Evaluator's Name: Evaluator 1  Rate the Respondent of the RFQ by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.  Cover Letter	Max Pts	
each factor.	Max Pts	
Cover Letter		
Factors  1. Demonstrated experience in design services for infrastructure projects	Subtotal 5	4
Project Team , '	:	(4)
1. Qualifications and relevant individual experience. 2. Unique knowledge of key team members relating to the project. 3. Are the lines of authority and coordination clearly identified?	Max Pts 5 5 5 5 Subtotal 15	2 2 2
Firms Capabilities Factors  1. Are essential management functions identified? 2. Current and projected workload. 3. Are the lines of authority and coordination clearly identified? 4. Are the functions effectively integrated? (e.g., sub consultants' role delineated)	Max Pts 5 5 5 5	4/ 3 3
, < "	Subtotal 20	(14)
Prior Experience Factors  1. Experience of the key staff and firm with projects of similar scope and complexity. 2. Demonstrated success on past projects of similar scope and complexity. 3. Development of conceptual designs. 4. Prior experience with the City of Angleton (or its predecessors).  Project Approach Factors	5 5 5 Subtotal 25	6 8 2 2
-actors  1. Budget Methodology/Cost control.  2. Schedule- Manage the required work to meet the established schedule.  3. Establish and maintain estimates of probable cost within Angleton's established budget.	Max Pts 10 10  10  Subtotal  30	8 8 8
Factors  I. A minimum of three (3) and a maximum of five (5) references with contact information) for similar projects.	Max Pts  5 Subtotal 5	74

Factors

Cover Letter

Project Team

Firm Capabilities

Prior Experience

Project Approach

References

Notes:

Max Pts
5
15
20
25
30
5
100



Name of Respondent:	Edgeland	Date of Ranking:	6/3/2024
Evaluator's Name:	Evaluator 2		
Rate the Respondent of the each factor.	RFQ by awarding points up to the maximum listed for		
Cover Letter			
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Demonstrated experience	in design services for infrastructure projects	Subtotal 5	3
Project Team			***
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Qualifications and relevant	t individual experience.	5	3
	eam members relating to the project.	5	2
	and coordination clearly identified?	5	
	,	Subtotal 15	(Ø
Firms Capabilities			
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Are essential management	functions identified?	5	4
2. Current and projected world	kload.	5	5
3. Are the lines of authority a	nd coordination clearly identified?	5	5
4. Are the functions effectivel	ly integrated? (e.g., sub consultants' role delineated)	5	5
	,	Subtotal 20	19/
Prior Experience			
Factors		Max Pts	
	and firm with projects of similar scope and complexity.	10	-7
	past projects of similar scope and complexity.	5	-\frac{1}{4}
3. Development of conceptua			- No
		5	
4. Prior experience with the C	City of Angleton (or its predecessors).	5	
19		Subtotal 25	20
Project Approach			
Factors		Max Pts	
<ol> <li>Budget Methodology/Cost</li> </ol>	control.	10	7
2. Schedule- Manage the requ	ired work to meet the established schedule.	10	7
3. Establish and maintain estir	mates of probable cost within Angleton's		
established budget.		10	7
		Subtotal 30	21/
References			V .
Factors		May Dt-	
	a maximum of five (E) valor	Max Pts	
i. A minimum of three (3) and (with contact information) for	l a maximum of five (5) references		-
with contact information) for	similar projects.	5	3
		Subtotal 5	311/
	•		
		Total 100	73(46

-

Factors	
Cover Letter	
Project Team	
Firm Capabilities	
Prior Experience	
Project Approach.	
References	

Notes:

Max Pts
5
15
20
25
30
5
100

Score 13
10
19.
2.50
2
_ 3
73

Name of Respondent:	Edgeland	Date of Ranking:	6/3/2024
Evaluator's Name:	Evaluator 3		
	FQ by awarding points up to the maximum listed for		
each factor.			
Cover Letter			
Factors		Max Pts	7
1. Demonstrated experience in	n design services for infrastructure projects	5	3
ų.		Subtotal 5	3
Project Team			
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Qualifications and relevant i	individual experience.	5	3
	am members relating to the project.	5	
	d coordination clearly identified?	5	
*		Subtotal 15	
		2000000 13	10
Firms Capabilities			·
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Are essential management f	unctions identified?	5	Ч
2. Current and projected workl	load.	5	5
3. Are the lines of authority and	d coordination clearly identified?	5	L
4. Are the functions effectively	integrated? (e.g., sub consultants' role delineated)	5	3
		Subtotal 20	1/0
			14
Prior Experience			
Factors		Max Pts	-1
1. Experience of the key staff a	nd firm with projects of similar scope and complexity.	10	8
	st projects of similar scope and complexity.	5	4
3. Development of conceptual		5	4
4. Prior experience with the Cit	ry of Angleton (or its predecessors).	5	45
,		Subtotal 25	
			21
Project Approach			
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Budget Methodology/Cost co	ontrol.	10	8
2. Schedule- Manage the requir	red work to meet the established schedule.	10	9
3. Establish and maintain estim	ates of probable cost within Angleton's		
established budget.		10	8
		Subtotal 30	15
			~
References			
Factors		Max Pts	~
	a maximum of five (5) references		_7
(with contact information) for s	similar projects.	5	
		Subtotal 5	2
			Ø
			(11)
		Total 100	

Factors
Cover Letter
Project Team
Firm Capabilities
Prior Experience
Project Approach
References

Max Pts	Score
15	10
20	16
25	21
30	25
5	2
100	1-1

Notes:

Project term experience seems to be hervily focused on schools instead of parks

Name of Respondent: _	Edgeland	Date of Ranking:	6/3/2024
Evaluator's Name:	Evaluator 4		
Rate the Respondent of each factor.	the RFQ by awarding points up to the maximum listed for		
Cover Letter Factors  1. Demonstrated experie	nce in design services for infrastructure projects	Max Pts  5 Subtotal 5	5
2. Unique knowledge of k	vant individual experience. sey team members relating to the project. ity and coordination clearly identified?	Max Pts	@ 5 @ 5 @ 3
<ul><li>2. Current and projected</li><li>3. Are the lines of author</li></ul>	nent functions identified? workload. ity and coordination clearly identified? tively integrated? (e.g., sub consultants' role delineated)	Max Pts 5 5 5 5 5 5 20	5 5 4 3
Demonstrated success     Development of concept     Prior experience with t	taff and firm with projects of similar scope and complexity. on past projects of similar scope and complexity. otual designs. he City of Angleton (or its predecessors).	Max Pts 10 5 5 5 5 25	7 5 5 5 22
3. Establish and mainta <u>i</u> n established budget.	iost control. required work to meet the established schedule. estimates of probable cost within Angleton's	Max Pts 10 10 10 Subtotal 30	10
References Factors 1. A minimum of three (3) (with contact information	and a maximum of five (5) references ) for similar projects.	Max Pts  5 Subtotal 5	
		Total 100	



Factors
Cover Letter
Project Team
Firm Capabilities
Prior Experience
Project Approach
References

Max Pts
5
15
20
25
30
5
100

Score	
5	
13	
17	
23	
30	
1	
1.5	\
78	
U	/

Notes

Fancy pakers arent enothing.

- ore reference (Galuston) didn't seem a credible reference

Name of Respondent:	Edgeland	Date of Ranking:	6/3/2024
Evaluator's Name:	Evaluator 5		
Rate the Respondent of the R	RFQ by awarding points up to the maximum listed for		
each factor.			
Cover Letter			
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Demonstrated experience i	n design services for infrastructure projects	5	3
		Subtotal 5	3
Project Team			
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Qualifications and relevant	individual experience.	5	2
	eam members relating to the project.	5	- 2
	nd coordination clearly identified?	5	
,	•	Subtotal 15	10
Firms Canabilities			10
Firms Capabilities Factors		M D:	
Are essential management f	functions identified?	Max Pts	
2. Current and projected work		5	2
	nd coordination clearly identified?	5	
•	/ integrated? (e.g., sub consultants' role delineated)	5 5	
4. Are the falletions effectively	micelated: (c.g., sub consultants Tole delineated)		
		Subtotal 20	(12)
Prior Experience			
Factors		Max Pts	_
	and firm with projects of similar scope and complexity.	10	
	ast projects of similar scope and complexity.	5	3
3. Development of conceptual		5	3
4. Prior experience with the Ci	ty of Angleton (or its predecessors).	5	
		Subtotal 25	(11)
Project Approach			
Factors		Max Pts	
<ol> <li>Budget Methodology/Cost c</li> </ol>		10	8
	red work to meet the established schedule.	10	9
	nates of probable cost within Angleton's		
established budget.		10	8
		Subtotal 30	(26)
			(0)
References			
Factors	50 (0) 5	Max Pts	
	a maximum of five (5) references		
(with contact information) for s	similar projects.	5	
		Subtotal 5	(1)
		Total 100	(1)

Factors	Max Pts	Score
Cover Letter	5	3
Project Team	15	10
Firm Capabilities	20	12
Prior Experience	25	П
Project Approach	30	25
References	5	
	100	(2

Notes:

- reference received was not for company but was for potential project lead