

RFP 2024-05 City Hall Annex Renovations Contract Services

Name of Respondent: Stewart Building and Remodeling

Date of Ranking: 8/7/2024

Evaluator's Name: Terry Roberts

Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.

Experience

Factors	Max Pts	
1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed information on the project	20	<u>17</u>
2. Proposer's experience with the City	5	<u>-</u>
2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor	10	<u>10</u>
3. Extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal	40	0 32

Work Performance

Factors	Max Pts	
1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope	10	<u>10</u>
2. Management of purchase price/pricing	10	<u>10</u>
3. Quality of goods and services and reputation	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal	25	0 25

Capacity to Perform

Factors	Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff	10	<u>10</u>
2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)	10	<u>7</u>
3. Adequacy to do the work assigned	10	<u>10</u>
4. Professional liability insurance in force	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal	35	0 32

Total 100 0

TOTAL SCORE

Factors	Max Pts	Score
Experience	40	0
Work Performance	25	0
Capacity to Perform	35	0
	100	0 89

Notes:

No indication of prior work with Anketon

No support for underutilized business

NO COMMENTS about jobs coming in on budget

No description detail of work

** Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

RFP 2024-05 City Hall Annex Renovations Contract Services

Name of Respondent: Stewart Building and Remodeling

Date of Ranking: 8/7/2024

Evaluator's Name: Martha Eighme

Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.

Experience

Factors

- | | | |
|--|-----------|------------------------------|
| 1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed information on the project | Max Pts | |
| 2. Proposer's experience with the City | 20 | <u>10</u> (2 of 4) confirmed |
| 2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor | 5 | <u>?</u> |
| 3. Extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs | 10 | <u>?</u> |
| | 5 | <u>5</u> |
| Subtotal | <u>40</u> | <u>0 15</u> |

Work Performance

Factors

- | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------------------------|
| 1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope | Max Pts | |
| 2. Management of purchase price/pricing | 10 | <u>5</u> (2 of 4) confirmed |
| 3. Quality of goods and services and reputation | 10 | <u>2.5</u> |
| | 5 | <u>0 7.5</u> |
| Subtotal | <u>25</u> | |

Capacity to Perform

Factors

- | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------------------------|
| 1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff | Max Pts | |
| 2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses) | 10 | <u>?</u> |
| 3. Adequacy to do the work assigned | 10 | <u>?</u> |
| 4. Professional liability insurance in force | 10 | <u>5</u> (2 of 4) confirmed |
| | 5 | <u>5</u> |
| Subtotal | <u>35</u> | <u>0 10</u> |

Total 100 0

TOTAL SCORE

Factors

Experience	Max Pts		Score
Work Performance	40	0	15
Capacity to Perform	25	0	7.5
	35	0	10
	<u>100</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>32.5</u>

Notes:

Two ✓ of four References with positive Reviews for projects in past five years & four submitted. Very little to base score on due to lack of information. Need more information on past projects, business, staffing and experience.

**** Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score ****

RFP 2024-05 City Hall Annex Renovations Contract Services

Name of Respondent: Stewart Building and Remodeling

Date of Ranking: 8/7/2024

Evaluator's Name: Kandice Bunker

Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.

Experience

Factors	Max Pts	
1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed information on the project <i>only references reached were for 2024 projects</i>	20	<u>10</u>
2. Proposer's experience with the City <i>none shown</i>	5	<u>0</u>
2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor <i>only references reached indicate experience/success</i>	10	<u>5</u>
3. Extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs	5	<u>4</u>
Subtotal	<u>40</u>	<u>0 19</u>

Work Performance

Factors	Max Pts	
1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope <i>references reached were remodels of smaller buildings</i>	10	<u>7</u>
2. Management of purchase price/pricing <i>references reached said on contract</i>	10	<u>10</u>
3. Quality of goods and services and reputation	5	<u>4</u>
Subtotal	<u>25</u>	<u>0 21</u>

Capacity to Perform

Factors	Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff <i>references expressed competence</i>	10	<u>8</u>
2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses) <i>none shown</i>	10	<u>0</u>
3. Adequacy to do the work assigned	10	<u>6</u>
4. Professional liability insurance in force <i>provided proof of bond</i>	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal	<u>35</u>	<u>0 19</u>

Total 100 0

TOTAL SCORE

Factors	Max Pts	Score
Experience	40	0 19
Work Performance	25	0 21
Capacity to Perform	35	0 19
	<u>100</u>	<u>0 59</u>

Notes:

**** Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score ****

RFP 2024-05 City Hall Annex Renovations Contract Services

Name of Respondent: Stewart Building and Remodeling

Date of Ranking: 8/7/2024

Evaluator's Name: Jason Hubbell

Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.

Experience

Factors	Max Pts	
1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed information on the project	20	<u>15</u>
2. Proposer's experience with the City	5	<u>3</u>
2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor	10	<u>7</u>
3. Extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs	5	<u>4</u>
Subtotal	40	0 29

Work Performance

Factors	Max Pts	
1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope	10	<u>10</u>
2. Management of purchase price/pricing	10	<u>10</u>
3. Quality of goods and services and reputation	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal	25	0 25

Capacity to Perform

Factors	Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff	10	<u>10</u>
2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)	10	<u>5</u>
3. Adequacy to do the work assigned	10	<u>10</u>
4. Professional liability insurance in force	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal	35	0 30

Total 100 0

TOTAL SCORE

Factors	Max Pts	Score
Experience	40	0
Work Performance	25	0
Capacity to Perform	35	0
	100	0

Notes:

** Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score**

RFP 2024-05 City Hall Annex Renovations Contract Services

Name of Respondent: Stewart Building and Remodeling

Date of Ranking: 8/7/2024

Evaluator's Name: Kyle Reynolds

Rate the Respondent of the RFB by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor.

Experience

<u>Factors</u>	Max Pts	
1. Successful project for the last five years and project cost and detailed information on the project	20	<u>18</u>
2. Proposer's experience with the City	5	<u>5</u>
2. Experience of Project Manager or Site Supervisor	10	<u>10</u>
3. Extent to which the good or services meet the City's needs	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal	<u>40</u>	0 <u>38</u>

Work Performance

<u>Factors</u>	Max Pts	
1. Past performance of similar projects of size and scope	10	<u>10</u>
2. Management of purchase price/pricing	10	<u>10</u>
3. Quality of goods and services and reputation	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal	<u>25</u>	0 <u>25</u>

Capacity to Perform

<u>Factors</u>	Max Pts	
1. Staff level/ Experience of Staff	10	<u>10</u>
2. A Historically Underutilized Business (Program to certify qualified small businesses)	10	<u>10</u>
3. Adequacy to do the work assigned	10	<u>10</u>
4. Professional liability insurance in force	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal	<u>35</u>	0 <u>35</u>

Total 100 0

TOTAL SCORE

<u>Factors</u>	Max Pts	Score
Experience	40	0 <u>40</u>
Work Performance	25	0 <u>25</u>
Capacity to Perform	<u>35</u>	0 <u>35</u>
	100	0 <u>100</u>

Notes:

**** Please add notes pertaining to your overall scoring for each firm on reasoning of score****