtotal                                                 | 5       | 5 |

**Total 100**

### TOTAL SCORE

| Factors             | Max Pts | Score |
|---------------------|---------|-------|
| Experience          | 40      | 33    |
| Work Performance    | 30      | 30    |
| Capacity to Perform | 25      | 23    |
| Proposed Cost       | 5       | 5     |
|                     | 100     | 91    |

Notes:

I didn't see Exp w/ COA

References Comments

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**SCANNED**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

## RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Debris Tech

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Kyle Renolds

Notes:

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\***

## RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Debris Tech

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Jason O'Mara

Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.

### Experience

| Factors                                                                                                | Max Pts |           |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| 1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed information on the project | 20      | <u>16</u> |
| 2. Proposer's experience with the City                                                                 | 5       | <u>0</u>  |
| 2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor                                                    | 10      | <u>9</u>  |
| 3. Does extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs                                     | 5       | <u>5</u>  |
| Subtotal                                                                                               | 40      | <u>30</u> |

### Work Performance

| Factors                                                   | Max Pts |           |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| 1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope | 10      | <u>10</u> |
| 2. Management of purchase price/pricing                   | 10      | <u>10</u> |
| 3. Quality of goods and services and reputation           | 10      | <u>3</u>  |
| Subtotal                                                  | 30      | <u>23</u> |

### Capacity to Perform

| Factors                                                                                  | Max Pts |           |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| 1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff                                                      | 10      | <u>9</u>  |
| 2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses) | 5       | <u>0</u>  |
| 3. Adequacy to do the work assigned                                                      | 5       | <u>4</u>  |
| 4. Professional liability insurance in force                                             | 5       | <u>5</u>  |
| Subtotal                                                                                 | 25      | <u>18</u> |

### Proposed Cost

| Factors                                                  | Max Pts |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|
| 1. Does proposal meet the allotted/budgeted amount       | 4       | <u>4</u> |
| 2. Is proposed amount under the allotted/budgeted amount | 1       | <u>1</u> |
| Subtotal                                                 | 5       | <u>5</u> |

Total 100

### TOTAL SCORE

| Factors             | Max Pts | Score     |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|
| Experience          | 40      | <u>30</u> |
| Work Performance    | 30      | <u>23</u> |
| Capacity to Perform | 25      | <u>18</u> |
| Proposed Cost       | 5       | <u>5</u>  |
|                     | 100     | <u>76</u> |

#### Notes:

Experience - No experience with Angeleno. Packet mentions completion of projects over 5 years but detailed projects on references past year. Most experience for managers is 5-10 yrs

Work Performance - 2 of 3 reference checks were poor

Capacity - staffing seems adequate but reference mentions inability to complete job

Cost - Project does not have an allocated budget

**SCANNED**

\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

**RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT**

**Name of Respondent:** Debris Tech

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

**Evaluator's Name:** Jason O'Mara

**Notes:**

[illegible]

**\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\***

# RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Debris Tech

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Barbara Simmons

Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.

## Experience

| Factors                                                                                                | Max Pts |           |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| 1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed information on the project | 20      | <u>20</u> |
| 2. Proposer's experience with the City                                                                 | 5       | <u>0</u>  |
| 2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor                                                    | 10      | <u>10</u> |
| 3. Does extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs                                     | 5       | <u>5</u>  |
| Subtotal                                                                                               | 40      | <u>35</u> |

## Work Performance

| Factors                                                   | Max Pts |           |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| 1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope | 10      | <u>10</u> |
| 2. Management of purchase price/pricing                   | 10      | <u>10</u> |
| 3. Quality of goods and services and reputation           | 10      | <u>5</u>  |
| Subtotal                                                  | 30      | <u>25</u> |

## Capacity to Perform

| Factors                                                                                  | Max Pts |           |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| 1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff                                                      | 10      | <u>10</u> |
| 2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses) | 5       | <u>0</u>  |
| 3. Adequacy to do the work assigned                                                      | 5       | <u>5</u>  |
| 4. Professional liability insurance in force                                             | 5       | <u>5</u>  |
| Subtotal                                                                                 | 25      | <u>20</u> |

## Proposed Cost

| Factors                                                  | Max Pts |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|
| 1. Does proposal meet the allotted/budgeted amount       | 4       | <u>4</u> |
| 2. Is proposed amount under the allotted/budgeted amount | 1       | <u>1</u> |
| Subtotal                                                 | 5       | <u>5</u> |

Total 100

## TOTAL SCORE

| Factors             | Max Pts | Score     |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|
| Experience          | 40      | <u>35</u> |
| Work Performance    | 30      | <u>25</u> |
| Capacity to Perform | 25      | <u>20</u> |
| Proposed Cost       | 5       | <u>5</u>  |
|                     | 100     | <u>85</u> |

Notes:

Poor previous work references - more than one.

SCANNED

\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*



**RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT**

Name of Respondent: Debris Tech Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Barbara Simmons

Notes:

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\***

## RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Rostan Solutions

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Hector Renteria

Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.

### Experience

| Factors                                                                                                | Max Pts |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed information on the project | 20      | 20 |
| 2. Proposer's experience with the City                                                                 | 5       | 1  |
| 2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor                                                    | 10      | 10 |
| 3. Does extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs                                     | 5       | 5  |
| Subtotal                                                                                               | 40      | 36 |

### Work Performance

| Factors                                                   | Max Pts |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope | 10      | 9  |
| 2. Management of purchase price/pricing                   | 10      | 7  |
| 3. Quality of goods and services and reputation           | 10      | 8  |
| Subtotal                                                  | 30      | 24 |

### Capacity to Perform

| Factors                                                                                  | Max Pts |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff                                                      | 10      | 9  |
| 2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses) | 5       | 5  |
| 3. Adequacy to do the work assigned                                                      | 5       | 5  |
| 4. Professional liability insurance in force                                             | 5       | 5  |
| Subtotal                                                                                 | 25      | 24 |

### Proposed Cost

| Factors                                                  | Max Pts    |   |
|----------------------------------------------------------|------------|---|
| 1. Does proposal meet the allotted/budgeted amount       | 4          | 4 |
| 2. Is proposed amount under the allotted/budgeted amount | 1          | 1 |
| Subtotal                                                 | 5          | 5 |
| <b>Total</b>                                             | <b>100</b> |   |

### TOTAL SCORE

| Factors             | Max Pts | Score |
|---------------------|---------|-------|
| Experience          | 40      | 36    |
| Work Performance    | 30      | 24    |
| Capacity to Perform | 25      | 24    |
| Proposed Cost       | 5       | 5     |
|                     | 100     | 89    |

Notes:

Exp. #1: gave points due to previous work in close proximity recently.  
 W.P. #2: not enough detail on this

**SCANNED**

\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

## RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Rostan Solutions

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Hector Renteria

Notes:

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\***

## RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Rostan Solutions

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Kyle Renolds

Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.

### Experience

| Factors                                                                                                | Max Pts |           |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| 1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed information on the project | 20      | <u>18</u> |
| 2. Proposer's experience with the City                                                                 | 5       | <u>1</u>  |
| 2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor                                                    | 10      | <u>9</u>  |
| 3. Does extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs                                     | 5       | <u>5</u>  |
| Subtotal                                                                                               | 40      | <u>33</u> |

### Work Performance

| Factors                                                   | Max Pts |           |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| 1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope | 10      | <u>10</u> |
| 2. Management of purchase price/pricing                   | 10      | <u>10</u> |
| 3. Quality of goods and services and reputation           | 10      | <u>10</u> |
| Subtotal                                                  | 30      |           |

### Capacity to Perform

| Factors                                                                                  | Max Pts |           |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| 1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff                                                      | 10      | <u>10</u> |
| 2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses) | 5       | <u>4</u>  |
| 3. Adequacy to do the work assigned                                                      | 5       | <u>5</u>  |
| 4. Professional liability insurance in force                                             | 5       | <u>5</u>  |
| Subtotal                                                                                 | 25      |           |

### Proposed Cost

| Factors                                                  | Max Pts |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|
| 1. Does proposal meet the allotted/budgeted amount       | 4       | <u>4</u> |
| 2. Is proposed amount under the allotted/budgeted amount | 1       | <u>1</u> |
| Subtotal                                                 | 5       |          |

**Total 100**

### TOTAL SCORE

| Factors             | Max Pts | Score     |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|
| Experience          | 40      | <u>33</u> |
| Work Performance    | 30      | <u>30</u> |
| Capacity to Perform | 25      | <u>24</u> |
| Proposed Cost       | 5       | <u>5</u>  |
|                     | 100     | <u>92</u> |

Notes:

I didn't see Exp. w/ the COA

**SCANNED**

\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

## RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Rostan Solutions

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Kyle Renolds

Notes:

I did not see Sep 12/ CoA  
I did see other comments around the CoA

**\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\***

## RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Rostan Solutions

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Jason O'Mara

Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.

### Experience

| Factors                                                                                                | Max Pts |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed information on the project | 20      | 19 |
| 2. Proposer's experience with the City                                                                 | 5       | 0  |
| 2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor                                                    | 10      | 10 |
| 3. Does extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs                                     | 5       | 5  |
| Subtotal                                                                                               | 40      | 34 |

### Work Performance

| Factors                                                   | Max Pts |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope | 10      | 10 |
| 2. Management of purchase price/pricing                   | 10      | 10 |
| 3. Quality of goods and services and reputation           | 10      | 10 |
| Subtotal                                                  | 30      | 28 |

### Capacity to Perform

| Factors                                                                                  | Max Pts |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff                                                      | 10      | 10 |
| 2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses) | 5       | 0  |
| 3. Adequacy to do the work assigned                                                      | 5       | 3  |
| 4. Professional liability insurance in force                                             | 5       | 5  |
| Subtotal                                                                                 | 25      | 18 |

### Proposed Cost

| Factors                                                  | Max Pts |   |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|---|
| 1. Does proposal meet the allotted/budgeted amount       | 4       | 4 |
| 2. Is proposed amount under the allotted/budgeted amount | 1       | 1 |
| Subtotal                                                 | 5       | 5 |
| Total                                                    | 100     |   |

### TOTAL SCORE

| Factors             | Max Pts | Score |
|---------------------|---------|-------|
| Experience          | 40      | 34    |
| Work Performance    | 30      | 28    |
| Capacity to Perform | 25      | 18    |
| Proposed Cost       | 5       | 5     |
|                     | 100     | 85    |

Notes:

Experience - Variety of project provided over 5yr range. Quality experience with manager/supervisor.

Work Performance - previous work appears adequate but history is limited

Capacity - overall experience adequate but concerns with staff levels

Cost - Project does not have an allocated budget

**SCANNED**

\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

## RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Rostan Solutions

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Jason O'Mara

Notes:

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\***

## RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT

Name of Respondent: Rostan Solutions

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Barbara Simmons

Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.

### Experience

| Factors                                                                                                | Max Pts |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed information on the project | 20      | 20 |
| 2. Proposer's experience with the City                                                                 | 5       | 0  |
| 2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor                                                    | 10      | 10 |
| 3. Does extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs                                     | 5       | 5  |
| Subtotal                                                                                               | 40      |    |

### Work Performance

| Factors                                                   | Max Pts |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope | 10      | 10 |
| 2. Management of purchase price/pricing                   | 10      | 10 |
| 3. Quality of goods and services and reputation           | 10      | 10 |
| Subtotal                                                  | 30      |    |

### Capacity to Perform

| Factors                                                                                  | Max Pts |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff                                                      | 10      | 10 |
| 2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses) | 5       | 0  |
| 3. Adequacy to do the work assigned                                                      | 5       | 5  |
| 4. Professional liability insurance in force                                             | 5       | 5  |
| Subtotal                                                                                 | 25      |    |

### Proposed Cost

| Factors                                                  | Max Pts |   |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|---|
| 1. Does proposal meet the allotted/budgeted amount       | 4       | 4 |
| 2. Is proposed amount under the allotted/budgeted amount | 1       | 1 |
| Subtotal                                                 | 5       |   |

**Total 100**

### TOTAL SCORE

| Factors             | Max Pts | Score |
|---------------------|---------|-------|
| Experience          | 40      | 35    |
| Work Performance    | 30      | 30    |
| Capacity to Perform | 25      | 20    |
| Proposed Cost       | 5       | 5     |
|                     | 100     |       |

Notes:

Information provided in the RFP was detailed and included information for Beryl from local cities.

**SCANNED**

\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*



**RFP 2025-02 DISASTER DEBRIS MONITORING MANAGEMENT**

**Name of Respondent:** Rostan Solutions

Date of Ranking: 8/28/2025

Evaluator's Name: Barbara Simmons

**Notes:**

[illegible]

**\*\* Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\***