Entity	Grantworks		Date of Rating	4/19/23
-	of Respondent			
	tor's Name <u>Evaluator 1</u>			
Experie	ence Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:			
	Factor	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	Score	<u>Comments</u>
1.	Has previously designed grant writing and prepared applications	20	20	
2.	Has subitted federally funded grant projects	15	15	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
4.	Extent of experience in grant writing and administration			
			10	
		15	15	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	60	
Work F	Performance			
<u></u>	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	<u>10</u>	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	-5-	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	10	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	25	
NOTE: Info	prmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa	st/current clients.		
Canaci	ty to Perform			
oupuol	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5		
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	->	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5		
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
	Experience	60	100	
	Work Performance	25	25	
	Capacity to Perform	15	15	
	Total Score	100	100	

Entity	Grantworks		Date of Rating 4	/19/23
Name o	of Respondent			
Evaluat	or's Name <u>Evaluator 2</u>			
<u>Experie</u>	ence Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas	:		
	Factor	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	Score	Comments
1.	Has previously designed grant writing and prepared	20	20	
0	applications	15	10	
2.	Has subitted federally funded grant projects	10		
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.			
4.	Extent of experience in grant writing and administration			
			C ₁	
		15		
		15	_13	
	Subtatal Franciana			
	Subtotal, Experience	60	<u>52 DH</u>	
Mork D				
WOIKP	Performance Factor	Max Dia	0	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	Max.Pts.	Score	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10 F	8	
2. 3.		5	_5	
э.	Work product is of high quality	10	_5	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	18 DH	
NOTE: Info	rmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting p	past/current clients.		
<u>Capaci</u>	ty to Perform			
	Factor	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	5	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	4	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	14 DH	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
	Experience	60	52 DH	
	Work Performance	25	18 DH	
	Capacity to Perform	15	14 DH	
	Total Score	100	84 DH	

Entity	Grantworks		Date of Rating	4/19/23
•	of Respondent			
	or's Name <u>Evaluator 3</u>			
-				
Experie	ence Rate the respondent for experience in the following are		0	
1.	<u>Factor</u> Has previously designed grant writing and prepared	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1.	applications	20 15	20	
2.	Has subitted federally funded grant projects	10	_15	All Lec 1
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general	10	Ŧ	111 11stal
	region.			Projecte ma
4.	Extent of experience in grant writing and administration			Town
4.				All listed Projects were Texas/Local
			10	
		15	15	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	60	
Work F	Performance			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	16	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	8	
			~	
NOTE	Subtotal, Performance	25	23	
NOTE. INC	rmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacti	ing past/current clients.		
Capaci	ty to Perform			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	1
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	5	O la a
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	5	ontone
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5	with a ,
				Certificato
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15 D	H
TOTAL	SCORE			
_	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
	Experience	60	60	
	Work Performance	25	23	
	Capacity to Perform	15	15	
	Total Score	100	98	

Entity	Grantworks		Date of Rating	4/19/23
-	of Respondent			
	or's Name <u>Evaluator 4</u>			
<u>Experie</u>	ence Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas: Factor	: <u>Max.Pts.</u>	Score	Commonte
1.	Has previously designed grant writing and prepared	20		<u>Comments</u>
1.	applications		20	
2.	Has subitted federally funded grant projects	15	15	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	10	
4.	Extent of experience in grant writing and administration			
		15		
		10	_/3	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	60	
Work P	<u>erformance</u>			
<u></u>	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10		
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	_10	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10		
	······································	10		
	Subtotal, Performance	25	25	
NOTE: Info	Subtotal, Performance		25	
NOTE: Info			25	
			25	
	rmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa ty to Perform <u>Factor</u>		<u>25</u> <u>Score</u>	
<u>Capaci</u> 1.	rmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa ty to Perform <u>Factor</u> Staff Level / Experience of Staff	ast/current clients.	<u>Score</u>	
<u>Capaci</u> 1. 2.	rmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa ty to Perform <u>Factor</u>	ast/current clients.	<u>Score</u>	
<u>Capaci</u> 1.	rmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa ty to Perform <u>Factor</u> Staff Level / Experience of Staff	ast/current clients. <u>Max.Pts.</u> 5	Score S S S	
<u>Capaci</u> 1. 2.	t <mark>y to Perform <u>Factor</u> Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force</mark>	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 5 5 5 5	Score S S S	
<u>Capaci</u> 1. 2.	rmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa ty to Perform <u>Factor</u> Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources	ast/current clients. <u>Max.Pts.</u> 5 5	<u>Score</u> 5 5 15	
<u>Capaci</u> 1. 2. 3.	t <mark>y to Perform <u>Factor</u> Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force</mark>	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 5 5 5 5	<u>Score</u> S S J S	
<u>Capaci</u> 1. 2. 3.	ty to Perform <u>Factor</u> Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	Max.Pts. 5 5 5 5 15	5-5-15	
<u>Capaci</u> 1. 2. 3.	ty to Perform <u>Factor</u> Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE	Max.Pts. 5 5 5 15 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u>	Score S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	
Capaci 1. 2. 3. TOTAL	ty to Perform <u>Factor</u> Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE <u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts. 5 5 5 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60	5-5-15	
Capaci 1. 2. 3. TOTAL	Imation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting particular to the perform Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience Work Performance	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 5 5 5 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60 25	5-5-15	
Capaci 1. 2. 3. TOTAL	ty to Perform Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience	Max.Pts. 5 5 5 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60	5-5-15	
Capaci 1. 2. 3. TOTAL	ty to Perform Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience Work Performance Capacity to Perform	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 5 5 5 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60 25 15	5-5-15	
Capaci 1. 2. 3. TOTAL	Imation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting particular to the perform Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience Work Performance	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 5 5 5 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60 25	5-5-15	
Capaci 1. 2. 3. TOTAL	ty to Perform Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience Work Performance Capacity to Perform	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 5 5 5 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60 25 15	5-5-15	
Capaci 1. 2. 3. TOTAL	ty to Perform Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience Work Performance Capacity to Perform	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 5 5 5 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60 25 15	5-5-15	
Capaci 1. 2. 3. TOTAL	ty to Perform Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience Work Performance Capacity to Perform	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 5 5 5 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60 25 15	5-5-15	
Capaci 1. 2. 3. TOTAL	ty to Perform Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience Work Performance Capacity to Perform	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 5 5 5 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60 25 15	5-5-15	

Entity Grantworks		Date of Rating	4/19/23
Name of Respondent			
Evaluator's Name <u>Evaluator 5</u>			
Experience Rate the respondent for experience in the following area	IS:		
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1. Has previously designed grant writing and prepared	20	152	
applications	15	14	
2. Has subitted federally funded grant projects	10	9	
 Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. 		,	
4. Extent of experience in grant writing and administration			
	15	14	
Subtotal, Experience	60	55	
Work Performance			
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	9	
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	5	
3. Work product is of high quality	10	10	
Subtotal, Performance	25	24	
NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting	past/current clients.		
Capacity to Perform		0	
Factor	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	Score	
 Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources 	5	5	
 Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force 	5	5	
5. Frolessional liability insurance is in lorce	5	5	
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	_ 15	
TOTAL SCORE			
Factor	Max Dta	Saara	
	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 60	Score	
Work Performance	25		
Capacity to Perform	25 15	d4	
	10	15	
Total Score	100	0.1	
i otai Score	100		

Entity	CSRS		Date of Rating	4/19/23
Name of	of Respondent			
	or's Name <u>Evaluator 1</u>			
<u>Experie</u>	ence Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1.	Has previously designed grant writing and prepared	20	18	
	applications	15	15	
2.	Has subitted federally funded grant projects	10		
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.			
4.	Extent of experience in grant writing and administration			
			SY.	
		45	0	
		15	13	
				- 1
	Subtotal, Experience	60	46	54 DTT
Work F	Performance			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	10	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	25	
NOTE: Info	rmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa	ast/current clients.		
<u> </u>				
Capaci	ty to Perform			
4	Factor	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	5	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	5	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15	
	Subicial, Sapacity to renorm	15	15	
τοται	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Sooro	
	Experience	60	Score	CIL DH
	Work Performance		76	57 11
	Capacity to Perform	25	25	
	Capacity to Ferrorin	15	15	
	Total Score	100	P1.	QU DH
		100	-86	99 11

Entity CSRS		Date of Rating	/19/23
Name of Respondent			
Evaluator's Name <u>Evaluator 2</u>			
Experience Rate the respondent for experience in the following	q areas:		
Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	Comments
 Has previously designed grant writing and prepared 	20	20	
applications	15	10	
2. Has subitted federally funded grant projects	10		
 Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. 			
4. Extent of experience in grant writing and administration			
		3	
	15	10	
Subtotal, Experience	60	43 DH	
Work Performance			
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	5	
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5		
3. Work product is of high quality	10	5	
Subtotal, Performance	25	12 pt1	
NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by c	ontacting past/current clients.		
Capacity to Perform			
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5		
2. Adequacy of Resources	5		
 Professional liability insurance is in force 	5	<u> </u>	
	Ũ		
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	9 DH	
TOTAL SCORE			
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	60		
Work Performance	25	<u>45</u> UTI	
Capacity to Perform	15	14 14	
	10	<u> </u>	
Total Score	100	LOY DH	
		<u> </u>	

Entity	CSRS		Date of Rating	4/19/23
	of Respondent			
	tor's Name <u>Evaluator 3</u>			
Experie	ence Rate the respondent for experience in the following area	26'		
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1.	Has previously designed grant writing and prepared	20	20	Comments
	applications	15		
2.	Has subitted federally funded grant projects	10	_/ }	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
4.	Extent of experience in grant writing and administration			
			0	
		45	7	
		15	15	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	59	
Work F	Performance			
WORKT	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	All ictidant /
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>3001e</u> 2	All listicg projects
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5		All listed projects are orgoing
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	70	
		10	23	
	Subtotal, Performance	25		
NOTE: Info	prmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting	g past/current clients.		
<u>Capaci</u>	ty to Perform			
	Factor	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	5	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	5	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	-	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	10	
τοται	SCORE			
TOTAL	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience	60	56	
	Work Performance	25		
	Capacity to Perform	15		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10	/ 0	
	Total Score	100	92	

Entity	CSRS		Date of Rating	4/19/23
-	of Respondent			
	tor's Name <u>Evaluator 4</u>			
Experie	ence Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Commonte
1.	Has previously designed grant writing and prepared	20	Score	<u>Comments</u>
	applications	20 15	20	
2.	Has subitted federally funded grant projects		_/5	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	8	
4.	Extent of experience in grant writing and administration			
		15	_/4	
	= Subtotal, Experience	60	57	
Work F	Performance			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	0	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	-0	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10		
			72	
	= Subtotal, Performance			
	oubtotal, i offormatioo	25		
NOTE: Info	prmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pas			
	prmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pas			
	rmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting particular to the second state of the second state o	st/current clients.	Score	
	rmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting particle to Perform <u>Factor</u>	st/current clients.	Score	
<u>Capaci</u> 1.	ty to Perform Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 5	Score	
<u>Capaci</u>	ty to Perform <u>Factor</u> Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources	st/current clients. <u>Max.Pts.</u> 5 5	Score 4	
<u>Capaci</u> 1. 2.	ty to Perform Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 5	Score	
<u>Capaci</u> 1. 2.	ty to Perform <u>Factor</u> Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources	st/current clients. <u>Max.Pts.</u> 5 5	Score 4 5	
<u>Capaci</u> 1. 2.	ty to Perform <u>Factor</u> Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force	t/current clients. Max.Pts. 5 5 5 5	Score 4 5	
<u>Capaci</u> 1. 2. 3.	ty to Perform <u>Factor</u> Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force	t/current clients. Max.Pts. 5 5 5 5	<u>Score</u> 4 5 5	
<u>Capaci</u> 1. 2. 3.	ty to Perform <u>Factor</u> Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	t/current clients. Max.Pts. 5 5 5 5	Score 4 5 73 Score	
<u>Capaci</u> 1. 2. 3.	ty to Perform <u>Factor</u> Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE	Max.Pts. 5 5 5 5	4 5 73	7
<u>Capaci</u> 1. 2. 3. TOTAL	trmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past ty to Perform Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform = SCORE Factor	Max.Pts. 5 5 5 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u>	4 5 73	7
<u>Capaci</u> 1. 2. 3. TOTAL	ty to Perform Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience Experience	Max.Pts. 5 5 5 15 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60	4 5 73	7 23 13
Capaci 1. 2. 3. TOTAL	ty to Perform Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience Work Performance Capacity to Perform	Max.Pts. 5 5 5 15 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60 25 15	4 5 73	7 23 13 93
Capaci 1. 2. 3. TOTAL	ty to Perform Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force = StoRE Factor Experience Work Performance	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 5 5 5 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60 25	4 5 73	7 23 13 93
Capaci 1. 2. 3. TOTAL	ty to Perform Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience Work Performance Capacity to Perform	Max.Pts. 5 5 5 15 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60 25 15	4 5 73	7 23 13 93
Capaci 1. 2. 3. TOTAL	ty to Perform Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience Work Performance Capacity to Perform	Max.Pts. 5 5 5 15 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60 25 15	4 5 73	7 23 13 93
Capaci 1. 2. 3. TOTAL	ty to Perform Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff Adequacy of Resources Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience Work Performance Capacity to Perform	Max.Pts. 5 5 5 15 15 <u>Max.Pts.</u> 60 25 15	4 5 73	7 23 13 93

Entity CSRS		Date of Rating	4/19/23
Evaluator's Name Evaluator 5			
Experience Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas			
Factor	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	Score	Comments
 Has previously designed grant writing and prepared applications 	20	15	
2. Has subitted federally funded grant projects	15 10	-10	
 Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. 	10	Q	
4. Extent of experience in grant writing and administration			
	15	10	
Subtotal, Experience	60	112	
	00	_+2	
Work Performance			
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	8	
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	4	
3. Work product is of high quality	10	8	
Subtotal, Performance	25	20	
NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting particular the second statement of the second	ast/current clients.		
Capacity to Perform			
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	4	
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	4	
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	4	
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	12	
TOTAL SCORE			
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
□ Experience	60	LIZ	
Work Performance	25	20	
□ Capacity to Perform	15	12	
Total Score	100	75	

Entity	HCH Enterprises		Date of Rating	4/19/23
Name of	of Respondent			
	tor's Name <u>Evaluator 1</u>			
Eveneni				
Experie	ence Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas Factor		Casara	
1.	Has previously designed grant writing and prepared	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 20	Score	<u>Comments</u>
1.	applications	20 15	13	
2.	Has subitted federally funded grant projects	15 10	12	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
4.	Extent of experience in grant writing and administration			
			7	
		15	12	
			10	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	41	
Work F	Performance			
WORKT	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10		
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5		
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	10	
			ž	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	25	
NOTE: Info	prmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting p	ast/current clients.		
Canaci	ty to Perform			
oupuol	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	<u>10102.11 13.</u> 5	2	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	2	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5		
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	11	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience	60	41	
	Work Performance	25	25	
	Capacity to Perform	15	11	
		400	20	
	Total Score	100	11	

Entity	HCH Enterprises		Date of Rating 4/	19/23
•	of Respondent			
Evaluat	or's Name <u>Evaluator 2</u>			
Experie	ence Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	Comments
1.	Has previously designed grant writing and prepared applications	20	10	
2.	Has subitted federally funded grant projects	15 10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
4.	Extent of experience in grant writing and administration			
			2	
		15	5	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	27 DH	
Work F	Performance			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	5	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5		
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	1-	
	= Subtotal, Performance	25	<u>a</u> pH	
NOTE: Info	rmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pas	st/current clients.		
Canadi	ty to Perform			
Capaci	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	<u>5</u>		
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	<u> </u>	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	4 2	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	6 DH	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience	60	27 DH	
	Work Performance	25	9 DH	
	Capacity to Perform	15	6 DH	
	=	100	42 DH	

Entity	HCH Enterprises		Date of Rating	4/19/23
	of Respondent			
	or's Name_Evaluator 3			
<u>Experie</u>	ence Rate the respondent for experience in the following area			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	<u>Comments</u>
1.	Has previously designed grant writing and prepared applications	20	20	On lus in
2.	Has subitted federally funded grant projects	15	15	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	4	only in Witchity falls
4.	Extent of experience in grant writing and administration			
	5			
			5	
		15	15	
	Ouktotal Europianaa			
	Subtotal, Experience	60	55	
Work F	Performance			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	NI - 1.11 -
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	0	All still going
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	-5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	10	
			•	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	23	
NOTE: Info	rmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting	past/current clients.		
Canaci	ty to Perform			
Japaci	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5		
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5		
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5		
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	10	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience	60	55	
	Work Performance	25	23	
	Capacity to Perform	15	/0	
	Total Score	100	88	

Name of Respondent Evaluator's Name Evaluator 4 Experience Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas: Experience Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas: <u>Sator</u> <u>Comments</u> <u>Applications</u>	Entity	HCH Enterprises		Date of Rating	4/19/23		
Experience – Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas: Eactor Max.Pts. Score Comments 1. Has previously designed grant writing and prepared applications 15 15 15 2. Has subited federally funded grant projects 10 2 15 3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. 15 2 15 4. Extent of experience in grant writing and administration 15 2 2 Work Performance Eactor Max.Pts. Score 4 1. Past projects within budgetary constraints 5 3 Score 7 2. More product is of high quality 10 7 5 5 7 3. Work product is of high quality 10 5 5 7 5 More: information necessary to assess the respondent on these enters about be getwore by contracting pasticurver dions. 2 15 5 Core 5 7 1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 3 2 15 5 3 2. Adequacy of Resources 5 3 7 5							
Eactor Max.Pts. Score Comments 1. Has previously designed grant writing and prepared applications 20 4.8							
Eactor Max.Pts. Score Comments 1. Has previously designed grant writing and prepared applications 20 48 48 2. Has subilited federally funded grant projects 15 43 10 2 3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. 15 7 2 2 48 4. Extent of experience in grant writing and administration 15 7 2 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
1. Has previously designed grant writing and prepared applications 20	Experi						
applications 15 10 2. Has subitted federally funded grant projects 10 2 3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. 10 2 4. Extent of experience in grant writing and administration 15 7 Subtotal, Experience 60 4/2 Work Performance 60 4/2 Eactor Max.Pts. Score 1. Past projects completed on schedule 10 7 2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints 5 5 3. Work product is of high quality 10 5 Subtotal, Performance Subtotal, Performance Subtotal, Performance Score 3. Work product is of high quality 10 Subtotal, Performance Score Score Score Score Score Score Score Score Score Score <td <="" colspan="2" td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Max.Pts.</td><td>Score</td><td>Comments</td></td>	<td></td> <td></td> <td>Max.Pts.</td> <td>Score</td> <td>Comments</td>				Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
2. Has subilted federally funded grant projects 10 10 10 3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. 10 2 4. Extent of experience in grant writing and administration 15 7 4. Extent of experience in grant writing and administration 15 7 More Reprint the second of the	1.			18			
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general region. 10 2 4. Extent of experience in grant writing and administration 15 7 Subtotal, Experience 60 4/2 Work Performance 60 4/2 Past projects completed on schedule 10 7 1. Past projects within budgetary constraints 5 3 3. Work product is of high quality 10 5 Subtotal, Performance 25 7.5 2. Morages projects within budgetary constraints 5 3 3. Work product is of high quality 10 5 2. More: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these oriteria should be gathered by contacting pset/current clients 15 Capacity to Perform 5 2 1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 2 2. Adequacy of Resources 5 2 3. Professional liability insurance is in force 5 2 2. Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 5 3. Professional liability insurance 25 4 4. Experience 60 4 2. Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15	2			15			
15 7 Subtotal, Experience 60 7/2 Work Performance 60 7/2 Past projects completed on schedule 10 7 1 10 3 5 3. Work product is of high quality 10 5 NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/ourner clients 5 NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/ourner clients 5 Capacity to Perform 5 3 1 5 3 Note and the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/ourner clients 5 Capacity to Perform 5 3 1 Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 2 Adequacy of Resources 5 3 3 Professional liability insurance is in force 5 3 2 Score 60 44 44 4 Score 60 44 45 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 60 44		Has worked on projects that were located in this general	10	2			
Subtotal, Experience 60 4/2 Work Performance Factor 60 4/2 . Past projects completed on schedule 10 7 . Manages projects within budgetary constraints 5 3 . Work product is of high quality 10 7 . Subtotal, Performance 25 75 NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these orders should be gathered by contacting pasticurrent clients. Capacity to Perform . Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 . Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 . Adequacy of Resources 5 . Professional liability insurance is in force 5 . Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 . Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 . Copacity to Perform 15	4.	Extent of experience in grant writing and administration					
Subtotal, Experience 60 4/2 Work Performance Factor 60 4/2 . Past projects completed on schedule 10 7 . Manages projects within budgetary constraints 5 3 . Work product is of high quality 10 7 . Subtotal, Performance 25 75 NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these orders should be gathered by contacting pasticurrent clients. Capacity to Perform . Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 . Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 . Adequacy of Resources 5 . Professional liability insurance is in force 5 . Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 . Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 . Copacity to Perform 15			45				
Work Performance Eactor Max.Pts. Score 1. Past projects completed on schedule 10 7 2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints 5 3 3. Work product is of high quality 10 5 Subtotal, Performance 25 NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients. Capacity to Perform 5 3 Eactor Max.Pts. Score 1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 3 2. Adequacy of Resources 5 3 3. Professional liability insurance is in force 5 3 Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 5 Cator Max.Pts. Score Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 5 Cortal Score 60 44 Work Performance 25 15 Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 5 Capacity to Performance 25 15 Quarter of the second			15				
Eactor Max.Pts. Score 1. Past projects completed on schedule 10 7 2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints 5 3 3. Work product is of high quality 10 7 Subtotal, Performance 25 NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these oriteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients. Capacity to Perform 5 2 1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 2 2. Adequacy of Resources 5 3 3. Professional liability insurance is in force 5 2 Subtotal, Capacity to Perform Subtotal, Capacity to Perform Subtotal, Capacity to Perform Intervence 60 40 Work Performance 25 5 3. Professional liability to Perform 15 5 Subtotal, Capacity to Perform Intervence 60 40 70 40 40 70 5 5 5 70 75 5 5		Subtotal, Experience	60	42			
Eactor Max.Pts. Score 1. Past projects completed on schedule 10 7 2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints 5 3 3. Work product is of high quality 10 7 Subtotal, Performance 25 NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these oriteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients. Capacity to Perform 5 2 1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 2 2. Adequacy of Resources 5 3 3. Professional liability insurance is in force 5 2 Subtotal, Capacity to Perform Subtotal, Capacity to Perform Subtotal, Capacity to Perform Intervence 60 40 Work Performance 25 5 3. Professional liability to Perform 15 5 Subtotal, Capacity to Perform Intervence 60 40 70 40 40 70 5 5 5 70 75 5 5	Work F	Performance					
1. Past projects completed on schedule 10 10 2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints 5 3 3. Work product is of high quality 10 3 Subtotal, Performance 25 OTTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients. Capacity to Perform Eactor Max.Pts. Score 1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 3 2. Adequacy of Resources 5 3 3. Professional liability insurance is in force 5 3 TOTAL SCORE Eactor Max.Pts. Score Querter of Staff 5 3 Ottotal, Capacity to Perform 15 TOTAL SCORE Eactor 60 44 Querter of Vork Performance 25 15 Querter of Capacity to Perform 15 5	<u>monti</u>		Max Pts	Score			
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints 5 3. Work product is of high quality 10 Subtotal, Performance 25 15 NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients. Capacity to Perform Factor Max.Pts. 1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 2. Adequacy of Resources 5 3. Professional liability insurance is in force 5 Subtotal, Capacity to Perform Subtotal, Capacity to Perform Score 60 Max.Pts. Score 60 Work Performance 25 2. Experience 60 4. Work Performance 25 5. Capacity to Perform 15	1.			7			
3. Work product is of high quality 10 Subtotal, Performance 25 NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients. Capacity to Perform Factor Max.Pts. 1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 2. Adequacy of Resources 5 3. Professional liability insurance is in force 5 Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 TOTAL SCORE 60 Experience 60 Work Performance 25 Output: Deferrence 15	2.						
Subtotal, Performance 25 15 NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients. Image: Subtotal Score 1.5 Capacity to Perform Factor Max.Pts. Score 2.7 1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 2.7 2. Adequacy of Resources 5 3.7 3. Professional liability insurance is in force 5 3.7 Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 5 Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 5 TOTAL SCORE 60 4444 42 Experience 60 4444 42 Work Performance 25 15 15 Capacity to Perform 15 15 15	3.						
NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients. Capacity to Perform Max.Pts. Score 1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 2 2. Adequacy of Resources 5 3 3. Professional liability insurance is in force 5 2 Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 5 Core 60 4444 Work Performance 25 15 2. Gapacity to Perform 15 5				_>			
Capacity to Perform Factor Max.Pts. Score 1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 2 2. Adequacy of Resources 5 3 3. Professional liability insurance is in force 5 2 Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 5 Correl Max.Pts. Score Factor Max.Pts. Score Experience 60 Max.Pts. Score 0. Work Performance 25 15 15 0. Capacity to Perform 15 15 15				15			
Factor Max.Pts. Score 1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 3 2. Adequacy of Resources 5 3 3. Professional liability insurance is in force 5 3 Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 5 Core 60 40 Work Performance 25 40 Orapacity to Perform 15 5 5 5 5 5 60 40 40 40 9 Work Performance 25 45 15 5 5 5 15 40 15 40	NOTE: Info	ormation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa	ast/current clients.				
Factor Max.Pts. Score 1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 3 2. Adequacy of Resources 5 3 3. Professional liability insurance is in force 5 3 Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 5 TOTAL SCORE Max.Pts. Score Experience 60 44 Work Performance 25 15 Orapacity to Perform 15 15	Capaci	ity to Perform					
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff 5 2 2. Adequacy of Resources 5 3 3. Professional liability insurance is in force 5 3 Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 Max.Pts. Score 42 Work Performance 25 45 Work Perform 15 45 Score 25 45 J. Work Perform 15 45 Score 25 45 J. Subtotal to Perform 15 45			Max.Pts.	Score			
3. Professional liability insurance is in force 5 Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 TOTAL SCORE 15 Factor Max.Pts. Experience 60 Work Performance 25 Capacity to Perform 15	1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff		2			
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform 15 TOTAL SCORE Max.Pts. Score Factor 60 440 Work Performance 25 45 Capacity to Perform 15 45	2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	3			
TOTAL SCORE Factor Max.Pts. Score Experience 60 440 42 Work Performance 25 455 15 Capacity to Perform 15 45 5	3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	0			
TOTAL SCORE Factor Max.Pts. Score Experience 60 440 42 Work Performance 25 455 15 Capacity to Perform 15 45 5							
FactorMax.Pts.ScoreExperience6044742Work Performance254515Capacity to Perform15455		Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	5			
FactorMax.Pts.ScoreExperience604/474/2Work Performance2515Capacity to Perform154	τοται	SCORE					
Experience 60 Work Performance 25 Capacity to Perform 15	TOTAL		Max Pte	Score			
□ Work Performance 25 □ Capacity to Perform 15					42		
Capacity to Perform 15		-		70	15		
Total Score 100 42 62		Capacity to Perform			12		
Total Score 100 62				4	>		
tog tog		Total Score	100	-	62		
424				\sim			
				DUT			
			E	tot /			
			4				

Entity	HCH Enterprises		Date of Rating	4/19/23
Name	of Respondent			
Evalua	tor's Name <u>Evaluator 5</u>			
Experi	ence Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas	:		
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1.	Has previously designed grant writing and prepared applications	20 15	18	
2.	Has subitted federally funded grant projects	10	14	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	10	
4.	Extent of experience in grant writing and administration			
		15	14	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	56	
Work F	Performance			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	9	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	44	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	4	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	22	
NOTE: Info	prmation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting p	ast/current clients.		
Capaci	ty to Perform			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	16	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	4	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	e-f-	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	12	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience	60	51	
	Work Performance	25	22	
	Capacity to Perform	15	12	
	Total Score	100	90	