Name of Respondent:	Construction Masters of Houston	Date of Ranking:	8/7/2024
Evaluator's Name:	Martha Eighme		
	the RFB by awarding points up to the max	imum listed for	
each factor.			
Experience			
Factors		Max Pts	5
1. Successful project for	the last five years		9
	ailed information on the project	20	10 em
2. Proposer's experience		5	5
	Manager or Site Supervisor	10	10
	ood or services meet the City's needs	5	5
0.	Subtotal	40	0 30
			30
Work Performance			
Factors		Max Pt	S
	imilar projects of size and scope	10	10
2. Management of purch		10	10
3. Quality of goods and s		5	5
or quanty or government	Subtotal	25	0 0 5
	30010101		20
Capacity to Perform			
Factors		Max Pt	S
1. Staff level/ Experience	e of Staff	10	10
	tilized Business (Program to certify qualified		
small businesses)	timzed business (Frogram to certify quanties	10	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
3 . Adequacy to do the v	work assigned	10	10
4. Professional liabilty in		5	.5
4. Froressional habity in	isdiance in force	Subtotal 35	0 3
		Subtotal 55	0 25
		Total 100	0
		10101	<u> </u>
TOTAL SCORE			
Factors		Max Pt	s Score
Experience		40	0-
Work Performance		25	2.0
Capacity to Perform		35	0 25
capacity to remorn		100	0 80
Notes:		100	80
Positive 1	Surieurs from two referen	nees lifed. Suc	cessful
projects.	xperiodeed stayl. Con	raniced Proposal	Wood
Summary	& qualitications		
) 0		

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Construction Masters of Houston	Date of Ranking:	8/7/2024
Evaluator's Name:	Kandice Bunker		
Rate the Respondent of each factor.	the RFB by awarding points up to the ma	aximum listed for	
Experience		eached go back Max Pt	3 years
Factors	returences 1	Max Pt	s
1. Successful project for	the last five years		
	ailed information on the project	20	18
	e with the City None Shown	5	<u>D</u>
	Manager or Site Supervisor	10	
3. Extent to which the go	ood or services meet the City's needs	5	
	Subtotal	40	0 32
Work Performance	imilar projects of size and scope hase price/pricing on enterences rervices and reputation refurences	in are sim	ilar size
Factors	reference	- projects a Max Pt	S
1. Past performance of s	imilar projects of size and scope	project underbulle	et 9
2. Management of purch	lase price/pricing on enterer les	10 10 ad = 0 100d 10	10
Quality of goods and s	ervices and reputation returences	reached good 5	4
	Subtotal	25	0 23
Capacity to Perform			
Factors	6 15	Max Pt	S
1. Staff level/ Experience	of Staff yeurs/certification	10	9
2. A Historically Underut	ilized Business (Program to certify qualific	ed	-0
small businesses)	Not Shown	10	
3 . Adequacy to do the w	ork assigned	10	10
4. Professional liabilty in	surance in force Proof of bond Do	wided , 5	5
	surance in force proof of bond pr	provide gubtotal 35	0 24
		Total 100	0
TOTAL SCORE			
Factors		Max Pt	s Score
Experience		40	4
Work Performance		25	2
Capacity to Perform		35	7
supusity to remain		100	
Notes:		100	91
Notes.			

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Construction Masters of Houston	Date of Ranking:	8/7/2024
Evaluator's Name:	Jason Hubbell		
Bakasha Barrandan S	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
-	the RFB by awarding points up to the max	limum listed for	
each factor.			
Experience			
Factors		Max Pt	5
1. Successful project for t	the last five years		
	siled information on the project	20	20
2. Proposer's experience	· -	5	
	Manager or Site Supervisor	10	10
	od or services meet the City's needs	5	
J	Subtotal	40	040
			- 7 -
Work Performance			
Factors		Max Pt	S
1. Past performance of si	milar projects of size and scope	10	/0
2. Management of purch	• •	10	10
3. Quality of goods and s	ervices and reputation	5	10
	Subtotal	25	0 30
			<i>J</i> -
Capacity to Perform			
Factors		Max Pt	S
1. Staff level/ Experience	of Staff	10	10
2. A Historically Underuti	lized Business (Program to certify qualified	I	5
small businesses)		10	10
3 . Adequacy to do the w	ork assigned	10	5
4. Professional liabilty ins	surance in force	5	<u> </u>
		Subtotal 35	0 35
		Total 100	0
TOTAL SCORE			
Factors		Max Pt	
Experience		40	0
Work Performance		25	0
Capacity to Perform		35	0
		100	010
Notes:		,	
	.	_	

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

Name of Respondent:	Construction Masters of Houston	Date of Ranking:	8/7/2024
Evaluator's Name:	Kyle Reynolds		
Rate the Respondent of each factor.	the RFB by awarding points up to the maxim	num listed for	
Experience		14	
Factors 1. Successful project for	the last five years	Max Pts	
	ailed information on the project	20	10
2. Proposer's experience		5	
	Manager or Site Supervisor	10	10
	ood or services meet the City's needs	5	5
	Subtotal	40	0 38
Work Performance			
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Past performance of si	imilar projects of size and scope	10	10
2. Management of purch		10	10
3. Quality of goods and s	ervices and reputation	5	5
	Subtotal	25	0 25
Capacity to Perform			
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience	of Staff	10	_10
	ilized Business (Program to certify qualified		
small businesses)		10	10
3 . Adequacy to do the w		10	10
4. Professional liability ins	surance in force	5	
		Subtotal 35	0 35
		Total 100	0
TOTAL SCORE			
Factors		Max Pts	Score
Experience		40	0
Work Performance		25	0
Capacity to Perform		35	0
Notes:		100	0 98
all of the	bigger abothat	were compte	too o
were hid	on with a code	analysis &	tamped pla
1 171.11 ROS	amsure Ilel	a real acci	THE KID
000000	2.10	color acce	cat state
prepusare	vul come from a St	amped plan	Set provide
to general	contractor.	1 1	•
** Please add n	otes pertaining to your overall scori	ng for each firm on rea	soning of score**

Name of Decreased and	CONSTRUCTON Marter	Data of Boulders	0 /7 /2024
Name of Respondent:	Royal Services	Date of Ranking:	8/7/2024
Evaluator's Name:	Terry Roberts		
Data the Decreadant of	i the DED by averaging points up to the m	nuimum listad far	
each factor.	the RFB by awarding points up to the ma	aximum listed for	
cacii iactori			
Experience			
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Successful project for	the last five years		
and project cost and det	tailed information on the project	20	_20
2. Proposer's experience	e with the City	5 `	
2. Experience of Project	Manager or Site Supervisor	10	10
3. Extent to which the g	ood or services meet the City's needs	5	
	Subtotal	40	0 35
Work Performance			
Factors		Max Pts	
	similar projects of size and scope	10	۱n
2. Management of purc		10	1.0
3. Quality of goods and		5	<u> </u>
or quality or goods and	Subtotal	25	
	Sabtotal	23	, V1
Capacity to Perform			
Factors		Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experienc	e of Staff	10	10
2. A Historically Underu	tilized Business (Program to certify qualifi	ed	
small businesses)		. 10	
3 . Adequacy to do the v	work assigned	10	12
4. Professional liabilty in	nsurance in force	5_	
		Subtotal 35	0 32
		Total 100	0
TOTAL SCORE			
Factors		Max Pts	Score
Experience		40	0
Work Performance		25	0
Capacity to Perform		35_	0
		100	<u></u> 0_92
Notes:	ı	+11 /	
No docume	MIT supporting planie	us work with Hi	gleks
well staffe	d with 6ts of ex	perience	·/
higher 10	ST THAN lOCAL CONTRA	ctor Probably due	2 to Travel
7 7			<u> </u>

^{**} Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**