# RFP 2025-02 Disaster Debris Monitoring Management



Submissions from: Debris Tech Rostan Solutions Tetra Tech

RFP 2025-02 Disaster Debris Monitoring Management			
Board Members	Debris Tech	Rostan Solutions	Tetra Tech
Hector Renteria	82	89	95
Barbara Simmons	85	90	80
Jason O'Mara	76	85	97
Kyle Reynolds	91	92	96
Blaine Smith			
Average	83.50	89.00	92.00
Rank Highest Points to Lowest Points	3	2	1

Name of Respondent:	Tetra Tech D	ate of Ranking:	_ 8,	/28/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Hector Renteria			
Data di a Da				
	spondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the max	imum listed for	each facto	r.
Experience				
Factors  1 Successful project for the	lant five venes and surfeet and delay t		Max Pts	
information on the project	e last five years and project cost and detailed		•	20
2. Proposer's experience w	ith the City	<del>_</del>		-
2. Experience of Project Ma	<del></del>	·	5	_5
	good or services meet the City's needs	_	<u>10</u> 5	70
	Bood of Jordices Meet the city's Meets	Cubtotal		<del></del>
Work Performance		Subtotal	40	40
Factors			Max Pts	
	lar projects of size and scope		10	a·
2. Management of purchase		<del></del>	10	<del>-</del>
3. Quality of goods and serv	<u> </u>		10	70
		Subtotal	30	
Capacity to Perform		Jubtotal	30	26
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience of	Staff		10	9
	ed Business (Program to certify qualified small businesse	es)	5	
3 . Adequacy to do the work	assigned	<u> </u>	5	<del></del>
4. Professional liabilty insura	ance in force		5	5
		Subtotal	25	<u> </u>
Proposed Cost				27
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Does proposal meet the a			4	U.
2. Is proposed amount unde	r the alloted/budgeted amount		1	
	,	Subtotal	5	<u> </u>
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors			Max Pts	Score
Experience			40	<u>4</u> 0
Work Performance			30	26
Capacity to Perform Proposed Cost	<del></del>		25	24
Proposed Cost	<u> </u>		5	
Notes:			100	95
Notes.				
		<del></del>		
<u> </u>	SCANNED			<del></del> ,
<del></del>				
<u> </u>				
			-	
	<u> </u>			
<del></del>				

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	Tetra Tech	Date of Ranking:	8/28/2025	
Evaluator's Name:	Hector Renteria			
Notes:		•		
<u> </u>				
			4	
<u></u>				
<del></del>				
	<del> </del>			
			_	
		· .		

BCANADE

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	Tetra Tech	Date of Ranking:	8/28/2025	
Evaluator's Name:	Kyle Renolds			
Rate the Resp	ondent of the RFB by awarding points up to	the maximum listed for eac	ch factor.	
Experience				
Factors		Ma	ax Pts	
1. Successful project for the l	ast five years and project cost and detailed			
information on the project			20	<i>.</i>
2. Proposer's experience wit	h the City		5	<del></del>
2. Experience of Project Man		<del></del>	10	<u>r</u>
3. Does extent to which the g	ood or services meet the City's needs	<u>-</u> -	5	<u></u>
•		Subtotal	40	<u>~~</u>
Work Performance				<b>.</b>
Factors		Ma	x Pts	
1. Past performance of simila	r projects of size and scope		10 /0	
2. Management of purchase j			10 70	
3. Quality of goods and service	es and reputation		10 70	
		Subtotal	30	<del></del>
Capacity to Perform			30	3
Factors		Ma	ıx Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience of S	taff		10 /0	
	Business (Program to certify qualified small		<u> </u>	<u>,                                      </u>
3 . Adequacy to do the work a			5 5	<del></del>
4. Professional liabilty insurar		-	5 -	
		Subtotal	<del></del> <del></del>	—_ عرا
Proposed Cost		Subtotal	2.5	2.
Factors		Ma	x Pts	
1. Does proposal meet the all	oted/budgeted amount	IVIQ	4 4	
	the alloted/budgeted amount		<del>1</del> - 1	<del></del>
	anotos, budgeted amount		5	
		Subtotal	3	5
		Total :	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors		Ma	x Pts Sco	re
Experience			40 37	<u> </u>
Work Performance			30 -30	<u>.                                    </u>
Capacity to Perform			$\frac{30}{25}$ $\frac{7}{25}$	<del>1</del>
Proposed Cost			5 <del>5</del>	<u> </u>
·			100 74	<del></del>
Mater: July	has worked with-		- the	)
Sout.				
- Windows		<u>-</u>		
	<del></del>			
		<del></del> _	<u> </u>	
	SCANNED	<u></u>	•	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent: _	Tetra Tech	Date of Ranking:	8/28/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Kyle Renolds	_	
Notes:	Las world u	with COA	
Enthe port	and has the E	cp. W/COA.	
		<i>V</i> 1	
	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	-	<del> </del>	
	<del></del>		
	<del></del>		
	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
··-	<del>-</del>		

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent: _	Tetra Tech Date	of Ranking:	8,	/28/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Jason O'Mara			
Rate the F	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximu	m listed for	each facto	or.
Experience				
Factors			Max Pts	ſ
<ol> <li>Successful project for t</li> </ol>	he last five years and project cost and detailed	•		
information on the project	ct		20	19
2. Proposer's experience	with the City		5	
	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	9
3. Does extent to which t	he good or services meet the City's needs		5	<del></del>
		Subtotal	40	37
Work Performance				•
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Past performance of sir	milar projects of size and scope		10	10
2. Management of purcha			10	<u> 10</u>
3. Quality of goods and se	<u> </u>		10	10
		Subtotal	30	
Capacity to Perform		Subtotal	30	30
Factors			Mari Dha	
1. Staff level/ Experience	of Staff		Max Pts	
	ized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)		10	
3 . Adequacy to do the wo			5	
4. Professional liabilty inst			5	
4. Froressional habity insi	urance in force			<u> </u>
		Subtotal	25	26
Proposed Cost				
Factors		ļ	Max Pts	
	alloted/budgeted amount		4	<u> </u>
2. Is proposed amount un	der the alloted/budgeted amount		1	
		Subtotal	5	5
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors			Max Pts	Score
Experience			40	37
Work Performance			30	30
Capacity to Perform			25	20
Proposed Cost			5	5
Notes:			100	97
_	. 0			
	have preferred more details on past projects (staffing			
<u> identified, et</u>	speciece with Ambeton is positive. Management has great	experience	while op	enctions is lawer
			•	need. All
reference checks				
Capacity to Atform	1	11 444		
CEPACITY TO IET SOL WY	- averall company experience is quilire, not	a Hug		<del></del>
Proposed Cost - Paris	ct doce not have an allocated budget		-	
	•	CANNE	:D	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	Tetra Tech	-	Date of Ranking	g:	8/28/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Jason O'Mara	_			
Notes:					
			-		
<u> </u>					
				-	
		•			
			_		
		·-			
•					
•					
•					
9					
		_	•		
•	•	•			
		• •	•		

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent: _	Tetra Tech D	Date of Ranking:	8/2	28/2025
				<del></del>
Evaluator's Name:	Barbara Simmons			
_				
Rate the I	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the max	kimum listed for	each factor	•
Experience				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Successful project for t	the last five years and project cost and detailed			
information on the proje	ct		20	10
2. Proposer's experience	with the City	-	5	5
2. Experience of Project I	Manager or Site Supervisor	-	10	78
3. Does extent to which t	he good or services meet the City's needs		5	5
		Subtotal	40	
Work Performance				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Past performance of si	milar projects of size and scope		10	10
2. Management of purch		_	10	5
3. Quality of goods and se		_	10	
		Subtotal	30	<u>.                                    </u>
Capacity to Perform		Subtotal	30	
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience	of Staff		10	10
	lized Business (Program to certify qualified small business			<del>- 3</del>
3 . Adequacy to do the w				
4. Professional liabilty ins			5	<del></del>
4. Frotessional habity ins	MI BILCC III 101CC	Codes as al		
Proposed Cost		Subtotal	25	
			Name Des	
Factors	o allated the dasted amount		Max Pts	اد
	e alloted/budgeted amount		4	7
z. is proposed amount di	nder the alloted/budgeted amount			
		Subtotal	5	
		Total	100	
TOTAL 00005				
TOTAL SCORE				_
Factors			Max Pts	Score
Experience			40.	30
Work Performance		<u> </u>	30	<u>25</u>
Capacity to Perform		<del></del>	25	<u>ಳರ</u>
Proposed Cost			5	<u>_5</u>
			100	
Notes:		<i>^</i>	,	Λ.
minimal	project costs provide	() to	<u>do a</u>	e tair
Comparisa	n.			_ <del>_</del>
<del>-</del>				<del></del>
<del>-</del>				<del></del>
			<u>.                                      </u>	
	·			<del></del> -
	SCAN	NNED		

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	Tetra Tech	Date of Ranking:	8/28/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Barbara Simmons		
Notes:			
		<del></del>	<del></del>
_			
-			
		<u> </u>	
		<del></del>	
		·	
		<u></u>	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent: _	Debris Tech Da	ate of Ranking:	8/	28/2025
		·		
Evaluator's Name:	Hector Renteria			
Bara di				
	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxi	imum listed for	each facto	r.
Experience				
Factors			Max Pts	
	the last five years and project cost and detailed		•	20
information on the proje			20	<u>ين _</u>
2. Proposer's experience			5	<u> 0</u>
	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	<i>N</i>
3. Does extent to which t	the good or services meet the City's needs		5	4
		Subtotal	40	34
Work Performance				·
Factors			Max Pts	0
	milar projects of size and scope	<del></del> -	10	<u>ප</u>
2. Management of purch			10	7
3. Quality of goods and se	ervices and reputation		10	<b>5</b> 4
	<del>-                                    </del>	Subtotal	30	20
Capacity to Perform				<b>J</b> -
Factors			Max Pts	_
1. Staff level/ Experience	of Staff		10	_8_
2. A Historically Underuti	ilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesse	es)	5	_5
3 . Adequacy to do the w	ork assigned		5	5
4. Professional liabilty ins	surance in force		5	-5
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Subtotal	25	<b>(B)</b> 27
Proposed Cost				<b>3</b>
Factors			Max Pts	,
1. Does proposal meet th	ne alloted/budgeted amount		4	4
	nder the alloted/budgeted amount		1	<del></del>
		Subtotal	5	-
			_	5
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors			Max Pts	Score
Experience		-	40	74
Work Performance			30	<u> </u>
Capacity to Perform	·		25	<u>∞</u> 93
Proposed Cost			5	
	<del></del>		100	80
Notes:	2 5 ad references recorded		100	02
VVIII S. Mad	a sur references peropolal	<del></del>		
<del></del>	·			
			<del>-</del>	<del></del>
<del></del>	SCANNE	<u>u</u>		<del></del> -

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	Debris Tech	Date of Ranking:	8/28/2025		
Evaluator's Name:	Hector Renteria				
Notes:					
-					
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>			

SCAPINE.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	Debris Tech D	ate of Ranking:	8/2	8/2025
		-		<u>-</u>
Evaluator's Name:	Kyle Renolds			
	spondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the max	imum listed for	each factor.	
Experience				
Factors		_	Max Pts	
	e last five years and project cost and detailed			
information on the project		<u>-                                      </u>	20	18
2. Proposer's experience w	<del></del>		5	
2. Experience of Project Ma			10	9
3. Does extent to which the	e good or services meet the City's needs		5	_5
		Subtotal	40	33
Work Performance				
Factors			Max Pts	
	ilar projects of size and scope	<u>_</u>	10	_10
2. Management of purchas			10	_ 10
3. Quality of goods and serv	vices and reputation		10	10
		Subtotal	30	30
Capacity to Perform				<i>y</i> -
Factors		1	Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience of			10	9
	ed Business (Program to certify qualified small business	es)	5	_4
3 . Adequacy to do the wor			5	_5_
4. Professional liabilty insur	ance in force		<u> </u>	_ 5
		Subtotal	25	23
Proposed Cost				0.0
<u>Factors</u>		j	Max Pts	J
1. Does proposal meet the			4	4
2. Is proposed amount unde	er the alloted/budgeted amount		1	
		Subtotal	5	5
				,
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors		1	Vlax Pts	Score
Experience			<u>40</u>	33
Work Performance			30	_30
Capacity to Perform			25	_23
Proposed Cost		<del></del>	5	_5_
NI_A	١		100	91
Notes:	5 1000			
A Oceany da	e 200 W/ COA			
Vederines.	1 omments			
- V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V			_	
		<del></del>		
·	SCANNED	<del>-</del> -	<u> </u>	
<del></del>		<del>_</del>	<del></del>	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Debris Tech	Date of Ranking:	8/28/2025		
Kyle Renolds	_			
-				
	-			
		<u>-</u>		
		<u></u>		
	•	- <del></del>		
	Kyle Renolds	Kyle Renolds		

1. 1.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	Debris Tech Date	te of Ranking:	8/2	8/2025
				<del></del>
Evaluator's Name:	Jason O'Mara			
•	<del></del>			
Rate the	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxir	num listed for	each factor.	•
Experience				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Successful project for	the last five years and project cost and detailed	·		
information on the proje	ect		20	16
2. Proposer's experienc	e with the City	<del></del> .	5	0
2. Experience of Project	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	<b>-</b>
3. Does extent to which	the good or services meet the City's needs		5	
-		Subtotal	40	30
Work Performance				•
Factors			Max Pts	
	similar projects of size and scope		10	10
2. Management of purcl			10	10
3. Quality of goods and			10	3
ar don't or Breas and		Subtotal	30	
Capacity to Perform		Subtotal	30	~>
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience	n of Staff.			•
	e of State tilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses	-1	10	<u> </u>
3 . Adequacy to do the v		<u> </u>	<u>5</u>	
4. Professional liabilty in			<u> </u>	4
4. Professional habity in	istrance in force	5.1 1		
		Subtotal	25	18
Proposed Cost				
Factors			Max Pts	
	he alloted/budgeted amount		4	<u> </u>
2. Is proposed amount u	inder the alloted/budgeted amount		1	
-		Subtotal	5	5
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors			Max Pts	Score
Experience			40	3
Work Performance			30	25
Capacity to Perform			25	1\$
Proposed Cost			5	5
<u> </u>			100	76
Notes:				
Examinare - No experi	cace with Anglaton Packet mentions completion	of projects	aven 5	usars bat
,				7
. •	•	4500 15 5-T	~3	-
Work Performance	2 of 3 reference checks were poor			
Capacity - staffing	seems adequate but reference mentions in	ability to s	am Alate	حام
, ,	· •			_
A > # 202 1 1	at have an allocated budget			
Cost - Project Loss a	AT NAVE AN AMAGREE DURGET			

SCANNED

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	Debris Tech	Date of Ranking:	8/28/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Jason O'Mara		
	Jason O Ward		
Notes:			
		<del>- · · · - · - · - · - · - · - · - · - ·</del>	<u> </u>
<del></del>		<u></u>	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
<del></del>			
	·		<u></u>
	<u>.                                    </u>		<del></del>
		•	
			<del> </del>
	-		
<del></del>			
·			
i			
,			
•			
	,		
• • •		*	·
			•
	• •	•	
,		·	
		•	-

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	Debris Tech Date	of Ranking:	8/2	28/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Barbara Simmons			
	spondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxim	um listed for	each factor	•
Experience Factors			May Dha	
	e last five years and project cost and detailed	-	Max Pts	
information on the project			20	~ઠ
2. Proposer's experience w			5	<u> </u>
2. Experience of Project Ma			10	10
	good or services meet the City's needs		5	5
5. DOES EXTENT TO WHICH THE	E BOOD OF SELVICES THEET THE CITY STREETS	Subtotal		- 3
Work Performance		Subtotal	40	20
Factors			Max Pts	
	ilar projects of size and scope		10	10
2. Management of purchas		<del></del>	10	78
3. Quality of goods and serv		<del>-</del>	10	<del></del>
5. Quality of goods and serv	vices and reputation	Cubassi		
Capacity to Perform		Subtotal	30	25
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience of	f Staff		10	10
	ed Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)		5	0
3 . Adequacy to do the wor		<del>.</del>	5	
4. Professional liabilty insur			5	<u></u>
	<del></del>	Subtotal	25	20
Proposed Cost				20
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Does proposal meet the	alloted/budgeted amount		4	4
	er the alloted/budgeted amount		1	
<del></del>		Subtotal	5	
				<u> </u>
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors			Max Pts	Score
Experience		-	40	35
Work Performance		-	30	२ ५
Capacity to Perform			25	20
Proposed Cost			5	_ <del></del>
Notes: For Pleviou	3 work references - mor	~ +han	100	85 Le
				<del></del>
			_	
<del></del> ;	<del></del>		· · ·	

SCANNED

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	f Respondent: Debris Tech Date		8/28/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Barbara Simmons		
Notes:			
		<del></del>	
			<del></del>
		·	<del></del>
			<del></del>
			<del></del>
	·		

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent: Rostan Solutions Date of	Ranking:	8/2	28/2025
Evaluator's Name: Hector Renteria			
<del> </del>			
Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum	listed for	each factor	
Experience			
Factors		Max Pts	
Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed	•		
information on the project		20	)>
2. Proposer's experience with the City		5	- 02
2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor	_	10	12
3. Does extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs		5	<del>- '&amp;</del> -
	Subtotal	40	
Work Performance	Jubiolai	40	36
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope			91
2. Management of purchase price/pricing		10	- J.
3. Quality of goods and services and reputation		10	
5. Quality of goods and services and reputation	<u> </u>	10	<u></u>
	Subtotal	30	24
Capacity to Perform			• (
Factors 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		Max Pts	a
1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff		10	7
2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)		5	
3 . Adequacy to do the work assigned		5	
4. Professional liabilty insurance in force		5	
	Subtotal	25	74
Proposed Cost			<i>→</i> (
<u>Factors</u>		Max Pts	4
Does proposal meet the alloted/budgeted amount	_	4	-,
2. Is proposed amount under the alloted/budgeted amount		1	
	Subtotal	5	5
	Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE			
Factors		Max Pts	Score
Experience	•	40	36
Work Performance		30 ·	24
Capacity to Perform		25	2 <u>4</u>
Proposed Cost		5	<del>- 6</del>
<del>-</del>	•	100	69
Notes:		200	89
Exp. # 1: gave points due to previous work in close proximity	V.0 × 210=	И.	
Walter I de la	_recerry	Ty.	
W.Y. H d. not enough alter on this		<u>-</u>	<u></u>
	<del>-</del>		
<u> </u>			
SC	ANNE	<del></del>	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	of Respondent: Rostan Solutions Date of		8/28/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Hector Renteria		
Notes:			
		·	
		_	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	Rostan Solutions Da	ite of Ranking:	8,	/28/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Kyle Renolds_			
	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxi	mum listed for	each facto	r.
Experience				
Factors	•	_	Max Pts	
	the last five years and project cost and detailed			_
information on the proje			20	18
2. Proposer's experience			5	
	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	9
3. Does extent to which	the good or services meet the City's needs		5	_5
	·	Subtotal	40	43
Work Performance	·			P
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Past performance of s	imilar projects of size and scope		10	10
2. Management of purch	nase price/pricing		10	70
3. Quality of goods and s	services and reputation	-	10	10
		Subtotal	30	<u> </u>
Capacity to Perform				
Factors		1	Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience	e of Staff		10	10
	ilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesse	s)	5	4
3 . Adequacy to do the w	vork assigned	<u>,                                      </u>	5	<del></del>
4. Professional liabilty in	<del></del>	<del></del> -	5	
·		.Subtotal	25	
Proposed Cost				
Factors		ı	Max Pts	
1. Does proposal meet th	ne alloted/budgeted amount	•	4	4
	nder the alloted/budgeted amount	-	1	
		Subtotal	<del></del>	
		30010(6)	3	
		Total	100	
		Iotai	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors			Max Pts	Caara
Experience		<u>-1</u>	40	Score
Work Performance		-	30	7)
Capacity to Perform			25	20
Proposed Cost				<u> </u>
		<del></del>		<del></del>
Notes:			100	42
	( see Exp. w/ the COA			•
The order or	W/- W/- THE COT			
	<u> </u>			
	_ <del></del>	<u> </u>		
		SCANNE	ח	
<del></del>		<del>OUNITIE</del>		
<del></del>				
<del></del>				

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	Rostan Solutions	Date of Ranking:	8/28/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Kyle Renolds		
Notes:	/		
	) (4 gg 2-2 ta	Pop A	1.000
-delia's	re ofter Contino	nitili agout t	tt COA
		<u></u>	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent: _	Rostan Solutions	ate of Ranking:		8/28/2025
<b>.</b>				
Evaluator's Name: _	Jason O'Mara			
Rate the	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the max	rimum listed for	anch fa	rtor
Experience	morphism of the M B B; and and g points up to the max		eacii iai	.101.
Factors			Max Pts	•
1. Successful project for	the last five years and project cost and detailed		- TOTAL T CO	<u>'</u>
information on the proje			20	19
2. Proposer's experience	e with the City	-	5	
2. Experience of Project	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	_ <u></u>
3. Does extent to which t	the good or services meet the City's needs		5	
<del>-</del>		Subtotal	40	34
Work Performance				<b>J</b> .
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Past performance of si	imilar projects of size and scope		10	10- S
2. Management of purch		<del>-</del>	10	10
3. Quality of goods and s	ervices and reputation		10	
		Subtotal	30	28
Capacity to Perform				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience			10	10
	ilized Business (Program to certify qualified small business	es)	5	
3 . Adequacy to do the w			5	
4. Professional liabilty ins	surance in force		5	5
		Subtotal	25	18
Proposed Cost				
<u>Factors</u>			Max Pts	
	e alloted/budgeted amount		4	<u> </u>
2. Is proposed amount ur	nder the alloted/budgeted amount		1	1
		Subtotal	5	
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors				_
Experience		-	Max Pts	Score
Work Performance			40	
Capacity to Perform			30	<u>28</u>
Proposed Cost	<del></del>		<u>25</u>	- <u>18</u>
			100	= <u>5</u>
Notes:			100	85
_				
Engerience - Uniety	of project provided over syr range, anality	experience wi	th mana	gor/supervisor.
Work Performance -	previous work appears adequate but history is	limited		
		<u> </u>		
Capacity - overall a	xperience sheguels but concerns with staff	levels		
4 4 . 4 . 1 . 1	A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
Cost - Project does n	ed have an allocated budget	<u> </u>		

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	Rostan So	lutions		Date of Rai	nking:	8/28/2025	
Evaluator's Name:	Jason O	'Mara					
Notes:							
					<u> </u>		
· ·			<del></del>			<u> </u>	
							<del></del>
			-				
							<u> </u>
•							
•							
	•	• •	·	•		*.	• • •
		٠.		•			
	,.						• •

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	Rostan Solutions Da	te of Ranking:	8/28	<u>8/202</u> 5
Freshrotenia Nasa	D-1			
Evaluator's Name:	Barbara Simmons			
Rate the R	espondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxim	mum listed for	each factor.	
Experience				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Successful project for the	he last five years and project cost and detailed	•		-
information on the projec	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		20	るり
2. Proposer's experience			5	0
	1anager or Site Supervisor		10	70
	ne good or services meet the City's needs		5	<del>5</del>
-		Subtotal	40	
Work Performance		Subtotal	40	
Factors			Max Pts	
	nilar projects of size and scope		10	10
2. Management of purcha	<u> </u>		10	
3. Quality of goods and se			10	10
or equality of Boods and Sc	Trices and reputation	Cubanal		<del>- 0</del>
Capacity to Perform		Subtotal	30	
Factors		i	14. Di	
	1-1-2-		Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience of	zed Business (Program to certify qualified small businesse:	<u> </u>	10	10
3. Adequacy to do the wo		s)	5	<u>_</u>
4. Professional liabilty insu			5	_ <del>5</del>
4. Professional habity inst	trance in force		5	
		Subtotal	25	
Proposed Cost				
Factors			Max Pts	-1
	alloted/budgeted amount		<u>4</u>	
2. Is proposed amount und	der the alloted/budgeted amount		<u> 1</u>	
		Subtotal	5	
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors		<u>1</u>	Max Pts	Score
Experience	<del></del>		40	<u> 35</u>
Work Performance	<u> </u>		30	_30
Capacity to Perform			25	_20
Proposed Cost			. 5	_5
		_	100	
Notes: _Informat	sion Provided in the	X F 4	was	
dotailed	and included inform	<del></del>	/ <u> </u>	<del>-</del>
Beilita	The transfer in to m	acim	<u> 50.7-</u>	
<u> Deryl</u> +	rom local cities.			
	····	<del></del>		
		SCANNED	<u> </u>	
		OCHIVILE		

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	Rostan Solutions	Date of Ranking:	8/28/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Barbara Simmons		
Notes:			
· · ·			
<u>, — </u>			
		<u> </u>	
<u> </u>			
<u> </u>			
<u>.                                      </u>			
		· <u>-</u>	·

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*