Name of Respondent:	Royal Services	Date of Ranking:	8/7/2	024
Evaluator's Name:	Martha Eighme			
Rate the Respondent of the each factor.	e RFB by awarding points up to the	maximum listed for		
Experience Factors	a last five years	M	lax Pts	0
<ol> <li>Successful project for the and project cost and detail</li> </ol>	led information on the project		20	10 confirm
2. Proposer's experience v			5	5
2. Experience of Project M			10	?,
3. Extent to which the goo	d or services meet the City's needs		5	_5
	Subtotal		40	0 20
Work Performance				20
Factors		N	Max Pts	
	nilar projects of size and scope		10	10 desup
2. Management of purchase			10	10
3. Quality of goods and ser			5	3 6
	Subtotal	-	25	0 25
Capacity to Perform				
Factors		N	Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience of	of Staff		10	?
2. A Historically Underutili	zed Business (Program to certify qual	ified		
small businesses)			10	7
3 . Adequacy to do the wo	rk assigned		10	10
4. Professional liabilty insu	rance in force	_	5	5
		Subtotal	35	0 15
		Total	100	0
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors		N	Max Pts	Score
Experience			40	0 20
Work Performance			25	0 25
Capacity to Perform		_	35	0 15
•			100	0 40
Notes: Good	Scope of work at to score ex	Detail - No.	t enough	detail
14 broboz	at to score ex	serience of	SCAFF	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

listed for	
listed for	
40	10 3 5 5
oraller STEMAX Pts of SIZE Scope 10 <sup>th</sup> o complaint 10 or references r work  Il recommend 25	jects Lagfe?) natch  5 3 0 13
-5hadon (10	5 0 5 3
Total 100	0
Max Pts 40 25 35	Score 0 23 0 13 0 13
	Max Pts  Shawn  Total  Max Pts  40  Max Pts  10  Shawn  Max Pts  10  Max Pts

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	Royal Services	Date of Ranking:	8///2024
Evaluator's Name:	Jason Hubbell		
	RFB by awarding points up to the r	maximum listed for	
each factor.			•
Experience			
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Successful project for the la			
and project cost and detailed		20	<u>15</u>
2. Proposer's experience with		5	_5
2. Experience of Project Mana	——————————————————————————————————————	10	10
3. Extent to which the good of	or services meet the City's needs	5	<u> </u>
	Subtotal	40	0 35
Work Performance			
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Past performance of simila	r projects of size and scope	10	_/0
2. Management of purchase	price/pricing	10	_9
3. Quality of goods and service	ces and reputation	5	
	Subtotal	25	0 24
Capacity to Perform			
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience of S	Staff	10	8
	d Business (Program to certify qual	ified	7
small businesses)		10	10
3 . Adequacy to do the work	assigned	10	_5
4. Professional liabilty insurar		5	
		Subtotal 35	0 30
		Total 100	0
TOTAL SCORE			
Factors		Max Pts	Score
Experience		40	0
Work Performance		25	0
Capacity to Perform		35	0
		100	<u> </u>
Notes:			
		<del></del> -	
	<del></del> .		

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	Royal Services	Date of Ranking:	8/7/2024
Evaluator's Name:	Kyle Reynolds		
Rate the Respondent of the each factor.	RFB by awarding points up to the maxir	num listed for	
each factor.			
Experience			
Factors		Max Pt	is.
1. Successful project for the l	ast five years		
and project cost and detailed	I information on the project	20	
2. Proposer's experience wit	h the City	5	_5_
2. Experience of Project Man	ager or Site Supervisor	10	_9
3. Extent to which the good of	or services meet the City's needs	5	
	Subtotal	40	0 36
Work Performance			
Factors		Max Pt	ts
1. Past performance of similar	ar projects of size and scope	10	9
2. Management of purchase		10	10
3. Quality of goods and service		5	<b>3</b> 4
, , ,	Subtotal	25	023
Capacity to Perform			
Factors		Max P	ts
1. Staff level/ Experience of S	Staff	10	
ACCESS TO CONTRACT	d Business (Program to certify qualified	10	
small businesses)	a business (Frogram to certify quantica	10	10
3 . Adequacy to do the work	accianad	10	
Adequacy to do the work     Professional liability insura		5	
4. Professional hability insura	nice in force		
		Subtotal 35	0 34
		Total 100	0
TOTAL SCORE			
Factors		Max P	ts Score
Experience		40	
Work Performance		25	
Capacity to Perform		35	
Capacity to Perform		100	
Notes:	1.11	. 1 -11	`01.
Defutto	difference un Did	s is due to E	adding the
repowatione	autic Invoidal.	- 1- Oothicis	has to all an
No al accurate	bil to knovation	S.	in the de

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*

Name of Respondent:	Rayol Services Construction Masters of Houston	Date of Ranking:	8/7/2024	_
Evaluator's Name:	Terry Roberts		-	_ <del>_</del>
Rate the Respondent of each factor.	the RFB by awarding points up to the ma	ximum listed for		
Experience Factors		Max Pts		
1. Successful project for	the last five years ailed information on the project	20	17	
2. Proposer's experience		5	- <del></del>	_
	Wanager or Site Supervisor	10	10	
	od or services meet the City's needs	5	5	
	Subtotal	40	0	= 37
Work Performance Factors		Max Pts		
1. Past performance of si	milar projects of size and scope	10	10	
2. Management of purch	ase price/pricing	10	10	
3. Quality of goods and s	ervices and reputation	5_		_25
	Subtotal	25	0	
Capacity to Perform				
Factors		Max Pts		
1. Staff level/ Experience		10	10	_
small businesses)	lized Business (Program to certify qualifie	10	7	_
3 . Adequacy to do the w	ork assigned	10	10	_
4. Professional liabilty ins	<del>-</del>	5	5	- 2Z
,		Subtotal 35	0	=)
		Total 100	0	
TOTAL SCORE				
Factors		Max Pts	Score	
Experience Work Performance		40	0 -	
Capacity to Perform		25 35	0 0	
Capacity to retionin		100	= 0	= a4
Notes:		/	· ·	ι,
LOCAL, pre	ofous work with	city		_
Louest cos	or and oppers per is	tem bletail		_
				_

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score\*\*