Attachment 2: Team Score Tally Data Sheets

Name of Respondent:	Public Management Date	of Ranking:	3/	10/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Kyle Reynolds			
Rate the Re	espondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxim	um listed for	each factor	
Experience				•
Factors			Max Pts	
	e last five years and project cost and detailed	-	- Trian 1 to	
information on the project			20	15
2. Proposer's experience w			5	10
2. Experience of Project Ma			10	
	e good or services meet the City's needs		5	
	- 8	Subtotal	40	30
Work Performance		Subtotal	40	30
Factors			Max Pts	
	ilar projects of size and scope		10	0
2. Management of purchase			10	8 =
3. Quality of goods and serv			10	2 2
5. Quality of goods and serv	vices and reputation	Subtotal		
Conscitute Barform		Subtotai	30	20
Capacity to Perform Factors			May Dt.	
1. Staff level/ Experience of	F C+nff		Max Pts	
	ed Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)			
3 . Adequacy to do the world			5	
4. Professional liabilty insur			5	_5_
4. Professional flability flisur	ance in force		5	_5
2 10 1		Subtotal	25	22
Proposed Cost				
Factors	all at a different and are a second		Max Pts	- 1
1. Does proposal meet the a			4	_4
2. Is proposed amount unde	er the alloted/budgeted amount		1	
		Subtotal	5	4
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors		f	Max Pts	Score
Experience		=	40	30
Work Performance			30	-30
Capacity to Perform			25	22
Proposed Cost			5	J
			100	7/
Notes:			200	76

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Public Management Dat	e of Ranking:		3/10/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Martha Fighme			
Evaluator's Name:	Martha Eighme			
Rate the I	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxin	num listed for	each fact	tor.
Experience				
Factors			Max Pts	
	the last five years and project cost and detailed			-:
information on the project			20	16
2. Proposer's experience			5	-
	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	10
	he good or services meet the City's needs		5	- 4
0		Subtotal	40	30
Work Performance				30
Factors			Max Pts	
	milar projects of size and scope		10	
2. Management of purcha			10	
3. Quality of goods and se			10	- 10
, , ,		Subtotal	30	- 70
Capacity to Perform		Subtotal	30	30
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience	of Staff		10	10
	lized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses	1	5	
3 . Adequacy to do the wo		/	5	- 5
4. Professional liabilty inst			5	5
W T T O T O S O T O T O T O T O T O T O T		Subtotal	25	
Proposed Cost		Subtotal	23	20
Factors			Max Pts	
	e alloted/budgeted amount		4	4
	der the alloted/budgeted amount		1	0
21 to proposed amount an	and the anotes, business and another	Subtotal	5	=
		Subtotai	3	9
		Total	100	
		TOtal	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors			Max Pts	Score
Experience		-	40	30
Work Performance			30	30
Capacity to Perform			25	20
Proposed Cost			5	
Troposca cost			100	-7
Notes:			100	84
P 1 7	4 270 000 (5-1270) pro/it			
rape sad to	e 270,000 (5-10%) profit			
	V			

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Evaluator's Name: Hector Renteria	
Evaluator's Name: Hector Renteria	
Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.	
Experience	
Factors Max Pts	
Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed	
information on the project 20 /s	
2. Proposer's experience with the City 5	
2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor 10 7.	
3. Does extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs 5	
Subtotal 40	
Work Performance	
Factors Max Pts	
1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope 10	
2. Management of purchase price/pricing 10	
3. Quality of goods and services and reputation 10	
Subtotal 30	
Capacity to Perform	
Factors Max Pts 1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff 10	
2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses) 5 3. Adequacy to do the work assigned 5	
4. Professional liability insurance in force 5	
Subtotal 25	
Proposed Cost	
Factors Max Pts	
1. Does proposal meet the alloted/budgeted amount 4	
2. Is proposed amount under the alloted/budgeted amount 1	
Subtotal 5	
Total 100	
TOTAL SCORE	
Factors Sco Experience 40	<u>e</u>
Work Performance 30 2 Capacity to Perform 25 25	
Proposed Cost 5	
100	
Notes:	
Exo the project lated but not much detail	
1 P +) - int int an not much actual	
bout the not incurred	_

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Public Management Date	of Ranking:		
Evaluator's Name:	John Wright			
Pata tha	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxim	ım listad far	and fact	
Experience	respondent of the Ki b by awarding points up to the maxime	ann nsteu 101	each fact	01.
Factors			Max Pts	
· //	the last five years and project cost and detailed		IVIAX FLS	-8
information on the project			20	2_0
2. Proposer's experience			5	
	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	. 0
	the good or services meet the City's needs		5	10
	the Book of Services meet the city's needs	Subtotal	40	-
Work Performance		Jubiolai	40	35
Factors			Max Pts	
	similar projects of size and scope		10	i Â
2. Management of purc			10	10
3. Quality of goods and			10	10
J. Quanty of Boods and	sections and reputation	Subtotal	30	- 40
Capacity to Perform		Subtotal	30	30
Factors			May Dta	
1. Staff level/ Experience	o of Staff		Max Pts	10
	tilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)			
3 . Adequacy to do the v			5	-2
4. Professional liabilty in			5	
4. FTOTESSIONAL HADRICY III	isdiance in force	Codes		2
Draward Cost		Subtotal	25	25
Proposed Cost			N.A Div	
Factors	ha allahad /bdaahad amaa.mh		Max Pts	A. A
	he alloted/budgeted amount Inder the alloted/budgeted amount		4	77
2. Is proposed amount u	maer the anoted/budgeted amount	C 1		
		Subtotal	5	5
		*	100	(00
		Total	100	(95)
TOTAL CCORE				
TOTAL SCORE Factors			Mari Dia	6
Experience		i e	Max Pts 40	Score
Work Performance			30	-
Capacity to Perform			25	-
Proposed Cost			5	
Troposed Cost				
Notes:			100	
NOLES.				

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Public Management Da	ate of Ranking:	3-18	-25
Evaluator's Name:	Christiene Daniel			
Rate th	e Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxi	imum listed for	each factor.	
Experience	•			
Factors			Max Pts	
	r the last five years and project cost and detailed			_
information on the pro			20	10
2. Proposer's experien			5	F
	t Manager or Site Supervisor		10	8
	the good or services meet the City's needs		5	5
		Subtotal	40	23
Work Performance				25
Factors			Max Pts	
	similar projects of size and scope		10	10
2. Management of pure			10	- 5
	services and reputation		10	10
		Subtotal	30	75
Capacity to Perform			30	20
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience	re of Staff		10	9
	tilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesse	es)	5	-28
3 . Adequacy to do the		-,	5	
4. Professional liabilty i			5	
4. 1 Toressional habity i	nour arrest in 1 arest	Subtotal	25	19
Proposed Cost		Jubiotai	2.5	
Factors			Max Pts	
	the alloted/budgeted amount		4	4
	under the alloted/budgeted amount		1	-
2. IS proposed amount	under the anoted/badgeted amount	Subtotal	5	
		Subtotal	5	7
		Total	100	
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors		1	Max Pts	Score
Experience		=	40	
Work Performance			30	23
Capacity to Perform			25	16
Proposed Cost			5	41
Proposed Cost			100	
Notes:			100	71
NOtes.				

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Lanford Community Management	Date of Ranking:	3/	10/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Kyle Reynolds			
Doto the	Decreased out of the DED by evending mainter up to the ma	winnium lieted for	and facts	_
Experience	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the ma	ximum iistea ior	each facto	Γ•
Factors			Max Pts	
	the last five years and project cost and detailed		TVIGAT C3	
information on the project			20	V
2. Proposer's experience			5	<u></u>
	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	-3
	the good or services meet the City's needs		5	
J. DOCO CATCHE TO WHICH	the good of our vices meet the city's needs	Subtotal	40	2
Work Performance		Subtotal	40	35
			May Dta	
Factors 1 Past performance of si	imilar projects of size and scope		Max Pts	0
2. Management of purch			10	
3. Quality of goods and s				_5
5. Quality of goods and s	ervices and reputation	Colored	10	8
O I D. C.		Subtotal	30	21
Capacity to Perform				
Factors			Max Pts	-
1. Staff level/ Experience			10	_8
	ilized Business (Program to certify qualified small busines	ses)	5	_4
3 . Adequacy to do the w			5	5
4. Professional liabilty ins	surance in force		5	_5
		Subtotal	25	22
Proposed Cost				
Factors			Max Pts	1
	e alloted/budgeted amount		4	_4
2. Is proposed amount ur	nder the alloted/budgeted amount		1	0
		Subtotal	5	4
				'
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors		-	Max Pts	Score
Experience		77	40	35
Work Performance			30	21
Capacity to Perform			25	22
Proposed Cost			5	<u>-</u> 4
		<u> </u>	100	22
Notes:				20

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score **

Name of Respondent:	Lanford Community Management Date	te of Ranking:	3/1	.0/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Martha Eighme			
Rate the	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxin	num listed for	each factor.	
Experience				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Successful project for	the last five years and project cost and detailed	14		
information on the proje			20	17
2. Proposer's experience			5	4
	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	10
	the good or services meet the City's needs		5	5
<u></u>		Subtotal	40	3.5
Work Performance				00
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Past performance of si	imilar projects of size and scope		10	10
2. Management of purch	ase price/pricing		10	10
3. Quality of goods and s	ervices and reputation		10	10
Consolito to Boufama		Subtotal	30	30
Capacity to Perform Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience	of Staff		10	1.00
	lized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses	1	5	5
3 . Adequacy to do the w		1	5	
4. Professional liability ins			5	3
4. 1 Toressional habitty ins	Minister in Torce	Subtotal	25	
Proposed Cost		Subtotal	23	25
Factors		1	Max Pts	
	e alloted/budgeted amount		Δ	3
	nder the alloted/budgeted amount		1	
		Subtotal	 5	2
		Subtotal	3	3
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				<u> </u>
Factors			Max Pts	Score
Experience		-	40	38
Work Performance			30	30
Capacity to Perform			25	
Proposed Cost			5	<u>3</u>
			100	64
Notes: #300 000	after review & are ariteria			/4
Expe	rience getting this added	amount	t of f	unding
Plan i	Development V			
	*			

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score **

Name of Respondent:	Lanford Community Management Dat	e of Ranking:		3/10/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Hector Renteria			
Pata tha	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxim	num listed for	onch fact	
Experience	respondent of the Krb by awarding points up to the maxim	ium nsteu for	each ract	or.
Factors			Max Pts	
	the last five years and project cost and detailed		IVIAX I CS	-
information on the proje			20	846
2. Proposer's experience			5	
	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	9
	the good or services meet the City's needs		5	5
		Subtotal	40	
Work Performance				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Past performance of si	imilar projects of size and scope		10	8
2. Management of purch	ase price/pricing		10	5
3. Quality of goods and s	ervices and reputation		10	70
		Subtotal	30	
Capacity to Perform				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience			10	9
	lized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)		5	5
3 . Adequacy to do the w			5	_5
4. Professional liabilty ins	surance in force		5	5
		Subtotal	25	
Proposed Cost				
Factors			Max Pts	.1
	e alloted/budgeted amount		4	
2. Is proposed amount ur	nder the alloted/budgeted amount		1	
		Subtotal	5	
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors			Max Pts	C
Experience		-	40	Score
Work Performance			30	22
Capacity to Perform			25	5,7
Proposed Cost			5	27
			100	
Notes:			100	(86)
8. # _ L 1-1	16.4			
Cap sproject little	S \			
Moore / A 4 - 40+	Inchaen			

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Lang ford Date	of Ranking:		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	19		
Evaluator's Name:	John Wright			
Data dha Da	and the fill people in the second second			
	spondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximu	m listed for	each facto	or.
Experience Factors			M . Di	
	e last five years and project cost and detailed		Max Pts	
information on the project	e last live years and project cost and detailed		20	1.5
2. Proposer's experience w	vith the City		<u>20</u>	-8
2. Experience of Project Ma			10	2
	good or services meet the City's needs		5	10
- Does extent to which the	. good or services meet the city's needs	Subtotal		5
Work Performance		Subtotal	40	35
Factors			Max Pts	
	lar projects of size and scope		10	10
2. Management of purchase			10	-
3. Quality of goods and serv			10	10
7 7 80000 0110 0010	and reputation	Subtotal	30	70
Capacity to Perform		Subtotal	30	30
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience of	Staff		10	10
	ed Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)		5	-10
3 . Adequacy to do the work			5	
4. Professional liabilty insura			5	-5
		Subtotal	25	12
Proposed Cost		Judicial	23	45
Factors		1	Max Pts	
1. Does proposal meet the a	illoted/budgeted amount		4)
	er the alloted/budgeted amount		1	1
		Subtotal	5	2
				2
		Total	100	91
				7 4
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors		1	Max Pts	Score
Experience			40	2
Work Performance			30	
Capacity to Perform			25	
Proposed Cost			5	
			100	-
Notes:				

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	LAng ford	ate of Ranking:	3	8.25
Evaluator's Name:	Christiene Daniel			
	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the max	imum listed for	each facto	r.
Experience				
Factors			Max Pts	
	the last five years and project cost and detailed			
information on the proje			20	_/0
2. Proposer's experience			5	O
	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	6
3. Does extent to which	the good or services meet the City's needs		5	_3
		Subtotal	40	19
Work Performance				•
Factors			Max Pts	
	imilar projects of size and scope		10	5
2. Management of purch			10	5
3. Quality of goods and s	ervices and reputation		10	10
		Subtotal	30	20
Capacity to Perform				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience	of Staff		10	フ
2. A Historically Underuti	ilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesse	es)	5	5
3 . Adequacy to do the w			5	4
4. Professional liabilty ins	surance in force		5	5
_		Subtotal	25	21
Proposed Cost				
Factors			Max Pts	P
	e alloted/budgeted amount		4	Ø
2. Is proposed amount ur	nder the alloted/budgeted amount		1	
		Subtotal	5	D
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors		,	Max Pts	Score
Experience		-	40	10
Work Performance			30	20
Capacity to Perform			25	21
Proposed Cost			5	0
			100	
Notes:			200	60

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Freese & Nichols Inc Date	of Ranking:	3/	10/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Kyle Reynolds			
Rate the F	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxim	um listed for	each factor	•
Experience	respondent of the M b by awarania points up to the maxim	um nated for	cacii iactoi	(#C
Factors			Max Pts	
	he last five years and project cost and detailed			
information on the project			20	18
2. Proposer's experience			5	5
	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	-3
	he good or services meet the City's needs		5	5
-		Subtotal	40	
Work Performance		odbiota	10	36
Factors			Max Pts	
	milar projects of size and scope		10	0
2. Management of purcha			10	7
3. Quality of goods and se			10	
	Tribus and reputation	Subtotal	30	
Capacity to Perform		Subtotal	30	24
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience	of Staff		10	0
·	ized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)		5	7_
3 . Adequacy to do the wo			5	-5
4. Professional liabilty insu			5	-5
	arance in force	Subtotal	25	
Proposed Cost		Subtotal	25	24
Factors			Max Pts	
	e alloted/budgeted amount		4	21
	der the alloted/budgeted amount		1	4
2. 13 proposed amount and	der the anoted/budgeted amount	Subtotal		
		Subtotal	5	4
		Total	100	
		iotai	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors			Max Pts	Score
Experience		-	40	
Work Performance			30	-36
Capacity to Perform			25	-24
Proposed Cost			5	-2,+
		=======================================	100	55
Notes:			100	88

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Freese & Nichols Inc Date	of Ranking:	3/1	0/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Martha Eighme			
Evaluator 5 Marrier	Traiting Eightine			
Rate the R	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximu	m listed for	each factor.	
Experience				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Successful project for the	he last five years and project cost and detailed			
information on the project	et		20	19
2. Proposer's experience	with the City		5	5
2. Experience of Project N	Nanager or Site Supervisor		10	10 5
3. Does extent to which th	ne good or services meet the City's needs		5	5
		Subtotal	40	39
Work Performance				J ,
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Past performance of sin	nilar projects of size and scope		10	10
2. Management of purcha	se price/pricing		10	10
3. Quality of goods and se	rvices and reputation		10	10
		Subtotal	30	30
Capacity to Perform				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience	of Staff		10	10
2. A Historically Underutili	zed Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)		5	-
3 . Adequacy to do the wo	rk assigned		5	
4. Professional liabilty insu	urance in force		5	5
		Subtotal	25	20
Proposed Cost				•
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Does proposal meet the	alloted/budgeted amount		4	3
	der the alloted/budgeted amount		1	0
		Subtotal	5	3
			J	•
		Total	100	
TOTAL COORE				
TOTAL SCORE Factors			May Dt-	C
		<u> </u>	Max Pts	Score
Experience Work Performance			40	39
Capacity to Perform			30	30
Proposed Cost			25	20
rioposed Cost			5	
Notes:			100	92
1 289	, 444			, .
Project	lost > Last sypars			15
/	/			V:
				

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Freese & Nichols Inc Date	e of Ranking:		3/10/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Hector Renteria			
Rate the	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxim	um listed for	each fact	or.
Experience				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Successful project for	the last five years and project cost and detailed			
information on the proje			20	LB.
2. Proposer's experience			5	
	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	- <u>J</u>
	the good or services meet the City's needs		5	- g .
5. DOCS CALCILL TO WITHOUT	the good of services meet the city's needs	Subtotal		
Manle Danfarman		Subtotal	40	
Work Performance				
Factors	to the construction of the construction		Max Pts	a
	imilar projects of size and scope		10	
2. Management of purch			10	_ 5
3. Quality of goods and s	ervices and reputation		10	<u>/o</u>
		Subtotal	30	
Capacity to Perform				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience	of Staff		10	10
2. A Historically Underuti	lized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)		5	. 3
3 . Adequacy to do the w	ork assigned		5	3
4. Professional liabilty ins	surance in force		5	5
		Subtotal	25	<u> </u>
Proposed Cost		Subtotal	23	
Factors			Max Pts	
	e alloted/budgeted amount		IVIAX FLS	4
	nder the alloted/budgeted amount		1	
2. 15 proposed amount di	idel the anoted/budgeted amount	C 1 1		
		Subtotal	5	
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors			Max Pts	Score
Experience			40	36
Work Performance			30	24
Capacity to Perform			25	23
Proposed Cost			5	4
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			100	3-1
Notes:			100	(B+)
9xx #1: very dota	iled projects but no costs			
North PAD	included			
13/0- 101	The state of the s			-

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Freese & Nichols Dat	e of Ranking:		
Evaluatoria Nama	Jahn Mirisha		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Evaluator's Name:	John Wright			
Rate the	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxin	num listed for	each facto	r.
Experience				
Factors			Max Pts	
	the last five years and project cost and detailed			_
information on the proj			20	20
2. Proposer's experience	ce with the City		5	-5
	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	10
3. Does extent to which	the good or services meet the City's needs		5	5
		Subtotal	40	40
Work Performance				(0
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Past performance of	similar projects of size and scope		10	8
2. Management of purc	hase price/pricing		10	10
3. Quality of goods and	services and reputation		10	10
		Subtotal	30	20
Capacity to Perform				40
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience	e of Staff		10	10
2. A Historically Underut	tilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)	5	4
3 . Adequacy to do the v	vork assigned		5	5
4. Professional liabilty in	surance in force		5	5
		Subtotal	25	24
Proposed Cost				LT
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Does proposal meet t	he alloted/budgeted amount		4	2
2. Is proposed amount u	nder the alloted/budgeted amount		1	0
		Subtotal	5	1
				4
		Total	100	94
				1 1
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors		1	Max Pts	Score
Experience		To	40	
Work Performance			30	
Capacity to Perform			25	
Proposed Cost			5	
			100	
Notes:				

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent: Freese & Nichols Date	of Ranking:		18.52
Evaluator's Name: Christian Daniel			
Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxim	um listed for	each facto	or.
Experience			
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed			
information on the project		20	15 0
2. Proposer's experience with the City		5	1
2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor		10	10
3. Does extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs		5	
	Subtotal	40	21
Work Performance	Justotai	40	31
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope		10	10
2. Management of purchase price/pricing		10	
3. Quality of goods and services and reputation		10	-5
	Subtotal	30	
Capacity to Perform	Subtotal	30	25
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff			175
2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)		10	_/0
3 . Adequacy to do the work assigned		5	
Professional liability insurance in force		5	
4. I Totessional habity insurance in force	Codetes	5	
Proposed Cost	Subtotal	25	20
Factors			
1. Does proposal meet the alloted/budgeted amount	ı	Max Pts	200
Is proposed amount under the alloted/budgeted amount		4	
2. 15 proposed amount under the anotedy budgeted amount			
	Subtotal	5	
	Total	100	
TOTAL CCORC			
TOTAL SCORE Factors		at. Di	_
Experience	<u>, </u>	Max Pts	Score
Work Performance		40	_31
Capacity to Perform		30	_25
Proposed Cost		25	20
Toposed Cost		5	
Notes:		100	76

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Ardurra Dat	te of Ranking:	3/:	10/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Kyle Reynolds			
Rate the I	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxin	num listed for	each factor	
Experience	Respondent of the Ni b by awarding points up to the maxim	iidiii iisted ioi	each factor	•
Factors			Max Pts	
	the last five years and project cost and detailed			
information on the proje			20	15
2. Proposer's experience			5	-5
	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	á
	he good or services meet the City's needs		5	5
		Subtotal	40	
Work Performance				30
Factors			Max Pts	_
	milar projects of size and scope		10	9
2. Management of purcha			10	
3. Quality of goods and se			10	10
		Subtotal	30	
Capacity to Perform		Subtotal	30	24
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience	of Staff		10	9
	lized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)	5	
3 . Adequacy to do the wo		,	5	
4. Professional liabilty ins			5	
4.1101C33101141 Hubilty 1113	arance in force	Subtotal	25	3-4
Proposed Cost		Subtotal	25	ZΨ
Factors			Max Pts	
	e alloted/budgeted amount		4	4
	der the alloted/budgeted amount		1	
2. 13 proposcu annount un	der the anotedy budgeted amount	Subtotal		
		Subtotai	5	5
		Total	100	
		TOtal	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors			Max Pts	Score
Experience		2	40	3.6
Work Performance			30	31
Capacity to Perform			25	-24
Proposed Cost			5	-24
- I oposca dost			100	
Notes:			100	87

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score **

Name of Respondent:	Ardurra Date	of Ranking:	3/	10/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Martha Eighme			
	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxim	um listed for	each factor	·.
Experience				
Factors			Max Pts	
	the last five years and project cost and detailed			10
information on the proje			20	
2. Proposer's experienc			5	_ 5
	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	10
3. Does extent to which	the good or services meet the City's needs		5	5
		Subtotal	40	39
Work Performance				,
Factors			Max Pts	
	similar projects of size and scope		10	10
2. Management of purch			10	10
3. Quality of goods and s	services and reputation		10	10
		Subtotal	30	30
Capacity to Perform				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience			10	
	ilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)		5	
3 . Adequacy to do the w			5	5
4. Professional liabilty in	surance in force		5	<u>5</u> _5
		Subtotal	25	20
Proposed Cost				
Factors			Max Pts	. 1
1. Does proposal meet th	ne alloted/budgeted amount		4	4
2. Is proposed amount u	nder the alloted/budgeted amount		1	
		Subtotal	5	5
				-
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors		_	Max Pts	Score
Experience			40	39
Work Performance			30	30
Capacity to Perform			25	20
Proposed Cost			5	_5
		·	100	94
Notes:				•
\$ 268	000			

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Ardurra Da	ite of Ranking:		3/10/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Hector Renteria			
Rate the	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxi	mum listed for	each fact	or.
Experience	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Successful project for	the last five years and project cost and detailed			
information on the proje	ect		20	/3
2. Proposer's experience	e with the City		5	5
2. Experience of Project	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	70
3. Does extent to which t	the good or services meet the City's needs		5	5
(Subtotal	40	
Work Performance				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Past performance of si	milar projects of size and scope		10	<u>පි</u>
2. Management of purch			10	5
3. Quality of goods and se			10	3
		Subtotal	30	
Capacity to Perform		J	-	
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience	of Staff		10	10
	lized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesse	s)	5	7
3 . Adequacy to do the w			5	5
4. Professional liabilty ins	surance in force		5	5
****		Subtotal	25	
Proposed Cost				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Does proposal meet th	e alloted/budgeted amount		4	4
	nder the alloted/budgeted amount		1	-/-
		Subtotal	5	
		Subtotai	,	
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors		2	Max Pts	Score
Experience			40	<u> 38</u>
Work Performance			30	21
Capacity to Perform			25	23
Proposed Cost			5	5
			100	(97)
Notes:				
Txp #1: geat pre	sect defails but no casts			
UP#2 not inclu	ided			
1 11 2				

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Ardurra Dat	e of Ranking:		
Evaluator's Name:	John Wright			
Data Ma				
Experience	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxim	num listed fo	r each factor	r.
Factors			14. 5.	
	the last five years and project cost and detailed		Max Pts	
information on the project			20	2.8
2. Proposer's experience				20
	Manager or Site Supervisor		5	_0
	the good or services meet the City's needs		<u>10</u>	10
	are good or services meet are only sinceus	Culptotal		2
Work Performance		Subtotal	40	35
Factors			May De-	
	imilar projects of size and scope		Max Pts	10
2. Management of purch			10	10
3. Quality of goods and s			10	10
		Subtotal		
Capacity to Perform		วนมเบเสเ	30	30
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience	of Staff		10	(1)
	ilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)		5	
3 . Adequacy to do the w			5	->
4. Professional liabilty ins			5	7
		Subtotal		
Proposed Cost		Subtotal	25	25
Factors			Max Pts	
	e alloted/budgeted amount		4	2
	nder the alloted/budgeted amount		1	
		Subtotal	5	
		Jubiolai	3	3
		Total	100	90
		·otai	100	15
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors			Max Pts	Score
Experience		Ç .	40	30010
Work Performance			30	(
Capacity to Perform			25	
Proposed Cost			5	
			100	
Notes:				

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Ardurra Da	ite of Ranking:	3	18.25
	2	.0.		, , , , ,
Evaluator's Name:	Christiene Daniel			
Rate th	e Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxi	mum listed fo	r each fact	or.
Experience				
Factors			Max Pts	
	or the last five years and project cost and detailed			E
information on the pro			20	12
2. Proposer's experien			5	3
	t Manager or Site Supervisor		10	10
3. Does extent to which	the good or services meet the City's needs		5	_ 5
		Subtotal	40	35
Work Performance				_
Factors			Max Pts	
Maria de la companya della companya	similar projects of size and scope		10	10
2. Management of purc			10	5
3. Quality of goods and	services and reputation		10	10
		Subtotal	30	25
Capacity to Perform				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experienc			10	10
	tilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses	s)	5	10
3 . Adequacy to do the v			5	5
4. Professional liabilty in	surance in force		5	5
_		Subtotal	25	20
Proposed Cost				
Factors		I	Max Pts	4
	he alloted/budgeted amount		4	4
2. Is proposed amount u	nder the alloted/budgeted amount		1	
		Subtotal	5	5
				ے
		Total	100	
FOTAL COORT				
TOTAL SCORE				
actors			Max Pts	Score
Experience Work Performance			40	30
Capacity to Perform			30	25
Proposed Cost			25	_20
roposed cost			5	5_
Notes:			100	80
Motes.				

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Kimley-Horn Dat	e of Ranking:	3/	10/2025
Evelvetede News	Kula Davisalda			
Evaluator's Name:	Kyle Reynolds			
Rate the R	espondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxim	um listed for	each factor	•
Experience				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Successful project for th	ne last five years and project cost and detailed		-	
information on the project	t		20	15
2. Proposer's experience			5	-L
2. Experience of Project M	lanager or Site Supervisor		10	9
3. Does extent to which th	ne good or services meet the City's needs		5	5
		Subtotal	40	73
Work Performance				21
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Past performance of sim	nilar projects of size and scope		10	9
2. Management of purchas			10	5
3. Quality of goods and ser			10	9
		Subtotal	30	7 3
Capacity to Perform		Jubioidi	30	a
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience of	of Staff		10	10
	zed Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)		5	-1
3 . Adequacy to do the wor			5	_5
4. Professional liabilty insu			5	=
1111000001011011100110111100	. dilec in refee	Subtotal	25	
Proposed Cost		Subtotal	25	25
Factors			Max Pts	
	alloted/budgeted amount		IVIAX PIS	eL.
	der the alloted/budgeted amount		1	
2. 13 proposca amount and	the anoted/budgeted amount	Cubtotal		
		Subtotal	5	4
		Total	100	•
		iotai	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors			May Dec	C
Experience		-	Max Pts	Score
Work Performance			40	-22
Capacity to Perform			30	22
Proposed Cost			<u> 25</u> 5	-07
r oposed cost				
Notes:			100	85
Notes.				•

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Kimley-Horn Date	e of Ranking:	3,	/10/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Martha Eighme			
	espondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxim	ium listed for	each facto	r.
Experience				
Factors	The Control of the Co	,	Max Pts	
	ne last five years and project cost and detailed		20	10
information on the project 2. Proposer's experience			5	
2. Experience of Project M			10	
	e good or services meet the City's needs		5	10 5 39
J. DOCS EXCERT TO WHICH TH	e good of services meet the city's needs	Subtotal	40	30
Work Performance		Jubiolai	40	37
Factors			Max Pts	
	nilar projects of size and scope		10	10
2. Management of purchas			10	10
3. Quality of goods and ser			10	10
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Subtotal	30	30
Capacity to Perform		o a b to tal	00	30
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience o	of Staff		10	10
	zed Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)		5	
3 . Adequacy to do the wor			5	.5
4. Professional liabilty insu	rance in force		5	5
		Subtotal	25	20
Proposed Cost				20
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Does proposal meet the	alloted/budgeted amount		4	4
2. Is proposed amount und	ler the alloted/budgeted amount		1	0
		Subtotal	5	4
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors		: -	Max Pts	Score
Experience			40	39
Work Performance			30	30
Capacity to Perform			25	20
Proposed Cost			5	
Natar			100	93
Notes:	0.0			
\$ 270,0	7 7 7 7			
Similar	Vroject Costs			

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score **

Name of Respondent:	Kimley-Horn Dat	te of Ranking:	3,	/10/2025
Evaluator's Name:	Hector Renteria			
Data tha I	Description of the DFD by available relations to the marrie	linka d fa	L	_
	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maxin	num listea for	each tacto	or.
Experience Factors			Max Pts	
	the last five years and project cost and detailed		- IVIAX FLS	
information on the project			20	16
2. Proposer's experience			5	1
	Manager or Site Supervisor		10	19
	he good or services meet the City's needs		5	5
		Subtotal	40	
Work Performance				
Factors			Max Pts	
	milar projects of size and scope		10	9
2. Management of purcha			10	5
3. Quality of goods and se			10	9
		Subtotal	30	
Capacity to Perform				
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience	of Staff		10	10
2. A Historically Underutil	ized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)	5	3
3 . Adequacy to do the wo	ork assigned		5	5
4. Professional liabilty ins	urance in force		5	5
		Subtotal	25	·
Proposed Cost				
Factors			Max Pts	//
	e alloted/budgeted amount		4	4
2. Is proposed amount un	der the alloted/budgeted amount		1	0
		Subtotal	5	S
		Total	100	
TOTAL COORE				
TOTAL SCORE Factors		1	N. dan Dia	C
Experience		-	Max Pts 40	Score
Work Performance			30	73
Capacity to Perform			25	23
Proposed Cost			5	43
Troposcu coot			100	70.70
Notes:			100	(84)
grate Douck 1	sted but no costs			
(VPI)	aded			
of the view	70-21			

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Kimley-Horn Date	of Ranking:		
Evaluator's Name:	John Wright			
Rate the	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximu	m listed for	each facto	r
Experience	one period of the me maximum	in iisteu ioi	cacii iacto	
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Successful project for	the last five years and project cost and detailed			
information on the proj			20	20
2. Proposer's experience	ce with the City		5	5
2. Experience of Project	: Manager or Site Supervisor		10	9
3. Does extent to which	the good or services meet the City's needs		5	5
		Subtotal	40	20
Work Performance				39
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Past performance of	similar projects of size and scope		10	in
2. Management of purc	hase price/pricing		10	10
3. Quality of goods and			10	10
		Subtotal	30	
Capacity to Perform				30
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experienc	e of Staff		10	10
	tilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)		5	2
3 . Adequacy to do the v			5	5
4. Professional liabilty in	surance in force		5	5
		Subtotal	25	0.0
Proposed Cost				22
Factors			Max Pts	
1. Does proposal meet t	he alloted/budgeted amount		4	4
2. Is proposed amount u	inder the alloted/budgeted amount		1	7
9		Subtotal	5	
				ລັ
		Total	100	(91)
				(Ng)
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors		52	Max Pts	Score
Experience		(8)	40	
Work Performance	,		30	
Capacity to Perform			25	
Proposed Cost			5	
			100	
Notes:				
-				

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Kimley-Horn	Date of Ranking:	3-11	8.25
Evaluator's Name:	Christiene Daniel			
	Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the ma	ximum listed for	each factor.	
Experience Factors			Mari Dia	
	the last five years and project sect and detailed	9	Max Pts	
information on the proj	r the last five years and project cost and detailed		20	10 00
2. Proposer's experience			<u>20</u>	
			10	
Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor Does extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs			5	10
5. Does extent to which	the good of services meet the city's needs	Subtotal		-5
Work Performance		Subtotal	40	28
Factors		ı	Max Pts	
1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope			10	10
2. Management of purchase price/pricing			10	
Quality of goods and services and reputation			10	2
J. Quality of goods and	scratecs and reputation	Subtotal		
Consolity to Deeferm		Subtotal	30	25
Capacity to Perform Factors			Mary Dha	
			Max Pts	io
Staff level/ Experience of Staff A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)			<u>10</u> 5	
3 . Adequacy to do the v		303/	5	_6_
4. Professional liabilty in			5	
4. FTOTESSIONAL HADRITY II	isulance in loice	Subtotal		
Dramanad Coat		Suptotal	25	20
Proposed Cost Factors			Mary Dia	
			Max Pts	4
Does proposal meet the alloted/budgeted amount Is proposed amount under the alloted/budgeted amount			1	
z. is proposed amount o	muer the anoted/budgeted amount	Cubaasa		
		Subtotal	5	4
		Total	100	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors			Max Pts	Score
Experience		-	40	28
Work Performance			30	25
Capacity to Perform			25	20
Proposed Cost			5	4
			100	7.7
Notes:				′ /

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Point Breakdown

Exper	_
ci pei	7

- 10 pt per project w/ details (0)

 10 pt for any comp work (0)

 12 pt any comp work plus current in progress
- 2) 1 pt per project
- 3 2 pt per person of comp experience
 3 8 t " " " " with 10+ 8 vs

work Perf.

- 1 2pt per project
- 2 pt per project w/ detail [05] 5 pt mention projects v/ no detail
- 3 Refereer listed

Cop to Perf

1) lpt per 0 to 4 yes exp In comp planning
2 pt per 5 to 10 yrs exp In comp planning
3 pt per 15+ yrs exp

Proposed cost

(1) meets budget \$270,000 4pt atoms

(2) below \$270,000 /pt